

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	<i>2008</i>	Press
		~~Mis~~
	<i>77-98</i>	
Dated	<i>10.12.1862</i>	<i>19</i> .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

11/2/83

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
10th December 1862.*

No. 97. Read the following letter from W. ROBINSON, Esq., Inspector General of M. Police; to A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 20th November 1862, No. 2,763.

General Department.

With reference to my letter dated 16th October 1862, No. 2,478, I have the honor to report that the Civil Paymaster has suspended passing the salaries of the two Officers named in the margin* under the term of the Order of the Government of India, No. 21, dated 1st August 1862.

* Captain R. A. W. C. Stuart, 17th N. I., Superintendent of Police, Ganjam.
Lieutenant G. A. Porteous, 27th N. I., Assistant Superintendent of Police, Tinnevely.

2. Captain Stuart has passed the Adjutant's examination in Hindustani. Lieutenant Porteous has passed (in Hindustani) the Company examination only. The Civil Paymaster considers that both are affected by the above order. They are the only two Officers now in the force who can be affected by the order.

3. In my letter under date 6th June 1861, I brought to the notice of Government the case of passed Adjutants who had been nominated to the Police, and urged that a special exception might be made in this case. I named four Officers who had been appointed directly to the Police after I had been placed at its head. I had been required to explain these appointments.

G. O. 24th June 1861, No. 326.
Dated 30th August 1861.

4. The Government was pleased to relax the rule which required passing for Staff in favor of the Officers named therein, and the order of Government was approved of by the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India.

5. I beg that both rulings may be made applicable in the case of Captain Stuart. His case is governed by precisely the same considerations and he has a stronger claim to exception than any one of the Officers then named. I beg to explain how his name was omitted in my letter above referred to.

6. Captain Stuart was, for distinguished service in the field throughout the Campaign in Bengal, appointed Commandant of the Kimerly Sibbandi Corps and Assistant to the Agent and Magistrate of Ganjam, in 1859, or before the Police arrangement was introduced into that District. He proved himself a most efficient Officer, and when the force he commanded was amalgamated with the Constabulary, he became the Police Officer of the District in which he *de facto* had been for some time holding an important substantive Police appointment. It was a mere official transfer not a new appointment to the Police, and the actual transfer was made a day or two after my letter was written.

7. I did not mention his name in the letter in question as it was not one of the nominations I was called on to explain. It had been made before my time.

8. Captain Stuart has therefore been omitted by fortuitous circumstances from the list of excepted "Passed Adjutants." But he had actually been far longer in a substantive Staff appointment, and in Police employment, than any of them.

9. I beg that under the above explanation the orders may be extended to Captain Stuart's case.

10. Captain Stuart's services could not possibly be spared at this juncture; nor could leisure be given him to study to pass Staff without detriment to the public service. The Ganjam District is one of the most difficult and peculiar in a Police point of view; and now that the Orissa *Malayah* administration and *Meriah* agency have devolved on the Superintendent of Police, the presence of an officer of his experience (amongst the Hills and *Malayah* population) and peculiar qualifications is indispensable.

11. I request that the Order of Government dated 28th October 1862, No. 1,775, in the case of Lieutenant Balmer may be made applicable to Lieutenant Porteous' case; and that he

Pro

10th J

Officers referred to

For 28th Oct 1862 at 246-247
" 4th Sept " at 20-21
" 24th June 1861 - at 190-191
" 2nd Dec " " at 114-115

Pro. Sec

Indl

1862 Department

From

W. Robinson Esq
Inspector General
of M. Police
To A. J. Ashurst Esq
Chief Secretary to Govt
Fort Saint George.

Dated 20th Nov 1862

Recd 29th "

No 2347 - At 2763

Recommends that
Captain Stuart may
be exempted from pass-
ing the Staff examina-
tion and that also a
period of 9 months ^{be given}
to Lieut Porteous to pass
the Staff examination

97

Inc Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Res. ... 1802

(No. 20 Nov 1802 N 2763)

... 1818

...

...

in conlia ...

conta ...

of the ... of State

under ...

No. 21 ...

case ...

...

... nation

...

2. orders passed

... date one 28 October

21

...

1891

1891

1891

1891

1891

1891

1891

1891

1891

To the

P. H. Maresca

