

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

1974

Press
~~Mis.~~

42-44

Dated

5.12.1962

2/3

Not to be folded or roughly handled

12*

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
5th December 1862.*

Read the following papers: —

No. 42. From H. D. COOK, Esq., Civil and Sessions Judge of Calicut; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Judicial Department, Fort Saint George, dated Calicut, 10th October 1862, No. 628.

1. Special sanction was given to furnish the Magistracy of South Malabar with a small guard at night at the houses of the Magistracy, and as my peons are now reduced in conformity with Government Order dated 6th August 1861, No. 408, Financial Department, I have the honor to request that Government may be pleased to sanction a night guard to my house from the Police.

3 Constables will suffice.

2. Considering my position in the District, and the nature of my duties, I think the Judge should be placed, in this respect, on the same footing with the Magistrate, both being equally entitled to the same protection.

Extract from a Memo. from the Acting Assistant Inspector General of Police, dated 27th September 1862, No. 2,209.

Para. 3. "The sanction of Government should be obtained if a guard extra to the above is required for the duty of guarding the Judge's house at night."

H. D. C.

Referred to the Inspector General of Police for explanation as to the circumstances under which a Police guard has been stationed at the Magistrate's house at Calicut.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
13th October 1862.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary to Government.

No. 43. From W. ROBINSON, Esq., Inspector General of M. Police; to A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Anantapore, 8th November 1862, No. 2,690.

Audit Department.

With reference to the letter* of the Session Judge at Calicut, referred to me to report under what circumstances a Police guard is stationed at the Magistrate's house at Calicut, I have the honor to state—

2. Such a guard was established after the murder of the late Magistrate in 1855. I found it in existence when making the Police arrangements for the District, and concurred with† the Magistrate in the advisability of continuing it for his personal protection. It is not for guarding his house alone.

I think that it may be well to continue it for a time longer, although the imminent danger that existed has quite passed away.

3. No such a provision was made for the personal guard of Judicial Officers, who ever in the most anxious times of Malabar troubles, have been safe from a revenge which the acts of executive Officers only was likely to excite. I have not furnished it, and hope it is quite unnecessary.

No. 44. ORDER THEREON, 5th December 1862, No. 1,974.

Under the circumstances here stated the Magistrate's guard may be retained for the present. The Governor in Council agrees with Mr. Robinson that no such provision is necessary for the protection of the Judicial Officers at Calicut.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. T. McMootry.

No. 1974 . 5th Decr 1862

Where the circumstances here
 stated the Magistrate's Guard
 may be retained for the present
 the Gov^r in Council agrees with
 Mr. Robinson that no such provision
 is necessary for the protection
 of the judicial officers at Calicut

Wm
 E. M.
 J. P.

M. H.

L. H.

To the Civil Surgeon Surge
 of Calicut. }
 " Insp^r. Genl. of Police } desps 8