

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1372	Press
		Mis.
	32-33	
Dated	7-10-	1918

Not to be folded or roughly handled

17

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
from 5th to 21st October 1859.*

No. 32. Read the following letter from J. D. GOLDINGHAM, Esq., Acting Subordinate Judge of Madura; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Judicial Department, Fort Saint George, dated 20th September 1859, No. 250.

1. I have the honor to request the Orders of Government on a question relative to the despatch of chains from the Grand Arsenal for the prisoners in my Jail.

2. Chains having been wanted for the use of the prisoners, an Indent was submitted to the Inspector of Jails and passed by him, but on my forwarding the same to Major Barrow, Acting Principal Commissary of Ordnance, with a request to despatch them with as little delay as possible, that Officer declined to do so, but offered to give them over to any person in Madras authorized to receive them. Upon this I made a reference to the Inspector of Prisons, who directed me to make my own arrangement.

3. I, therefore, beg to represent to Government, that unless Major Barrow is authorized to forward the chains to me by coolies, unnecessary delay and expense will be incurred by my having to send a Peon to take charge of the same, there being no Agent in Madras deputed for this purpose.

4. I have the honor to enclose some of the original correspondence that has ensued, consisting of a letter from Mr. Rohde, No. 401, dated 26th August 1859, with a copy of Major Barrow's letter to him, No. 2907, of the 12th August, a letter from Major Barrow to me, No. 3194, dated 5th September, and a letter of Mr. Rohde's, No. 433, dated 14th September 1859.

No. 33. ORDER THEREON, 7th October 1859, No. 1372.

It is observed that the chains referred to, with other articles, were procured by the Inspector of Prisons for Jail purposes and deposited in the Arsenal, to be supplied to the different Jails, &c., on payment. The Acting Principal Commissary of Ordnance properly remarks, that for articles deposited in the Arsenal, no Indents are required, a simple receipt of the party to whom the articles belong is all that is necessary, and that he cannot undertake to despatch or receive payment on account of stores in deposit, as such transactions would involve the keeping of accounts, for which the Arsenal is not responsible. In this view the Government agree, and think with the Inspector General of Jails, that the Subordinate Judge of Madura should make his own arrangement for the despatch of the chains, which he could do through any respectable shop-keeper at the Presidency.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. F. Cartledge.

Judicial Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following
letter from the Acting Sub-
ordinate Judge of Madras.

(Dated 20 Sep. 1859 No. 250.)

Order thereon 7th October 1859 No. 1372.

It is observed that
the Chins referred to, with
other articles were pro-
cured by the Inspector
of Prisons for Jail pur-
poses, and deposited in
the Arsenal to be dupli-
cated to the different
Jails on payment.
The Acting P. Commissary
of Ordnance properly re-
marks that for articles
deposited in the Arsenal
for Issuance are required
a simple receipt of the
party to whom the ar-
ticles belong is all that
is necessary, and that
he cannot undertake to
despatch

J. J.

N M

despatch or receive pay.
 - must on account of
 stores in deposit, as such
 transactions would in-
 -volve the keeping of ac-
 -counts for which the
 Personal is not responsi-
 -ble. In this view the
 Govt agree, and think with
 the Inspector General of
 Sails that the Subordi-
 -nate Judge of Madras
 should make his own
 arrangement for the des-
 -patch of the ^{transcript} ~~transcript~~
 which he ~~could~~ ^{transcript}
 without difficulty
~~being~~ ^{as being}

JP

To the Sub. Judge of Madras.
 a Inspector General of Sails. ^{with 3 inclos. referred}
 a P. Commissary of Revenue. } Disp. 13⁵

Could ^{be} ~~be~~ any
 respect to the shop keeper
 at N. P. S. S. S.

JP

Nov: 7th 1892

Judicial 1892 Oct 11

Nov 13/92

Proceedings of 1892

of 1892

only a simple receipt
of the party to whom
the article belongs
agree in this case and
think that the act
judge should make
his own arrangement
for the objects to be
of the same in question.

Showing that the
charge required for an
insurance in jail, indicated
for by the last judge of
the same was, with other
articles, deposited in the
warden by the last judge
of jail. and that
the ag. committee of
advance previously remarks
that for articles deposited
in the ward no 23
indents are required, but
only

32 433