M.R.O. 1—38,000—16-10-20.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1346 Press —Mis—

112-113

Dated 4 —10— 1859

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department, from 24th September to 4th October 1859.

No. 112. Read the following Petition from C. STREENIVASS ROW, Tahsildar and Head of Police of Ootramaloor Talook; to His Excellency the Right Honorable Sir CHARLES EDWARD TREVELYAN, K. C. B., Governor in Council, Fort Saint George, dated 30th August 1859.

The humble petition of C. Streenivass Row, Tahsildar and Head of Police of Ootramaloor Talook in the District of Chingleput.

MOST HUMBLY SHEWETH,

Adverting to the endorsement No. 2209 of 1859, passed by the Deputy Secretary to Government, dated 22nd July 1859, on your Petitioner's petition dated 10th Jnue 1859, your Petitioner takes the liberty of forwarding through your Honor in Council his Memorial in triplicate to the address of His Excellency the Right Honorable Sir Charles Wood, Secretary of State for India in Council at England, regarding the arrears of pay due to your Petitioner from 15th April 1856 to 30th November 1858 while Tahsildar of Peddapolliem Talook, or during the period his case was pending at the late Honorable the Court of Directors Tribunal, viz., Rupees 2,677-8-0 and earnestly solicits the same being forwarded for His Excellency's favorable consideration and sanction.

And shall ever pray.

N. B.—The duplicate and triplicate will be forwarded in a day or two.

No. 113. ORDER THEREON, 4th October 1859, No. 1346.

1. Ordered that the above Memorial be forwarded to its address, and that intimation be given to Petitioner of its despatch.

2. Ordered that the following letter be despatched.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary

Exd. F. Cartledge.

To
His Excellency the Right Honorable
Sir Charles Edward Trevelyan K.C.B.
&c &c &c
Governor in Council.
Fort St. George

The humble Petition of
C Streenevaso Row Tahsildar
& Head of Police of Cotramalore
Taluk in the District of
Chingleput

Most Humbly Sheweth,

That your Petitioner now begs leave
to enclose the Duplicate and Triplicate of
his Memorial to the address of His Excellency
The Right Honorable Sir Charles Wood
Secretary of State for India in Council
England, as solicited in the N.B. of his
Petition to your Excellency under date the
30th August 1859 regarding the arrears
of salary due to him amounting to
Rs 2677-8-0 } Two thousand six hundred
and seventy seven Rupees, and annas eight

And shall ever Pray.

C Streenevaso Row
Tahsildar & Head of Police
of Cotramalore Taluk

Chingleput District
Cotramalore Taluk
Cutcherry Cotramalore
10th September 1859

Division
8
No 159

To

His Excellency the Right Honorable
Sir Charles Wood
Secretary of State
for India in Council
England

The humble Memorial of
C. Shreenivas Row Tahsildar
and Head of Police of Patamdoo
Taluk in the District of Chinglepet

Most Humbly Sheweth

That your Memorialist while he
was employed as Tahsildar and Head of Police
in the Pudupalliam Taluk in the same District
in 1856 he was suspended by the Collector Mr Sherburgh
on the 16 April 1856 and ultimately ousted from
his Office by order of the Board of Revenue so
precipitately & without any enquiry whatever, for
an alleged case of receiving certain Monies
of Ryots pending collecting Loom Tax due
Head to Government. Your Memorialist then
presented his appeal Petition first to the Board
of Revenue finding no protection there according
to the Rules in force, he made his appeal to
Government in fact they having granted no
redress, your Memorialist was under the pressing
necessity of addressing his Memorial to the
Honorable the Court of Directors at England
who after a deliberate consideration and well
perusing into the merits & demerits of the case
& calling for the whole and entire correspondence
& referring the matter for the opinion of the
Judges of the Court of the Sister Kingdom
Ireland

Nº 13 of 1858

Nidated they pronounced in their despatch of the 30th August 1858 to this Government, that your memorialist is not guilty of the charges saddled against him unlawfully, and that they directed that your Memorialist should be restored to his Office of the Tahsildar of the Peddapollam Talook and the fines levied to be remitted to him.

On the receipt of this despatch your Memorialist was appointed to the Tahsildarship of the Ootramalore Talook on the 1st December 1858 the Salary of which Office being the same as that of Peddapollam Talook viz Rs. 85 Per Mensem.

