

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1614	Press
60-61		Mis.
60-61	Dated	7.12.1860

Not to be folded or roughly handled

MS

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
7th December 1860.*

No. 60. Read the following letter from A. HATHAWAY, Esq., Collector of Bellary, to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 16th November 1860, No. 51.

I have the honor to inform you, that the Deputy Collector of Vizagapatam has apprized me of the death, on the 22nd September last, of Paupamah, one of the widows of the late Ananthabúpati, Ex-Zemindar of Golconda, and requests instructions whether any deduction is to be made from the monthly stipend of Rupees 82-10-4, hitherto allowed for the maintenance of 3 members of that family.

2. It would seem however from paragraph 2 of Extract Minutes of Consultation, No. 838, dated 18th November 1845, that a deduction of Rupees 64-13-8 was made from the original allowance of Rupees 147½ per mensem, (sanctioned for the maintenance of that family, in Extract Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department, dated 5th October 1837,) consequent on the death of Ananthabúpati on the 11th September 1845; but not knowing whether that circumstance would of itself be a sufficient precedent for making any deduction from the monthly stipend, in the present instance, I beg to refer the matter for the consideration of Government.

No. 61. ORDER THEREON, 7th December 1860, No. 1,614.

The Agent in Vizagapatam is requested to report in what manner the allowance of Rupees 82-10-4, sanctioned on the death of Anunta Bhoopatya for the support of his family, has lately been appropriated, and whether any reduction should be made in consequence of Paupamah's death.

2. The Government desire to be informed what has become of Sunneyasummah, daughter of the late Anunta Bhoopatya, and whether she draws any part of the allowance. Referring to the return of State Detenus in Vizagapatam, recorded in Proceedings, 9th ultimo, No. 1,460, the Agent will state who Ramiamah and Bungaramah are, and what they receive.

3. The family of the deceased Anunta Bhoopatya having now been long resident in Vizagapatam, may henceforth be regarded as wholly under the control of the Agent, and the allowance sanctioned for their support will be drawn by this Officer, and not by the Magistrate of Bellary.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd, F. Cartledge.

Judicial.

Family of the late Anuntabhoo-
paty of Boicoudah.

E.M.C. 21 Mar '37
No. 355 - Rev.

Summa Dawamah,
the ^{Boicoudah} Zemindar in pos-
sion, was forcibly carried
away from her house &
murdered. Circumstances
dictated by the Collector,
left no room to doubt that
the murder had been com-
mitted with the knowledge
& consent of Anunta Bhoo-
paty, to whom the Zeminda-
ry would fall at her death.

2. The Foujdare Adalat
convicted Anunta Bhoo-
paty of having received
the jewels plundered from
the deceased after the
murder, tho' well knowing
how they were acquired,
& sentenced him to be-
wilderment & imprison-
ment for life. The Govt.
ordered him to be con-
fined, under the orders of
the Magistrate of Bellary,
in the Hill Fortrefs at
Chooty.

E.M.C. 18 April '37
No. 379 - Judl.

3. The Magistrate of Tiraga-
patam

E. M. C., 31 May '37
No. 730 - Rev.

Viragapataw reported in his letter, 10 May '37, the departure for Bellary of Anunta Bhooopathy & his household, consisting of 10 persons -

1. Anunta Bhooopathy.
2. Mistresses -
 Panpamah - Consented to reside at Ghooty
 Conjatchy - This woman with the son & daughter were desirous to reside at Illadigole.
3. Children -
 Veerabhooopathy.
 Sunyasumamah.
- 1 Male servant.
 Makalah Nookiah.
- 4 Female servants.
 Chatur Booday.
 Ruttoola Thummah.
 Debbahimma
 Shashweecha.

10.

Conjatchy, one of the wives, was described as a woman of a most dangerous character, of a most intriguing disposition, & ~~was~~ possessed a great deal of influence in Koteondah.

4. On the 9th June following the Magistrate explained that Panpamah, the termed by his predecessor, the concubine

concubine of Anunta Bhooopathy, ^{was} generally recognised as his wife throughout the country, & ^{had} never been called by any other name than the Mahadary. He recommended that Conjatchy ^{should} be kept in confinement under Regulation II-1819.

E. M. C. 1 July '37 -
No. 882 - Rev.

5. Before issuing a Warrant, the Govt. requested the Magistrate of Bellary to ascertain whether Conjatchy, Veerabhooopathy, & Sunyasumamah, who had reached Ghooty, would consent to reside there on a pension. He was also to state what amount of allowance would be proper for their support, which would be debited against the Koteondah Zaminidary.

6. The Magistrate of Bellary reported that Conjatchy had expressed her perfect willingness to remain at Ghooty on a pension, but was desirous to go back to Viragapataw to fetch her two other children residing at Illadigole. He considered Rs. 150 a sufficient allowance for

for the prisoner his family.

E. M. C. 5th Oct. '37.
No. 1399 - Rev.

E. M. C. 21st Nov. '37.
No. 1529 - Rev.

7. This sum for maintenance was sanctioned, but the Govt. considered ^{Conjately's} proceeding to Viragapatam unnecessary. It was subsequently ascertained from the Magistrate of that district that one of the children, a boy aged 20, was to inform to travel, & that the other, a girl aged 16, was under the protection of Barambhooapaty, a relative of the Madigala Zemindar, & would not leave the country.

