

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	603	Press
	26-27	~~Mis.~~
Dated	14. 5. 1880	19

Not to be folded or roughly handled

5/5

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
for the month of May 1860.*

No. 26. Read the following letter from J. R. BOYSON, Esq., Government Solicitor, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 5th May 1860, No. 445.

With reference to my letter of the 10th of April, No. 317, I have the honor to forward for the information of Government a return of the Cases at the last Sessions which the Clerk of the Crown instructed Counsel to prosecute, being 8 in number, involving an aggregate cost, payable from the Supreme Court Fines Fund, of Rupees 840-4-0, and giving an average for each case of Rupees 105-0-6.

Return showing the amounts to be disbursed from the Supreme Court Fines Fund, for Costs of Prosecutions at the second Criminal Sessions for Madras in 1860.

1. The Queen against R. S. D'Silva:	} For Larceny... ..	123 12 0
2. The Queen against Lingammah and Gungummah.	} For Felony in stealing a child and harbour- ing same.....	112 4 0
3. The Queen against Hameeda Bee and Rokia Bee.	} For Felony in stealing a child and harbour- ing same.....	96 8 0
4. The Queen against Hameeda Bee and Rokia Bee.	} For Felony in stealing a child and harbour- ing same... ..	98 12 0
5. The Queen against Soonnee Mah and Abid Hooseain.	} For Felony in stealing a child and harbour- ing same.....	104 12 0
6. The Queen against Hameeda Bee and Rokia Bee.	} For Felony in stealing a child and harbour- ing same... ..	97 4 0
7. The Queen against Habeeb Sah, Abid Sahib and Rokia Bee.	} For Felony in stealing a child and harbour- ing same.....	99 8 0
8. The Queen against Sheik Tippoo.	} For Felony in stealing a child.....	107 8 0
*Company's Rupees...		840 4 0
Giving an average per case of Rupees...		105 0 6

(Signed) J. R. BOYSON,
Government Solicitor.

No. 27. ORDER THEREON, 14th May 1860, No. 603.

It is observed that seven out of the eight cases entered in the return were for child-stealing, and the whole of them are understood to have failed in consequence of the faulty manner in which the indictments were drawn. The Government would wish to know what the errors were and why they were committed.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. F. Cartledge.

May 9. 1860

My dear Mr. Lynd
I have been at the
Fair Hill at the last
session. The efforts of
the firm instruction
brought to present to
the Judge that they
under express orders
from both the Judges.
I suppose they considered
them as in truth they
were primes of grave
magnitude following

in public mind of Acts of the flesh of
 every effort to ensure the same are not ipso
 furnished. The exception on this
 grand thing found a mind would not on
 true bill in each of these any other that I am
 takes the principle that of I am passing
 to deliver a report then all set payable
 latest as soon as a
 true bill is found and
 the fees always supposed
 to be paid at the time
 the report is delivered
 the result of a process
 whatever it may be
 never in any way affect
 himself fees. The

Yours very truly
 J. H. R. S. S.

Forward
date 11/5/1880

Order Thomas M. May 1800. No. 003.

It is observed that seven out of the
eight cases entered in the return
were for child stealing, and the
whole of them are understood
to have failed in consequence
of the faulty manner in
which the indictment was
drawn. The jury would wish
to know what the errors were
and why they were committed.

For Solicitor
Sept 10 - L. E. D.

J. P.