2. Your Memorialist begs leave to embrace this opportunity to state, that it having escaped by chance the notice of the late Honorable the Court of Directors to sanction the arrears of Pay due to your Memorialist at the time for the period his case was pending at the Home Tribunal viz from 10th April 1858 to 30th November 1858 amounting to Rs. 2677-8-, Your Memorialist Petitioned to the Board of Revenue soliciting to obtain sanction for the disbursement of the above arrears due, as well as for the good of his interms services, who made an Endorsement thereon on the 20th May 1859, that your Memorialist should address the Government, and that they have no Power in the matter. Your Memorialist thereupon Petitioned to the Governor in Council of Fort St. George, who passed an Endorsement also, on the 22nd July 1859, to the effect, that the Government cannot accede to the Petitioner's request, but they will not object to his reckoning the period he was out of employ as time counting for Pension.

3. Under these circumstances your poor and defenceless Memorialist having no other alternate

Encl A

Encl B

alternate left than to lay his painful grievance at your charitable Throne, he most dutifully begs leave your unbounded clemency will take compassion on his pitiful case, and after a matured and deliberate consideration, and well viewing of his case, solicits your Lordship will be graciously pleased to sanction the arrears due to him for the time his case was pending at the Honble the Court of Directors viz from the 15th April 1836 to 30th November 1858 amounting to Rupees (2677-8-) for the reasons above alluded to, as your Memorialist having became a debtor and sold most part of his property for the maintenance of his large crowd of family suitable to his Office and station in life during the above period, and thereby reduced at the extremities of the Public-

4. Copies of the correspondence marked from A to P are herein enclosed for your Lordships perusal and favorable orders-

For which act of charity and justice your Memorialist shall ever Pray

C. Streenevasa Rowe
Tahsildar and Head of
Police of Ootramalore
Talook-

Singleport District
Ootramalore Talook
Cutcherry Ootramalore
30th August 1859.

B

To

His Excellency the Right Honorable
Sir Charles Edward Trevelyan K.C.B.
Governor in Council
&c &c &c
Fort St George

The humble Petition of C Stevenson late
Substitute of the Cotramulum Tahsildar
in the Chingleput District —

Most Humbly Sheweth

Para 1 — That your Petitioner first addressed to the
Board of Revenue on the 30th April 1859 praying
that as he was pronounced not guilty and restored
to the situation of Tahsildar by Refunding the fine
of 30 Rupees the order of the Honorable the Court
of Directors dated 30th August 1858 No 13 the emolu-
ments of Government may be allowed for the time
of arrear of salary due for the period he was
unjustly suspended viz from the 15th April 1856
to 30th November 1858 amounting to Rs 2697-8
and to give orders to reckon the interval in this
case that it may not injuriously affect his
claim to Pension —

2nd That your Petitioner is really sorry to
become an Entrant from the Board of Revenue
Proceedings No 1337 of 1859 to the effect that the Board
of Revenue have no power in the matter and that
your Petitioner should address the Government — Hence
the present Petitioner respectfully craves that the
Petition to the Board of Revenue together with its
accompaniments is submitted for your Excellency's
kind consideration and favorable orders —

3 From the above Petition your Honorable
Government will learn that your Petitioner was
unjustly suspended from his Office of the Tahsildar
of Pallavaram first by the Sub Collector of the
Collectorate of Chingleput from the 15th April 1856
Board's Proceedings dated 10th April 1856 billed by
your Petitioner to have collected Rs 2697-8 from the

