

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	~~1343~~ 1434.	Press ~~1115.~~
11-12		
Dated	1. 9. 1862	# .

13/14

Not to be folded or roughly handled

the
has
January
submits

apapatam since the

September
th September to 18th
from 18th November 1899
on 20th May to 18th

r Judici
riment.
concerned

ons all tend to show that the Officers were
discharge of their duty though delay certainly occurred in the
by the Code to bring y conclus

the
on the
pi

let to the reason
left in arrears by

the evidence
evidence has been satis

operated

inces a spirit of procrastina
interests of the citizens
True Extract.
(Sign

entered in the lists prepared by

3. Of the 14

first 12 only

month

the Court will perceive that the
only a short time before the adjourn

case will show that
to the coming into op

the evin
Act VII

3. 1

I cannot say what cases they are
moreover beg to state, that f

for Messrs

req.
submit
their re

magapala.

To

her he took not
time to make not

ed after
this Off
had been

in the d

5

1

1-1

Statement showing the work performed by Mr Saggah Row from 1st June up to the 18th December
 while he was Acting Principal Sudder Ameen of Tirunelveli.

Months	Decrees on the merits			Decrees on admission	Paysanama Decrees	Paysanama Decrees	Withdrawals	Dismissals for default	Total	Grand Total	Extra Re- visions dis- posed of
	Original	Appeals	Total Decrees								
For the month of June 1861	12	18	30	"	"	5	11	"	16	46	81
For the month of July D.	31	2	33	"	"	3	6	3	12	45	39
For the month of Aug. D.	22	8	30	20	14	3	11	1	49	79	81
For the month of Sept. D.	28	2	30	"	"	5	4	"	21	51	78
For the month of Oct. D.	15	2	17	7	5	7	15	"	34	51	65
For the month of Nov. D.	29	1	30	11	11	0	21	1	56	86	59
From 1st to 18th Dec. D.	12	"	12	"	"	9	15	"	26	38	34
Total	149	33	182	43	33	38	95	5	214	396	437

Signed: M. Saggah Row.
 Principal Sudder Ameen.

Statement showing the years and numbers of
 suits decided by Mr. Juggah Row at Vizagapatam.

Appeal Decrees

Year	Numbers	Year	Numbers	Year	Numbers	Year	Numbers	Total
1858	65	1859	7	1860	12	1861	1	.
.	87	"	11	"	13	"	3	.
.	42	"	26	"	14	"	4	.
.	128	"	46	"	18	"	5	.
.	129	"	54	"	20	"	7	.
.	130	"	70	"	26	"	8	.
.	153	"	72	"	27	"	9	.
.	"	"	70	"	"	"	10	.
.	"	"	86	"	"	"	12	.
.	"	"	"	"	"	"	14	.
7		9		7		40		33

Sign. Juggah Row.
 Mr. Juggah Row.

Exdalt.

Decd 162 24/1637

Statement showing the years and numbers of the
decided by Mr. Juggah Rao at Vizagapatam

Original Papers

Year	Number	Year	Number	Total
1860	150	1861	3	"
	189	"	9	"
	199	"	13	"
	209	"	15	"
	212	"	26	"
	218	"	28	"
	225	"	31	"
		"	32	"
	231	"	33	"
	236	"	38	"
	241	"	40	"
	242	"	44	"
	243	"	48	"
	244	"	49	"
	250	"	50	"
	255	"	51	"
	256	"	55	"
	259	"	56	"
	261	"	57	"
	265	"	58	"
	266	"	59	"
	274	"	63	"
	277	"	66	"
	278	"	68	"
	280	"	72	"
	293	"	81	"
	296	"	82	"
	298	"	84	"

240 3703 of 1862

162 of 1867

Original Decrees.

Year	Number	Year	Number	Total
1860	303	1861	90	
"	304	"	92	"
"	309	"	94	"
"	"	"	95	"
"	"	"	107	"
"	"	"	108	"
"	"	"	110	"
"	"	"	111	"
"	"	"	112	"
"	"	"	114	"
"	"	"	115	"
"	"	"	117	"
"	"	"	120	"
"	"	"	121	"
"	"	"	124	"
"	"	"	136	"
"	"	"	147	"
"	"	"	148	"
"	"	"	149	"
"	"	"	152	"
"	"	"	155	"
"	"	"	156	"
"	"	"	158	"
"	"	"	159	"
"	"	"	162	"
"	"	"	165	"
"	"	"	168	"
"	"	"	180	"
"	"	"	183	"
"	"	"	188	"
"	"	"	189	"

Original Decrees.

