

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1448	Press
		~~Mis~~
21-22		
Dated	3.9.1862	~~19~~

b/b

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
3rd September 1862.*

No. 21. Read the following letter from JONN MILLER, Esq., Acting Government Solicitor, Madras; to the Honorable T. PYCROFT, Chief Secretary to Government, Madras, dated 28th August 1862, No. 381.

1. With reference to the Proceedings of Government noted in the margin, I have the honor to forward herewith a Return (in the form therein embodied) shewing the extent of litigation in which Government were a party in the Supreme Court at Madras during the year 1861.

2. I am not aware of the object for which this Return is required, but if it is intended to give an idea of the work done in my Office, I may mention that the Return covers but a small portion of it, the major portion consisting of work done out of Court, such as conveyancing, advising, answering references, &c., &c.

3. The form of Return prescribed by Government is not quite applicable to cases in the late Supreme Court, inasmuch as there were no regular, summary, or special appeals triable before that Court.

No. 22. ORDER THEREON, 3rd September 1862, No. 1,440.

The Government Solicitor is requested to state what the original suits entered in his Return were, and how many were connected with the Nabob's Estate under Act XXX, 1858.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. F. Cartledge.

N^o 381.

Madras, August 28th, 1862.

From

John Miller Esq^r

Att^y. Government Solicitor - Madras.

To

The Honorable J. Pycroft

Chief Secretary to Government -
Madras.

Sir,

1. With reference to the Proceedings of Government noted in the margin, I have the honor to forward herewith a Return (in the form therein embodied) shewing the "test of
Judicial Department - } litigation in which Government
were a party in the Supreme Court at Madras
during the year 1861.

2. I am not aware of the object for which this Return is required, but if it is intended to give an idea of the work done in my office, I may mention that the Return covers but a small portion of it, the major portion consisting of work done out of Court, such as Conveyancing, advising, answering references &c. &c.

3. The form of 'Ret' prescribed by Government is not quite applicable to Cases in the late Supreme Court, inasmuch as there

11.

Return showing the extent of litigation in which
Government were a party in the Supreme Court at Madras
during the year 1861.

Government Plaintiff.
or Appellant. Government Defendant
or Respondent.

Total Number of Cases pending.
Decided in favor of Government.
- 22 - against Government.
Total decided.
Percentages of Cases decided in favor of Government.
22. 100. 1. 8
Number of Cases pending
decided in favor of Government.
- 22 - against Government.
Total decided.
Percentages of Cases decided in favor of Government.
- 22 - against Government.

Original Suits 1. 19. 4 23. 82.2% 17.8% 9. 10. 1. 92.2% 9.8%
Regular appeals
Special appeals
Summary appeals

W. H. Miller
Acty. Gov.

Madras, S.
28th August 1862.

Sudicial.

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.

Read letter from the
Govt. Solicitor — Enter 28 Aug. '02 N^o 381.

Order — 3rd Sept. '18

The Govt. Solicitor is in-
formed that the Return
which he has furnished
was not intended to
indicate the work done
in his office — but merely
the extent of litigation
in which the Govt. were
a party in '01. He is re-
quested to state what
the original suits en-
tered in his Return
were — & how many
were connected with
the Naloh's Estate
under Act XXX-58.

The Govt. Solicitor. Recd.

Encl^{ure}
N^o 40381.

From
The Secy. Genl. to Govt.
The Chief Secy to Govt.
The Secy to Govt.
The Secy to Govt.

not 16200-173.

21422

Vol. 44

Page 10

1862
1862
1862

1862. Department.

No. 10

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

1862

1862

