

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. *2118*

Press
~~Mis.~~

256-257

Dated *30-12-1862.*

3/4

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1007/56

3847/81

101/53/88

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
30th December 1862.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 256. From W. ROBINSON, Esq., Inspector General of M. Police; to A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 25th November 1862, No. 2,820.

Audit Department.

I have the honor to forward, for consideration and disposal by Government, an appeal by Captain Hankin, Superintendent of Police, South Malabar, against the application by the Civil Paymaster of the Order of the Government of India, No. 3,307, Financial Department, dated 5th May 1862, to his case.

2. In support of Captain Hankin's appeal, I can most truly urge that, to rule that Officers continuing in the same Department, such as the Police, moved from one District to another on wholly public grounds are to be deprived of their staff allowances while on transit, tends seriously to hamper the controlling authority in directing such changes as may be desirable.

3. Already three Officers of the Mofussil Police, Lieutenant Colonel Temple, Captain Hankin, and Lieutenant Baillie have suffered heavy pecuniary loss in consequence.

From Captain E. L. HANKIN, Superintendent of Police, South Malabar; to the Assistant Inspector General of M. Police, dated Calicut, 13th November 1862, No. 556.

I have the honor to request that you will submit the question mooted in the correspondence below for the consideration of Government.

I have nothing to add to the subject, excepting to state what is well known to the Inspector General, viz., that my transfer to South Malabar was quite unsolicited on my part, and I submit that my pecuniary interests should not suffer for the good of the public service.

From Captain E. L. HANKIN, Superintendent of Police, South Malabar; to the Civil Paymaster, Fort Saint George, dated Calicut, 3rd November 1862, No. 538.

I have the honor in forwarding my Pay Abstract for the month of October to state, that I delivered over Police charge of the District of South Canara to Lieutenant Baillie on the 6th October and received charge of that of South Malabar from Captain Drever, Deputy Inspector General of Police, on the 20th October.

In case, however, you should deem it necessary to apply the Orders of the Government of India, No. 5,307, Financial Department, dated 5th May 1862, to my case,

I beg to observe (1), that my appointment of Superintendent of Madras Police is in no way affected or altered by my transfer to another District, and that Section 21 of Act XXIV of 1859, constitutes me always on duty in any part of the General Police District; and (2), that I at the particular request of the Inspector General of Police remained in South Canara to point out the work to my successor for several days after I had given over charge to him.

No. 1,563.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Police Department.

Your letter No. 538, of the 3rd instant. In the absence of special orders exempting Military Officers in the Police from the operation of the ruling of 5th May, you cannot be allowed any Civil salary during transit from South Canara to South Malabar. Your Bill has been altered and passed accordingly.

2. A Certificate is enclosed to enable you to draw Staff Corps Pay for the interval from the Military Department.

(Signed) W. J. RAYNOR,
Officiating Civil Paymaster.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
CIVIL PAYMASTER'S OFFICE,
7th November 1862.

To Captain E. L. Hankin, Superintendent of Police, South Malabar, Calicut.

(True Copies.)

(Signed) E. L. HANKIN, Captain,
Superintendent of Police.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
30th December 1862.*

No. 1,785.
MEMORANDUM.

Police Department.

In reply to Memorandum No. 314 of the 11th instant, from the Acting Deputy Secretary to Government, Judicial Department, the Officiating Civil Paymaster has the honor to state, that the ruling contained in para. 7, Chapter IV, Civil Auditor's Manual was necessarily cancelled on receipt of the Orders of the Government of India, Financial Department, No. 3,307, dated 5th May last, a copy of which was forwarded in due course by the Financial Secretary for the information and guidance of this office. But by a subsequent Order of that Government, No. 970 G, dated 12th ultimo, Financial Department, the operation of the Order of 5th May has been suspended until further orders, which leaves the rule in para. 7 above cited in force for the present.

Copies of the order of 5th May and 12th ultimo, have already been furnished to Government

Letter from the Under Secretary to the Government of India, in the Financial Department, No. 506 G, of the 22nd September 1862.
Reply of the Officiating Civil Paymaster, Madras, No. 1, 435, of 11th October 1862.

with Memo. from this Department, No. 1,730 dated 5th instant, Judicial Department. Copies of the documents noted in the margin are now forwarded.

(Signed) W. J. RAYNOR,
Offg. Civil Paymaster.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
CIVIL PAYMASTER'S OFFICE,
15th December 1862.

To the Chief Secretary to Government, Judicial Department.

From C. BERNARD, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India; to the Civil Paymaster, Madras, dated Fort William, the 22nd September 1862, No. 506 G.

Financial Department.

I am directed to request that you will be good enough to report what allowances it is now the practice of your office to pass to a Military Officer in transit from one Civil appointment to another, and what was the practice observed in your office before the receipt of the Order No. 3,307, issued from this Department on the 5th May 1862.

From W. J. RAYNOR, Esq., Officiating Civil Paymaster; to the Honorable E. DRUMMOND, Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, dated 11th October 1862, No. 1,435.

In reply to Mr. Under Secretary Bernard's letter No. 506 G, dated 22nd ultimo, I have the honor to state, that the former practice of this office was to pass to a Military Officer, removed from one Civil appointment to another, the salary of the lowest paid appointment for the period of transit under G. O. G. G., dated 26th January No. 4 of 1854. But since the receipt of the Financial Resolution No. 3,307, of the 5th May last, such Officers, whether belonging to the Staff Corps or the Line, are allowed no Civil salary whatever for the period of transit, but draw their Staff Corps Pay or Military pay and allowances for the time in the Military Department.

(True Copies.)

(Signed) C. A. VINT,
Acting Head Assistant to Civil Paymaster.

No. 257.

ORDER THEREON, 30th December 1862, No. 2,118.

Under the circumstances now stated by the Officiating Civil Paymaster, the Governor in Council presumes that he will have no objection to pass the Staff Pay claimed by Captain Hankin. It seems unnecessary therefore to pass any orders on the subject.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Ind. Dept.

Pro: of the Madras Gov.

*Read: letter from the Inspector General
of Police*

(Mem dated 25 Nov 62, No. 2118)

*Read also Memorandum
of the Offg Civil Paymaster*

(15 Dec 62, No. 1785)

Order thereon. 30th Dec 1862 - No. 2118

*Under the circumstances
now stated by the Offg
Civil Paymaster the
Gov: in Council presume
that he will have no
objection to pass the
Staff Pay claimed by
Capt. Hankin. It seems
unnecessary therefore to
pass any orders on the
subject*

MMK

To the Insp: Genl. of Police. Dec 30 1862

S. C. L. Esq.

Pro-Deer
In trial 1862. Department.

No. 2118.

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT,

Dated 21 Decr/72