

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1717	Press ~~Mis~~
162-163		
Dated	18-6-1862	

4/5

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
18th October 1862.*

No. 162. Read the following Proceedings of Government in the Revenue Department, dated
14th October 1862, No. 2,208.

*Forwarding for disposal the proposal made by
Mr. C. Pelly to relieve the Deputy Collector
in Wainad of his Moonsiff powers, and desir-
ing that the High Court be requested to report
whether they could spare any District Moon-
siff out of the present sanctioned establish-
ment for service in Wainad.*

(Vide Proceedings Revenue Department, dated
14th October 1862, Nos. 175 and 176.)

No. 163. ORDER THEREON, 18th October 1862, No. 1,717.

Referred to the High Court.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter from the Honorable C. PELLY, Member of the Board of Revenue, to the Honorable T. PYCROFT, Chief Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Ootacamund, 25th September 1862, No. 1,371:—

SIR,

1. I have the honor to submit for the consideration and orders of Government a letter* and note† from the Collector of Malabar, regarding the appointment of a separate Moonsiff for Wainád.

* Dated 12th August 1862.
† Dated 18th September 1862.

2. Originally there was in Wainád a Deputy Collector and Magistrate, and a District Moonsiff, stationed at Manantoddy. It was then proposed the appointment of a second Deputy Magistrate, and accordingly two Deputy Magistrates were given to the Wainád Táluq. But subsequently (see Proceedings of Government, dated 24th September 1860, No. 1,698) it appearing that more had been done for Wainád in this respect than was necessary and more than could be done without disregarding claims elsewhere, it was proposed to have but one Deputy Collector and Magistrate at Manantoddy invested with a Moonsiff's powers, and to remove the Moonsiff at Manantoddy to Nelialam, and to invest him with Magisterial powers also, and to raise him to a higher class of Moonsiff.

3. Mr. Grant, the late Collector, had also asked for three Sub-Magistrates for the Wainád; but with a Tahsildár, and two Deputy Collectors and Magistrates, it was thought that one Sub-Magistrate at Vaitry would be enough.

4. The Moonsiff at Manantoddy, it seems, was removed, but none has been appointed for Nelialam as contemplated, so the Magisterial staff of the Táluq now consist of:

1 Deputy Collector and Magistrate invested also with the Judicial powers of a Moonsiff.

1 Tahsildár.

1 Sub-Magistrate at Vaitry.

5. The Collector, Mr. Ballard, now asks for another Sub-Magistrate; and as the necessity for this Officer has already been contemplated by Government in their Proceedings dated 3rd July last, I have the honor to request that immediate sanction may be given to the Collector to entertain him. The Sub-Magistrate at Vaitry receives only 35 Rupees. But this is inadequate remuneration; and at the suggestion of the Collector, who, on the spot has considered the requirements of the District, I beg to recommend that the two Sub-Magistrates should receive respectively 65 Rupees and 50 Rupees each as Second and Third Class Sub-Magistrates. But that the establishment for each should be the same. The following is the revised establishment I would recommend for the Sub-Magistrates of the Wainád Táluq, costing 197 Rupees. The present cost of the Vaitry establishment, viz., 69 Rupees, will then be cancelled:—

	At Goodaloor.		At Vaitry.	
	Number.	Pay.	Number.	Pay.
		RS.		RS.
Sub-Magistrate	1	65	1	50
Gumáshta	1	15	1	15
Dufterbund	1	7	1	7
Masaljee and Sweeper	1	5	1	5
Peons at 7 Rupees each	1	14	2	14
Total...	7	106	7	91

6. The Collector further points out that the duties of the Deputy Collector are essentially of a nature requiring his frequent, if not constant, movement in the District; and requests that he may be relieved of other duties, the discharge of which is quite incompatible with the efficient performance of his Revenue and Magisterial work, and he recommends that there should be a separate Moonsiff appointed for the Wainád.

