

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 693	Press
8-9	~~Mis.~~
Dated 28-5-1959	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
from 18th to 27th May 1859.*

No. 8. Read the following letter from G. F. FULLERTON, Esq., Deputy Register of the Court of Sudder Udalt; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, dated 7th May 1859, No. 42.

1. I have the honor by direction of the Judges of the Court of Sudder Udalt to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Minutes

Para. 37.—2dly.—Another point brought to notice, was the great inconvenience suffered in consequence of the Courts of superior jurisdiction being located at Calicut, entailing the necessity of attendance there with witnesses, on persons whose time is wholly occupied in business, and the result being that cases of importance are relinquished in preference to the neglect of business.

of Consultation, dated 24th March 1859, referring para. 37 of the Right Honorable the President's Minute of 3rd December last, to the Judges of the Sudder Udalt for a report on the state of Judicial business at Cochin, and on how far it may be practicable or desirable, that the Judge of the Zillah of Calicut should

hold Sessions at Cochin, or if not, what remedy may be devised.

2. It appears from a statement furnished by the Civil and Session Judge of Calicut, that of 208 criminal cases brought before the Principal Sudder Ameen of Cochin, during the last ten years but 45, or on an average 4½ yearly, were committed to the superior Court at Calicut, and of 904 Civil suits preferred by parties resident at Cochin during the same period, 111 or on an average 11 yearly, were taken before the Higher Court, the average value of each suit being only 549 Rupees.

3. The criminal cases coming from Cochin which are cognizable by the superior Court at Calicut being so inconsiderable in number, and the business of the Calicut Court being so heavy, the Judges consider it would be most unnecessary and unadvisable to require the Session Judge to hold criminal Sessions at Cochin, and at the same time, looking to the small amount of Civil business at Cochin, they think any special arrangements on its account to be uncalled for.

No. 9.

ORDER THEREON, 18th May 1859, No. 693.

To be recorded.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

C28th March 1859 No 2122

Pro of Court 27 May
Judicial 1859 Depart

No.

From the Dy Registrar
of the Court of Subser.
Vidatut
To The Chief Secretary
to Government

Dated 7th May 1859
Received

Yr W^o 42

Referring with reference
to Extract from the Minutes
of Consultation dated 24th
March 1859, that under the
circumstances stated, the
Judges consider it would
be most unnecessary and
unadvisable to require
the session judge of Calicut
to hold criminal sessions
at Cochin, and that any
special arrangements on
account of the Circuit judge
at Cochin are suggested
for - To be recorded.

C 10 May
R 17

849

by the Superior Court at Calcutt being
so considerable in number, and the
business of the Calcutt Court being so

to require the sessions Judge to hold
criminal sessions at Cochin, and at the
same time, looking to the small amount
of civil business at Cochin, they think
any special arrangements on its account
to be needless &c