Year	Number	Year	Number	Total
1860	"	1861	193	"
"	"	"	194	"
"	"	"	197	"
"	"	"	198	"
"	"	"	200	"
"	"	"	201	"
"	"	"	202	"
"	"	"	203	"
"	"	"	205	"
"	"	"	207	"
"	"	"	211	"
"	"	"	212	"
"	"	"	213	"
"	"	"	223	"
"	"	"	224	"
"	"	"	226	"
"	"	"	227	"
"	"	"	234	"
"	"	"	242	"
"	"	"	243	"
"	"	"	245	"
"	"	"	255	"
"	"	"	259	"
"	"	"	266	"
"	"	"	274	"
"	"	"	276	"
"	"	"	280	"
"	"	"	281	"
"	"	"	305	"
"	"	"	320	"
"	"	"	322	"
"	"	"	330	"
"	"	"	332	"

The points-whereof by the 1st & 2^d
 in which the different L. Judges
 have failed efficiently to per-
 form their duty. Those be-
 lievedly animadverted upon
 and I think the House
 has decided to add it to the
 report - to present such
 a state of things, as were occurring
 without their knowledge as
 it is to be expected. I hope
 that the case in this
 will serve

Enclosure in a
 remembrance of the
 Indian Court dates
 13th August 1862.
 10:10h.

1862/10-2-1867.

Judicial Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read a
10. 11. Pros. of the Sudder Court } No. 12th June 1862. N^o 912.
Order there on 1st September 1862. N^o 1434.
No. 13th August 62 N^o 100.

In his letter recorded in the Proceedings of the 12th Dec. last. N^o 912. Mr. Carmichael brought to the notice of the Govt. the large amount of cases that had ... in the Subordinate Court of Chiacole when he joined it. In respect of the miscellaneous work of the Court, the state of which Mr. Carmichael did not describe, but left to be inferred from the great neglect of the regular business, there were on the 19th December last 102 suits brought to their first hearing, but in 11 of these had not been

framed

(Sine Contract)

C. J. & Co. Secy.

Off. Chief Secretary to Government.

1862/102-100/1837.

framed, and 41 suits closed,
 but admitting decision - and
 In some of the latter, there
 were no notes of the evidence
 in the Judge's own hand

2 In their Pros: record-
 ed about the same Court
 submit, with their
 the explanation of the several
 officers, who presided in this
 Court, since Act. VIII - 59 came
 into operation - excepting
 that of Mr. Dalyell, who is
 absent from

3 The result of the
 is shown below:-

	Presided		Jury		Issues		Judgments
	From	To	Months	Days	Issues	Judgments	
Mr. C. R. Selley	1860 1 st January	1860 1 st September	8	"	"	"	1 SS
" R. H. Dalyell	17 th September	18 th November	2	"	"	"	"
" C. J. Longley	18 th November	1861 29 th May	6	11	12 O. SS	4 A. SS.	"
Mr. Suggah Row	29 th May 1861	19 th December	6	20	89 O. SS	19 O. SS. 5 A. SS.	"

Suits - 101 34.

4. Mr. Longley has not stated
 whether he took notes of the
 evidence in his own hand.
 Mr. Suggah Row explains
 that he had no time to make
 notes. It is
 accepted as
 it has not satisfied the
 Sudder Court - while the large
 amount of arrears, for which
 this officer is responsible,
 indicates dilatoriness in
 the discharge of his duty,
 as remarked by the Judges
 5. - The state of affairs
 which Mr. Carmichael
 his assuming charge found
 to exist in the Subordinate
 Court of Chitacole, must of
 course have existed without
 the knowledge of the Sudder
 Court. The obvious inference
 is that that Court had
 no sufficient means of
 informing themselves of
 the state of the subordinate
 Tribunals - or of promptly

detecting and remedying de-
fects & abuses - The Governor
in Council resolves to recom-
mend to the early considera-
tion of the High Court, the
adoption of some efficient
system of check for the
more effectual supervision
and control of the lower Courts.

AP

The Chief Justice & Judges
of the High Court with 2 letters returned
Agent in Vizagapatam. } Despd 2^d

AP

Ms. A. 9. 2. 28.
1866. Department.

No. 14311.

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Dated 11 Sept 1862

estimating the
reaction people will
have is essential to
the success of the
campaign. It is the
idea of the "Big
Two".