

147/53/88.

M.R.O. 1-32,000-16-10-29.

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*Judicial* DEPARTMENT.

|                  |                          |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| G.O. No. 1027    | Press<br><del>His.</del> |
| 3-6              |                          |
| Dated 1. 7. 1862 | 40 .                     |

u/s

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,*

*1st July 1862.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 3. From A. P. HODGSON, Esq., Acting Magistrate of South Canara; to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Mangalore, 4th June 1862, No. 9.

With reference to the Proceedings of Government, dated 24th ultimo, No. 837, I have the

• Ragoo Jadow and Ragoo.

honor to state that the Convicts\* therein alluded to, are inhabitants of the North Canara District, in the Bombay Presidency.

No. 4. From Lieutenant Colonel W. G. WOODS, Adjutant General of the Army; to A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Esq., Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Ootacamund, 10th June 1862, No. 81.

Communicating letter from the Secretary to the Government of Bombay transmitting a Roll of 4 Convicts undergoing sentence in the Andamans who claims their release under the Resolution of the Governor General of 19th November 1860 and asking the opinion of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief in respect to Shaik Allee late Havildar of the 22nd Regiment N. I.

With reference to paragraph 2 of the Order of Government in the Judicial Department, 24th May 1862, No. 837, I have the honor by order of the Commander-in-Chief to report for the information of Government, that from a communication received from the Officer Com-

manding 22nd Regiment N. I., dated 3rd June 1862, No. 227, it appears that there was only one Havildar of the name of Shaik Allee in the Regiment under his command, and he was pensioned on the 30th June 1861, and no man of the 22nd N. I. has been transported for many years.

2. His Excellency is therefore unable to give an opinion on the case of the Convict of that name.

No. 5. From J. ROHDE, Esq., Inspector General of Jails; to A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Esq., Acting Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George, dated Ootacamund, 23rd June 1862, No. 295.

I have the honor in reference to the Order of Government, 24th May, No. 837, to state that after an examination of all papers in my possession, likely to make any allusion to the Prisoner Ameer Khan, I am unable to find his name on my records nor have I any clue to his identification.

No. 6. To the Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Bombay, dated Fort Saint George, 1st July 1862, No. 1,027.

In acknowledging the receipt of Mr. Shaw Stewart's letter of the 19th April last, No. 1,344, enclosing an Extract from a descriptive list of Convicts in confinement at the Andamans, I am directed to state that on reference to the Collector of South Canara, it appears that two of the Convicts in question, viz., Ragoo Jadow and Ragoo belong to North Canara and to suggest that the Magistrate of that District should be instructed after reference to the records of their trial to report whether their cases do not fall under the exceptions noted in the margin of paragraph 3 of Mr. Secretary Grey's letter of the 19th November 1860, No. 2,645, and if they do, whether there would be objections to their being permitted to return to their homes.

2. A copy of the letter in question is sent herewith for easy reference.

3. The cases of the other two Convicts cannot be traced. I annex copies of letters from the Adjutant General of the Madras Army and from the Inspector General of Jails with reference to them.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,  
Acting Chief Secretary.

Exd. F. Cartledge.

*instructed*

Sept 27

Judicial Department

N<sup>o</sup> 1034

To Mr. Secy. Chief Secretary to Government  
Bombay

Sir,

In answer to the  
the receipt of Mr. Shaw  
Stewart's letter of the 19<sup>th</sup>  
April last N<sup>o</sup> 1344, inclu-  
ding an extract from a  
descriptive list of convicts  
in confinement at the An-  
daman, I am directed to  
state that on reference  
to the register of South  
Canara, it appears that  
two of the convicts in question  
viz. Ma goe Jadoon and Jago  
belong to North Canara and  
to suggest that the Magistrate  
of that District should be  
instructed

instructed after reference  
to the records of the <sup>High</sup> Court to  
report whether this case  
do not fall under the  
exceptions noted in the  
margin of para 3 of the  
Honble Judge's letter of  
the 14<sup>th</sup> Decr 1860, No 2643  
and if they do, whether  
there would be objection  
to their being permitted to  
return to their ~~homes~~ <sup>homes</sup>.

2 A copy of the letter  
in question is sent herewith  
for easy reference.

3 The cases of the other  
two convicts cannot be traced  
in any of the copies of letters  
from the Assistant Quarter  
of the Madras Army and  
from the Inspector General  
of Prisons with reference to  
them.

For St George,  
17<sup>th</sup> July 1862

W. H. P. [Signature]

Oct 11 July

George W.

W. H. W. W. W.

W. H. W.

W. H. W. W. W.

W. H. W.

W. H. W.

Informing him that the carrier  
Nagoo Chander and Nagoo Singh  
to be in the same vessel as the  
of the 19th April belong to the  
district of North Canara, and  
that the case of the other two  
cannot be traced.