E. M. C. 5th Mar. '41
No. 194 - & 27th idem
No. 253 - Rev.

8. Govt. permitted Conjately to proceed to Viragapatam for the marriage ceremonies of her children. The Magistrate was of opinion that her temporary residence in the district would be productive of harm, but that she must return to Viragapatam at the expiration of her leave. She had no right to be considered the wife of Anuntalbhooapaty.

for 3 months.

E. M. C. 3rd May '45
No. 360 - Lull.

E. M. C. 18th Aug. '45
No. 618 - Lull.

E. M. C.
18th Nov. '45 No. 835.

She was by birth a Slave, & was merely his concubine.

9. Conjately & her son Vera-shaopaty were permitted to proceed on a pilgrimage to Tripunthy, Trivellore, Madras, & Tripoorasoundary.

10. One Raniiah, the widow of Anuntalbhooapaty's eldest son, petitioned Govt. for a separate maintenance out of the allowance for the prisoner his family. The Magistrate of Bellary reported that, under the Hindu Law, she could claim nothing beyond food & paiment, which Anuntalbhooapaty was willing to grant, provided the petitioner joined him with all the jewels he had given her. The Govt. informed Raniiah that she had no claim for a separate maintenance.

11. Anuntalbhooapaty died in the Fort at Bellary on the 18th Sept. 1845 - & from that date the allowance granted

granted, was reduced

Subsistence &c. to Muntah			
Bhoopatya	38..13..8		
Servants &c.	26.....		
Family		64..13..8	
Conjatchyemmah & Pan-			
mah, his wives			
Verabhoopatya, son &	70-10-4		
Sunneasummah			
3 Female & 1 Male. Sent	3-12-...	82..10..4.	
	<u>Rf. 147..8..</u>		

by dis-
tressing
the sum
separately
calculated for
the ex-
penses
of the
deceased.

12. On application being made by Panpammah to proceed to Cherlah in the Nizam's dominions to perform certain ceremonies for her daughter Sunneasummah, whom she ^{had} left there on the occasion of her last visit at her father-in-law's (Zemindar of Cherlah), the Govt. granted leave

E. M. C. 2nd May 48.
No. 314 - Ludd.
E. M. C. 3rd Mar. 49.
No. 158 - Ludd.

E. M. C. 11th Jan'y 54
No. 34 - Ludd.

Proceedings -
9th Nov. 60 No. 1460.

Verabhoopatya -
Conjatchyemmah.
Panpammah (deceased)
Ramiamah.
Bungaramah.

for five months. The Magistrate was required to adopt due precautions to prevent these persons returning to their native country, while Golcondah was in a disturbed state - & intimation was likewise to be made to the Resident at Hyderabad.

13. Leave to proceed to Cherlah for two months was again granted to Panpammah to visit her daughter Sunneasummah.

14. In 1854, leave for four months was granted to Conjatchyemmah to proceed to Mandgole.

15. In the return of State Prisoners at Viragapatam not actually confined in jail, the names in the margin were given as the family of the late Golcondah Muntah-bhoopatya. It was stated that

that they had come
 in 1854 to Madigole
 for 4 months, & had
 ever since resided
 at Viragapatam.

சென்னை
 மதுரை
 திருச்சி
 கரையார்

மதுரை
 கரையார்
 திருச்சி
 சென்னை

சென்னை
 மதுரை

சென்னை
 மதுரை
 திருச்சி
 கரையார்

சென்னை
 மதுரை

சென்னை
 மதுரை
 திருச்சி
 கரையார்

சென்னை
 மதுரை
 திருச்சி
 கரையார்

60461
Memo.
Family of the late
Goleondah Anun-
tabhoopaty -

11-12-60
Judicial 1860 Dept
J. H. M.
Proceedings of the
Madras Government
Dated 7th December
1860

Judicial. 7^x
Proceedings of the Madras Govt.
Read letter from the
Magistrate of Bellary. Enter 16. Nov. '60. N^o. 51.

Order. 7 December 1860. No. 1614.

The Agent in Tiraga-
patam is requested to
report in what man-
ner the allowance of
Rs. 82.10.4 sanctioned
on the death of Anun-
tabhoopaty for the
support of his family,
has lately been appro-
priated, & whether
any reduction should
be made in conse-
quence of Panpamah's
death.

Bhoopaty, & whether
she draws any part
of the allowance. Re-
ferring to the return of
State Detains in Vi-
ragapatam, recorded
in Proceedings, 9th Vcto.
No. 1460, the Agent
will state who Rami-
amah & Bungaramah
are, & what they receive.

3. The family of the de-
ceased Anunta Bhoop-
aty having now
been long resident
in Viragapatam,
may henceforth be
regarded as wholly
under the control
of the Agent, & the al-
lowance sanctioned
for

for their support will
be drawn by this offi-
cer, & not by the Ma-
gistrate of Bellary.

JP

The Govt. Agent - Viragapatam.
" Magistrate of Bellary.
" Civil Auditor
" Acct. Genl.
" Inspector General of Sails.

Sept 18th