

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1755

Press
Mis.

215-26

Dated 24. 6. 1862 19

218

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
24th October 1862.*

Read Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 23rd September 1862.

No. 215. Read also the following letter from W. ROBINSON, Esq., Inspector General of M. Police; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Chittoor, 8th October 1862, No. 2,354.

General Department.

I have the honor to submit the following explanation with reference to Government Order, No. 1,572, dated 23rd September 1862, directing me to re-consider the dismissal of Gooroomoorthee Naidu from the Police of the Vizagapatam District.

2. Captain Buck's explanation is likewise forwarded.

3. Gooroomoorthee Naidu never held an appointment in the Police, and therefore was never dismissed. He hastily resigned the service of the Department of Public Works to escape dismissal and applied for service in the Police, which under the circumstances could not be granted. And he appears wilfully to have misrepresented matters in his petition to Government.

4. Superintendents of Police have no power to appoint Inspectors of Police. These appointments are made by me. District Officers receive applications and make careful enquiry about the applicants' antecedents, qualifications, &c., and if the applicant has been in the public service, the District Officer obtains the consent of the Officer he served for his leaving that service, together with a Certificate as to his conduct in that Department. If these matters are satisfactory, the intended recommendation is then submitted to the Magistrate to ascertain whether any objection exists on his part. A recommendation Roll is then submitted to me through the Deputy Inspector General of the Range who makes further enquiry. On perusal of these Certificates, I make the appointment.

5. The petitioner had misbehaved in his own Department and aware that his dismissal from the service of the Department of Public Works was imminent and certain, hurried in his resignation and got some Native official in Captain Buck's Office to speak well of him. Both the applicant and his friend fraudulently suppressed all mention of the difficulty he was in with his own Department. He was told he might attend at the Office and pick up information while further enquiry about him was being instituted.

6. Captain Buck proceeded to make the preliminary enquiry preparatory to making the recommendation. It broke down at the first step. The fraud attempted to be practised was at once discovered and the man very properly was informed by Captain Buck that no further step would be taken in the Police Department towards obtaining from me an appointment. Captain Buck could not have recommended the appointment and neither the Agent nor myself would ever have assented to pass this recommendation had it been made. Thus the individual was never appointed and never dismissed.

7. As it happened I visited Vizagapatam about the time this individual escaped from the Department of Public Works and made this attempt to get into the Police service.

8. I made farther enquiry and am satisfied that he is not only unfit for but unworthy of employment.

9. In conclusion I feel satisfied that the Government will not require me to make an appointment of a man who never has been in the Police, has no claim on the service and is unfit as he is unworthy.

No. 216. ORDER THEREON, 24th October 1862, No. 1,755.

In this letter the Inspector General with reference to Government Order, No. 1,572, on the subject of the dismissal of Gooroomoorthee Naidoo from the Police of the Vizagapatam District, explains that this individual was never as represented in his petition actually employed in the Police. He was allowed to attend at the Police Superintendent's Office to pick up information while enquiries were made regarding him prior to his being appointed to the Police. The enquiry not proving satisfactory he was not recommended for appointment to the Inspector General who is satisfied that he is not fit for employment in the Police.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
24th October 1862.

2. From the above statement the Government understand that the petitioner Goommoorthee Naidoo was never appointed to and consequently was not, as stated in his petition, dismissed from the Police.

3. Under these circumstances the Government see no necessity for interference with the decision arrived at by the Inspector General, Mofussil Police, in the petitioner's case.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Demi-official

My dear Quirk.

I hear that you have given a high recommendation in your Department to a man of the name of Goommoorthee Naidoo, lately in the D. P. M.

If so, I must protest against it in public grounds. Of all the incompetent and useless men I have met with in this Department, he is I think the worst. He was suspended by Blyth for dishonesty, and would have been dismissed, but that he was by a mistake allowed to return to duty before his case was disposed of.

During the 5 months I have been in the District, he has literally done nothing and I was on the point of dismissing him for incorrigible laziness, when he applied for his discharge, stating that he had the offer of service elsewhere. I understood that this offer of service came from the Rajah.

I had no idea that he would be taken into another Department, without my being consulted. Indeed I think Government had laid down strict rules on this point.

His pay under me was \$25 per month and
and I understand he gets much more
from you.

Many of our subordinates are discontented,
and none more so, for he was notoriously the
most inefficient and useless man under me.

As I said on public grounds I feel
bound to protest most urgently against
such a premium on idleness and
dishonesty.

Yours sincerely

Wm. Stewart

Walter

9-7-61

(True copy)

L. Buck Major

Superintendent of Police
Nijmegen

Ind. Dep.

Proceedings of the Madras
Govt. —

Read again No. of the Madras
Govt d/23rd Sept. 1868.

Read letter from Mr. Robinson
Esq. Inspector General of

Muz: Polni.

Order — 24th October 1867 No 1455.

In this letter the Inspector Genl.

with reference to Gov. Order No

1572. on the subject of the dis-

missal of Gooroomooshee

Saidoo from the Police

of the Vizagapatnam District

explains that this individual

was never as represented in

his petition actually employed

in the Police. — He was allowed

to attend at the Police Sukt's office

W M

to the
the

1/2

1/2

to pick up information while
enquiries were made regarding
him prior to being
appointed to the Police.

The enquiry not having
satisfied he was not
recommended for appoint-
ment to the Inspector
General who is satisfied
that he is not fit for
employment in the
Police.

From the above statement
I have understood that
the Pelt Gooroomoorthe
Waidoo was never appointed
to & consequently was
as stated, dismissed
from the Police.

Under these circumstances
I have no necessity
for interference with the
original decision arrived
at by the Inspector
General of Police in the
Pelt case

JF

To A. Gooroomoorthe, Waidoo, Dec 25

Jan 6 1862 80 p. 11
Hosack & Co
210 1455

Proceeds of Book.

8/24 Oct 1862

stating that Government
be no necessity for interference
with the decision arrived at
by the Director General of Police
in the case of the Petitioner
from amongst the hands.

2154218