M.R.O. 1—[illegible]—[illegible]—[illegible]

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 782 Proceedings
39-40

Dated 6th June 1859

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department, from 1st to 10th June 1859.

No. 28 Read the following Circular from R. B. CHAPMAN, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India, to the Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George, dated Fort William, the 20th May 1859, No. 1026.

Home Department

I am directed by His Excellency the Governor General in Council to request that you will, with the permission of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, have the goodness to furnish the Legislative Council, with as little delay as possible, with the returns noted below, extending to the same as may be made on the Bill noted in the margin, and published for general information in the *Calcutta Gazette* of the 4th instant.

* The Bill for the consolidation of the Courts of Sudder Dewanee Adawlut, the Supreme Courts of Judicature, and the Courts of Small Causes in the Presidency towns, and for the establishment of a High Court of Judicature for the North-Western Provinces.

2. The following are the returns required, namely:

1st.—Statement of the number of original suits instituted in the several Courts during the first four months of the current year, showing—

1st.—The number of cases in which the amount or value of the property in dispute did not exceed the sum of twenty Rupees, and what number of those cases would, by Section II. of the Bill, have been cognizable under six pence.

2nd.—The number of cases in which the amount or value in dispute exceeded the sum of twenty Rupees, but did not exceed the sum of fifty Rupees, and what number of those cases would have been cognizable as above.

3rd.—The number of cases in which the amount or value in dispute exceeded the sum of fifty Rupees, but did not exceed the sum of five hundred Rupees, and what number of those cases would have been cognizable as above.

4th.—The number of cases in which the amount or value of the property in dispute exceeded the sum of five hundred Rupees, but did not exceed the sum of one thousand Rupees, and what number of those cases would have been cognizable as above.

5th.—The number of cases in which the amount or value of the property in dispute exceeded the sum of one thousand Rupees, but did not exceed the sum of five thousand Rupees, and what number of those cases would have been cognizable as above.

6th.—The number of cases in which the amount or value of the property in dispute exceeded the sum of five thousand Rupees, but fell below the sum of ten thousand Rupees, and what number of those cases would have been cognizable as above.

7th.—The number of cases in which the amount or value in dispute was ten thousand Rupees and upwards, and what number of those cases would have been cognizable as above.

8th.—The number of regular appeals preferred during the same period, classified as above.

9th.—The number of special appeals admitted during the same period, classified as above.

Orders Passed, 6th June 1859, No. 782.

No. 63 The Sudder Adawlut are requested to furnish the returns called for, with as little delay as possible.

(Signed) T. LYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Act I Collects