

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	253	Press
		Mis.
5-8		
Dated	1-3-	19/8.60

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
for the month of March 1860.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 5. From C. J. SHUBRICK, Esq., Magistrate of Chingleput; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Saidapet, 25th January 1860, No. 3.

	<i>Rs. A. P.</i>	
Pay.....	77 9 5	1. I have the honor to submit herewith 2 Bills for pay and batta due to certain Madras Police Peons while employed in apprehending the robbers who committed gang robberies in the houses of 2 inhabitants of the village of Kálátipetta in the Saidapet Talúk in this District on the 5th August last, and request that the sanction of Government may be obtained for my disbursing the same, Rupees 97-9-5.
Batta.....	20 0 0	
	97 9 5	

2. Of the Prisoners apprehended by the Police as concerned in the above robberies, 10 were sentenced to 14 years' imprisonment and 7 held to security for 3 years.

No. 6.

No. 49.

MEMORANDUM.

Referring to the two Bills for pay and batta due to certain Madras Police Peons submitted by the Magistrate of Chingleput with his letter 25th instant, No. 3, the Chief Secretary requests explanation as to the variance between the names of the Peons given in the two Bills and the periods for which the allowances are claimed as shown in the annexed columnar statement.

FORT SAINT GEORGE, }
1st February 1860. }

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

STATEMENT.

Pay Bill.

Batta Bill.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Veerasawmy—from 9th August to 14th October 1859. 2. Soobramanyan—from 22nd August to 21st September 1859. 3. Seenevassen—from 9th August to 14th October 1859. 4. Soobrayen—from 9th August to 21st September 1859. 5. Ravonojee—from 15th August to 21st September 1859. 6. Toolookanum—from 15th to 17th August 1859. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Verasami—for September 1859. 2. Subramaneyan—from 1st to 22nd September 1859. 3. Subroyen—from 1st to 23rd September 1859. 4. Ravanoji—from 1st to 23rd September 1859. 5. Ramasami—from 25th to 30th September 1859. 6. (No name)—from 1st to 30th September 1859. |
|---|---|

To the Magistrate of Chingleput, with two Statements to be returned.

No. 7. From C. J. SHUBRICK, Esq., Magistrate of Chingleput; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Saidapet, 13th February 1860, No. 4.

1. In reply to your Memorandum No. 49 of the 1st instant, requesting explanation of the variances in the spelling of the Peons' names in the Bills for batta and pay and in the periods of those items submitted with my letter of the 25th ultimo, No. 3, I have the honor to submit a revised Bill for batta in which the names have been spelt agreeably with the Bill for pay and to state that the variance in the periods for which the two items were submitted arose from two causes.

1st. That the Batta Bill for August had been submitted by the Head of Police direct to the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury unknown to me and paid by that Officer without my knowledge, and consequently was not included in my Bill (though the amount thus irregularly paid has now been included for the necessary sanction being obtained,) and

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
for the month of March 1860.*

2ndly. That the batta which appears to fall short of the number of days for which pay has been claimed is owing to the batta for those days having it is understood been separately paid by the Criminal Court before whom the Peons Virásami and Srínivásen were in attendance.

2. In the cases wherein batta has been erroneously overdrawn beyond the days for pay, the necessary rectification has now been made.

3. In the case of the Peon* claiming batta but not pay, it has arisen from his having apprehended a Prisoner in Madras and brought him for enquiry to Saidapet without having been sent there on duty by the Madras Police Commissioner, and during whose absence a substitute was therefore not employed.

4. The Bill for batta it will be seen now amounts to Rupees 33-1-0 for which sanction is requested as also for the Bill for pay, Rupees 77-9-5, total Rupees 110-10-5.

5. The returned Bill for batta is again transmitted for the purposes of comparison and cancelment.

No. 8. ORDER THEREON, 1st March 1860, No. 253.

The Magistrate of Chingleput submits for sanction a Bill for the pay of six Police Officers for the periods they were employed on special duty in the Saidapet Talúq, and a revised Bill for batta to Police Officers.

Manual, Chapter VII, 2. The charge for batta will be admitted by the Civil Auditor paragraph 254. without special sanction.

3. Government sanction the amount—(Rupees 77-9-5) seventy-seven Rupees, nine Annas and five Pice—shown to be due as pay to the Police men.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Memos

*The names in the Pay Bill
& Batta Bill do not agree*

Pay Abstract

*Teeraswami
Subramaniam
Severappa
Subrayan.
Ravonjee.
Tulukamun.*

Batta Bill.

*Teeraswami
Subramaniam
Subrayan.
Ravonjee*

*Ramaswami
Name not filled up.*

*Do the periods of time in
the several cases agree.*

*Send off! Memo pointing
out the discrepancies*

distinct and
correct
at present

Dear Sir,
 I have the honor to
 acknowledge the receipt
 of your letter of the
 10th inst. and in reply
 to inform you that
 the same has been
 forwarded to the
 proper authorities for
 their consideration.
 I am, Sir, very
 respectfully,
 Yours,
 J. H. [Signature]

Ludlow

Pl. No 342.

Judicial.

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.
Read the following letters from the
Magistrate of Chingleput, 26th Janry. 1860
No. 3 - & 13th Febry. No. 4.
Memorandum. — 10th February 1860. No. 19.

Order. 15 March 1862 No 253.
The Magistrate of Chingleput
submits for sanction a bill for
the pay of six Police Officers for
the periods they were employed
on special duty in the Saida-
pet Taluk - & a revised bill for
batta to Police Officers.

2. The charge for battha will be as per Manual, Chap. mitted by the Civil Auditor without special sanction.

3. Govt. sanction the amount
- Rs. 77. 9. 5 Seventy Seven
Rupees Nine Annas & Five
Pice - shown to be due as
pay to the Police men.

4. In forwarding the bill now
sanctioned to the Civil Au-
ditor, it will ^{be} intimated
to him, in advertence to his
letters, 16th Ult. No. 24, & 7th Sush.

No. 92. that, if in the course of
audit, errors are detected
in the calculations of a bill,

he should ~~this~~ ^{be} ~~open~~ to him, after com-
munication with the officer
whose bill is sent up, to ad-
mit the charge ~~within~~ the

+ bound to be
correct, to the extent of the gross amount.
the total

for such head of charge
total
gross amount sanctioned -
leaving it to the officer to
apply for sanction for the
excess, if any ultimately ap-
pears, or he may himself, at
his discretion, refer to Govt.

J.P.

The Magistrate of
Chingleput, with 2 batta billpet
Comm. of Police.
Civil Audit with pay bill.
Acct. Genl. } Dated 15th

Indicial 1860 Depart.
From 10 March/60
The Magistrate of
Chungalput
To The Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort Saint George

Dated 13th Feb 1860
1 Rec^d 3 Encl^s

N^o 261 N^o 4
Affords explanation
as to the variance pointed
out in the names of the
Madras Police Constables
given in the 2 Bills for
pay and battha due to
them and in the periods
for which battha is claimed
and submits a fresh
Bill of Battha for
pay.
With an Order.

c 21 Feb.
1860
5 @ 8