

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. *2089*

Press
~~Mis.~~

213-215

Dated *23.12.1862* 19 .

4/4

Not to be folded or roughly handled

2089
82

9-7-30

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
23rd December 1862.*

Read following papers:—

No. 213. From W. ROBINSON, Esq., Inspector General of M. Police; to A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 17th November 1862, No. 2,703.

Audit Department.

With reference to Government Order, No. 1,834, dated 7th November 1862, I have the honor to request that the same rule may be made applicable to the total body of the Bill as is directed in reference to the percentage.

2. In a Bill of many items or for a broken period, fractions would occasionally result, and the course I propose carries out the spirit of the Government Order which otherwise might be ineffectual.

Referred to the Accountant General.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
22nd November 1862.

No. 214. From H. A. MANGLES, Esq., Acting Accountant General; to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 3rd December 1862, No. 294.

Book and Adjustment Department.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Order of Government, dated 22nd ultimo, referring to me a letter from the Inspector General of Mofussil Police, of the 17th idem, in which he proposes that the Order of Government, No. 1,834, of the 7th of the same month, may be made applicable to the "total body of the Bill" of the Police.

As I thought it impracticable to carry out the suggestions made by Mr. Robinson, I requested him to explain how he intended to do so, as per my letter No. 4,714, dated 27th ultimo, copy of which is herein enclosed. From his reply, No. 2,866, of the 28th idem, copy of which also accompanies, it will be seen that Mr. Robinson merely states that the Constabulary are paid in form A, and strictly according to rates laid down by Government, but vouchsafes no explanation regarding the proposal made by him to Government.

Under the circumstances recorded in my letter to the Inspector General of Mofussil Police, quoted in the preceding para., I am of opinion that his proposition to do away with fractions of a Rupee in the Bills of his Department, cannot possibly be carried out.

From H. A. MANGLES, Esq., Acting Accountant General; to the Inspector General of Mofussil Police, Madras, dated Fort Saint George, 27th November 1862, No. 4,714.

Book and Adjustment Department.

Adverting to your letter to Government, No. 2,703, dated 17th instant, which has been referred to this Office, I have the honor to request that you will have the goodness to explain how you intend that the Order of Government, No. 1,834, dated 7th idem, should be made applicable to the "total body of the Bill."

In the Order of Government above quoted, it is directed, that in adding 10 per cent. to the actual cost of the Police supplied to Departments, "the additional charge be made in whole Rupees by charging an additional Rupee when the exact percentage yields a fraction of half or more than half a Rupee, and by omitting the fraction when it is less than half a Rupee." So far as I can see there is no difficulty in carrying out these instructions, as the money received is not required to be divided amongst individuals; but merely carried to the credit of the Department for expenditure, as the Inspector General or Superintendent may see fit.

The case however of the pay Bill of the Police itself is quite different, as the abstract contains the amount sanctioned by Government, and actually required for payment to the Members of the Police Force. Whenever therefore, a fractional part of a Rupee is omitted, some individual member or members of the Force will suffer to that extent, and similarly when a fraction is added, that fraction will be drawn in excess of the scale sanctioned by the Govern-

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
23rd December 1862.*

ment of India, and when received by the Superintendent, will not be required for payment of salaries, and cannot be availed of in meeting other charges.

From W. ROBINSON, Esq., Inspector General of M. Police; to H. A. MANGLES, Esq.,
Acting Accountant General, Fort Saint George, dated 23th November 1862,
No. 2,866.

Account Department.

In reply to your letter, No. 4,714, dated 27th November, I beg to point out, that Constabulary are all paid on Form A, and strictly according to rates laid down by Government.

2. The preparation of Bills is in order to debit against Departmental Budgets, the cost of the duty performed on their account.

3. I conclude the object in view in dispensing with fractions was to simplify accounts, but if they are retained in the net totals of Bills, that object seems to be lost sight of.

(True Copies.)

(Signed) J. MACKEY,

Acting 1st Assistant Accountant General.

No. 215.

ORDER THEREON, 23rd December 1862, No. 2,089.

A copy of the Accountant General's letter will be furnished to the Inspector General of Police.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,

Chief Secretary.

*Proceedings of the Madras Govt.
Read the following letters—*

From the Inspector

*Genl. of Police - Letter 17th Nov. '62 -
No. 2,703.*

" the Acct. Genl. — 3 Dec. No. 294.

List.

Order - 23rd December 1862 No. 2089

*A copy of the Acctt. Genl's
letter will be furnished
to the Inspector Genl. of
Police.*

[Signature]

*The Inspector Genl.
of Police.*

" Acctt. Genl.

dupd-23

20 Dec 62

Pro. 7th Nov 1862 Oct 1834
Feb 2318-

File of 13 January

205/68 v1

213 215

Inde 1862 Depnt-6
From 2089 Pro Secer
The Acting Accountant General,
To the Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George.

Dated 3rd December 1862
Received 4th Dec 1.
No 294.
No 21119.

Forwards copies of letters to
and from the Inspector General
of Madras Police, and states
that his proposition to do away
with fractions of a Rupee in the
Bills of his Department, cannot
possibly be carried out.
With an Order.