7. The proposition of the Collector is well deserving the re-consideration of the Government, as I think it would be expedient to relieve the Deputy Collector of his Moonsiff powers, and to appoint a separate Moonsiff for Wainád, as contemplated by Government in their Proceedings of 24th September 1860. But the Moonsiff might still, as originally contemplated, be invested with Magisterial powers, as a Sitting Magistrate either at Manantoddy, or Nelialum, as the Government may deem most fit. The Deputy Collector and Magistrate would then, at his head quarters and while moving through his District, supply the remaining Magisterial wants of the District over which he is to preside.

8. There would then be—

- 1 Deputy Collector and Magistrate.
- 1 Tahsildár.
- 2 Sub-Magistrates.
- 1 Moonsiff (with Magisterial powers) for this Táluq. The cost of which would be follows:—

	RS.
Deputy Collector's salary...	500
Do. establishment...	118
Tahsildár's salary...	150
Do. establishment...	359
Sub-Magistrate, Second Class, salary...	65
Do. establishment...	41
Sub-Magistrate, Third Class, salary...	50
Do. establishment...	41
Total Revenue and Magisterial.....	1,324
1 Moonsiff's salary...	200
Do. establishment...	60
Total Judicial.....	260
Total.....	1,584

9. The Moonsiff and his establishment would of course be charged to the Judicial Department.

10. The Sub-Magistrates' salaries would be increased to Rupees 100 and to Rupees 70 respectively, if the recommendation made in my letter to you, under date the 11th July last, for raising the salary of the Sub-Magistrates generally, is adopted.

ORDER THEREON, 14th October 1862, No. 2,208.

1. The Collector of Malabar and Mr. Pelly forcibly point out in the above papers the necessity of a second Sub-Magistrate for Wainád, and for the Deputy Collector in that Táluq being relieved from the duties of a Moonsiff. The proposal to raise the pay of the present Sub-Magistrate at Vaitri is also a judicious one. The Governor in Council therefore sanctions the establishment suggested by Mr. Pelly and specified in the following table:—

	Present at Vaitri.	Proposed	
		At Gudalur.	At Vaitri.
Sub-Magistrate	35	65	50
1 Gumáshta...	15	15	15
1 Dufterbund...	...	7	7
2 Peons at 7 Rupees each...	14	14	14
1 Masalchi and Sweeper	5	5	5
		106	91
Total.....	69	197	
Increase...		128	

Mr. Pelly will include this establishment in the Tabular Statement under preparation, and provide for the higher rates of salaries proposed in paragraph 10 of his present letter agreeably to paragraph 1 of the Order of Government dated 3rd September 1862, No. 1,867.

2. The proposal to relieve the Deputy Collector from the work of a Moonsiff will be disposed of in the Judicial Department. The High Court will be requested through that Department to report whether they could spare any District Moonsiff out of the present sanctioned establishment for service in Wainád. The pay of the Moonsiff's establishment would be 120 Rupees per mensem and not 60 Rupees as stated by Mr. Pelly.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Honorable Mr. Pelly.
 „ Board of Revenue.
 „ Judicial Department.
 „ Civil Paymaster.
 „ Accountant General.

Exd. S.

Jan. 3rd 1862 - 1877-78
 Feb. 1st 1862 - 1877-78
 3rd March 1860 - 1877-78
 29th Sept 1860 - 1877-78
 10th Oct 1860 - 1877-78
 15th Nov 1860 - 1877-78

1877-78
 1878-79

Judicial 1862 Sept 1st

James of Oct 1st

1860: 17 Sept in the
 Nov: 21 2208.

No. 1111. 21 October 1862
 Referred to the High
 Court.

[Signature]

8/14th 1862
 29 13-1-1862

4th of 1862

Dec. 20 1864

Dec. 23 1864

Forwarding for disposal
 proposal made by Mr
 Bell to relieve the depu
 t collector in Arunachal

1. Mr. Brown will however
 desiring that the High Court
 be requested to report whether
 they could spare any Sir that
 Mr. Bell is out of the province
 dismissed with a view to come
 on to Arunachal

Gifts and Orders.

The Chief Justice &
 Judges of the High Court
 1862-1863