

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1572	Press ~~Mis.~~
244-244A	
Dated 23.9.1882	18

7/8

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1572

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
23rd September 1862.*

Read the following Petition from A. GOOROOMOORTHY NAIK,
class Inspector of Police in Vizagapatam District, dated 25th June 1862.

Brings the following circumstances to the notice of Government: After serving ten years in the Public Works Department, he resigned his situation in Vizagapatam under Major Shaw Stewart and obtained the post of an Inspector of Police under Captain Buck in that District.

On hearing of his appointment, Major Stewart wrote and protested against this appointment, saying that he was incorrigibly idle, had been suspended and only by a mistake restored, and that had Petitioner not resigned, it was his intention to have dismissed him on account of idleness.

That on receiving this report Captain Buck dismissed Petitioner from the Police. His appeal to the Deputy Inspector General has been without effect.

Prays for redress and restoration to the Police.

No. 244 A. ORDER THEREON, 23rd September 1862, No. 1,572.

The Petitioner brings to the notice of Government the circumstances under which he was dismissed from the Mofussil Police.

2. It would appear from the petitions and from the records furnished by the Chief Engineer's Office, that the Petitioner resigned his situation as Overseer in the Public Works Department, in the Vizagapatam District to accept an Inspectorship of Police under Captain Buck, Superintendent of Police in the same District. That shortly after his appointment Major Shaw Stewart, the District Engineer, remonstrated with Captain Buck on this appointment, pointing out that the Petitioner had been under suspension and had narrowly escaped dismissal and that had he not resigned his appointment in the Public Works Department, he would have been dismissed for incorrigible idleness.

2. Upon receiving this report the Petitioner was dismissed from the Police.

3. The Government observe that when the Petitioner tendered his resignation it was accepted by Major Shaw Stewart who reported the same to his official superior, without any further remark than that "the Petitioner had resigned in consequence of his getting other employment."

4. As regards the suspension of the Petitioner, it appears that while Captain Blagrove was Engineer of the Vizagapatam District the Petitioner was charged with disobedience of the Departmental Orders in the matter of a contract for the repair of an annicut, and for keeping his accounts irregularly. It was the wish of the District Engineer that the Petitioner should be suspended for a short period and forfeit his salary during suspension. This course not being in accordance with the rules laid down in the Department of Public Works, the District Engineer was informed that it rested with him to dismiss the Petitioner if convicted of any grave offence or to degrade him to some lower appointment, but if neither of these courses was adopted, he could not be deprived of his salary while under suspension.

5. The Petitioner was neither dismissed nor degraded and it was of his own accord that he resigned his situation in the Department Public Works. Under these circumstances the Government regret that Major Shaw Stewart should have made such a report to Captain Buck as resulted in the Petitioner's dismissal, without giving the Petitioner an opportunity of proving whether by his activity and good conduct, he was qualified to retain the appointment of Inspector of Police which had been conferred upon him. It seems inconsistent with the incorrigible idleness ascribed, by Major Shaw Stewart, to the Petitioner, that he should have remained for ten years in the Public Works Department and that he should have quitted it by a resignation of his appointment.

6. Under these circumstances the Government resolve to furnish a copy of these Proceedings to the Inspector General of Police, with a reconsideration by that Officer, of the dismissal of the Petitioner.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. F. Cartledge.

-pointment. A. B.

Ptition.

ial -

U. K. G. J. C.

No 2165 of 1862.

Class Inspector of Police
in Vizagapatnam District.

Memo.

I have gone through the

papers connected with

the Petitioner's suspension for

a month. He had disobeyed

the departmental orders,

J. P. W.

regarding a contract for

the repair of an anikats

and had not kept

his accounts with

regularity. But he was

not to come
13th August

Brings the follow-
ing circumstances to the

Notice of Government

being ten years

in the public service

Department, he resign-

ed his situation in

Vizagapatnam under

Major Shaw Stewart

and obtained the post

f

appointment as Asst.

-Pillar: Mr.

29 Sept

Judicial Dept

Proceedings of the Madras
Govt

Read Petition presented by
A. Gooramoorthy Naidoo late
5th class Inspector of Police in
the Vizagapatam district

dated 25th June 1862 and 18th Aug¹⁸⁶²
Order thereon 23rd September 1862 No 1572
The Pet. brings to the notice of

Govt the circumstances under
which he was dismissed from
the Municipal Police -

from the Pet. and from
the records furnished by
the Chief Engineers Office,

2 It would appear that the Pet.
occupied his situation
as an Inspector in the Public Works
Department in the Vizagapatam
dist. to which an Inspector-
ship of Police under
Buck, Superintendent of the
Police in the same district -
that shortly after his ap-
pointment as

W. M.

Stewart, the District Engineer,
communicated with the
Captain Mack on this
appointment, pointing
out that Mr. Pelt had
been under suspension
and had recently ~~been~~
dismissed ^{and} that had
he not resigned his ap-
pointment in the
works department, he
would have been dis-
missed for incorrigible
idleness.

2 Upon receiving this report
Mr. Pelt was dismissed
from the office.

3 The Govt observe that
when Mr. Pelt tendered
his resignation it was
accepted by Major

Shaw Stewart who reported
the same to his official
superior, without any fur-
ther remark than that
"Mr. Pelt had resigned in
consequence of his getting
other employment."

4 - As regards the suspension
of Mr. Pelt it appears that
while Captain Blagden
was Engineer of the Bigga-
patam district, Mr.
was charged with disobedience
of the departmental orders
in the matter of a contract
for the repair of ~~an engine~~ ^{an engine}
and for keeping ~~his accounts~~
irregularly. It was the
wish of the district Engineer
that Mr. Pelt should be
suspended for a short
period & forbid ~~to work~~
during suspension.

his course not being in accordance with the rules laid down in the Dept. of Public Works, the District Engineer was informed that it rested with him to dismiss Mr. Pelt if convicted of any grave offense or to deprive ^{him of some base appointment} ~~him~~ but if neither of these courses was adopted, he could not be deprived of his salary while under suspension.

5 The Pelt. was neither dismissed nor degraded and ~~was~~ it was of his own accord that he resigned his situation in the D. P. W. —

Under these circumstances the Government think regret that Major Shaw Stewart should have made such a report to Captain Buck as resulted

in the Pelt's dismissal, without giving the Pelt an opportunity of proving whether by his activity and good conduct, he was qualified to retain the appointment of ^{of Pelt} ~~of Pelt~~ inspector, which had been conferred upon him. It seems inconsistent with the incorrigible ~~illness~~ ascribed, by Major Shaw Stewart, to the Pelt, that he should have remained for ten years in the Public Works Dept. and that he should have quitted it by a resignation of his appointment.

6 Under these circumstances the Govt. resolve to ~~repeal~~

to furnish the a copy
of these Proceedings to
the Inspector General of
~~the~~ Police with
a view to a reconsideration
by that Officer, of the
dismissal of the Pet.

W.H.L.

and also.

To the Inspector General of Police }
" Petition with 2 petitions } Aug 24th

July 1862 Sept

18/5/72.

Procceding off for.

2/23 Sept 1862
Sept 2057.

Examining certain
-cedings to the Inspector
General of Police with a view
to a recommendation by that
Officer of the dismissal of
the following persons
names:-

2. 11/11/11. 12/12/11