on certain Allowances of Rent-charge in the Pettapolliem
Talook by ill disposed persons and that your Petitioner
having received no reply to his appeal Petition
presented to your Government against his dismissal
dismissal on the 2d May 1856 made his Memorial
to the Honorable the Court of Directors under date the
July 1856 who after calling for the full Records
and well viewing deliberately into the merits of the Case
they pronounced in their Despatch of the 30 August 1858
No 13 that the Memorialist is not guilty of the charges
brought against him and that he should be restored
to his Office and the fines of 30 Rs imposed on him
in 2 cases ought to be returned to him
Mr Shubrick the Collector without putting
your Petitioner in his original Office of the Pettapolliem
Talook from which he was
suspended) according to the tenure and true import
of the Letter Cobau quoted, made an unnecessary reference
to the Board proposing certain difficulty for restoring
your Petitioner to his original Office (Tahsildar of the
Pettapolliem Talook) and the Board accordingly sanctioned
in December 1858 to appoint your Petitioner to a
Tahsildarship of equal emolument to the one from
which he was removed according your Petitioner
was nominated to the Tahsildarship of the Cotamuttoor
Talook from the 1 December 1858 the emoluments of
which is equal to the Pettapolliem Talook viz 85 Rs
per mensem
Your Petitioner the Government in Home
by the Minutes of Consultation in the Judicial Department
dated 25 Oct 1858 No 1509 that the Honorable the
Court of Directors in Remarks above quoted dated
30 August 1858 No 13 of 1858 Clearly
Petitioner from the charges illegally entailed on him
and pronounced "not guilty" and pleased to issue
to Reinstate your Petitioner in his original Office
the Tahsildar and Head of Police of the Pettapolliem
Talook from which he was removed and to return
him the sum of 30 Rs levied from him, that by
right owing to the misfortune of your Petitioner
was made no provision for the arrear of Pay

from the 15 April 1856 to the 30 November 1858
amounting to Rs 2677-8 ordered from the date
has been suspended by the Collector Mr C. Shubrick
upto the date he has been reinstated in his Office
in pursuance of the order of the Honorable the Court
of Directors above alluded to
Your Petitioner from the time he had been
suspended viz from the 15 April 1856 to the date
he has been restored to his Office viz the 1 Decem
1858 by the aforesaid order of the Honorable the Co
Court's Despatch 30 augt 1858 No 13. of Directors and the mtr of your Government three
Mtr of Con. 28 Octr 1509. Your Petitioner's case having been lying in appeal
and pending at the Board of Revenue allowing to a
period of 2 years 7 months and 15 days he was
obliged to contract debts by pawning his Jewels
and household furniture for the maintenance of his
family and children to the Rent to and
condition of life, and so much so became involved
debtor and thereby much reduced in the estimation
of Public. Your Petitioner has always exerted and
for the interests of Government
to the date of the Report to Government
and born the unfortunate
circumstances of his unfortunate
Under these circumstances your Petitioner
most humbly solicits your Excellency's Council
Government will be graciously pleased to grant
this humble case be pleased to sanction the
arrear of Pay due to him from the 15 April
1856 to 30 November 1858 amounting to Rs 2677-8
Rs Two thousand six hundred and seventy seven
Rs eight annas only being
Rs eight annas only being
Your Petitioner will ever pray

Cotamuttoor
1st June 1859

Tahsildar of Cotamuttoor
Talook in the District

Endorsement of Government on the above
Petition No 2209 of 1859

The Government cannot decide to the
Petitioners Request, but they will not object to
his Reducing the period he was out of employ
to Three Months for Pension

Fort St George 22nd July 1859

By order
[illegible]
Secretary to Govt

To
The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue

Fort St George

The humble Petition of C Thangavelu
Poor Tahsildar of Cotamedu
Taluk in the District of Chingleput

Gentlemen,

Your humble Servant most respectfully begs leave to state that your Petitioner was dismissed from the Service of Government on the 15th April 1838 for having received a Bribe of Rupees Twenty from a Ryot named Ramen of Cotamedu Village in the Pulicat Taluk by which offence your Petitioner forfeited all claim to the Pension he would otherwise have been entitled to receive on his retirement from active service

Your Petitioner begs to state that he was appointed Tahsildar of Pulicat Taluk on the 2nd May 1836 and was subsequently promoted to the Collectorship of Chingleput on the 26th May 1838 which he held until the 5th July 1838 when he was appointed to the Collectorship of Cuddapah on the 24th of the same month

Your Petitioner begs to state that he was suspended from the Service of Government on the 24th of April 1839 and was dismissed on the 30th August 1839 for having received a Bribe of Rupees Twenty from a Ryot named Ramen of Cotamedu Village in the Pulicat Taluk by which offence your Petitioner forfeited all claim to the Pension he would otherwise have been entitled to receive on his retirement from active service

Your Petitioner begs to state that he was appointed Tahsildar of Pulicat Taluk on the 2nd May 1836 and was subsequently promoted to the Collectorship of Chingleput on the 26th May 1838 which he held until the 5th July 1838 when he was appointed to the Collectorship of Cuddapah on the 24th of the same month

Your Petitioner begs to state that he was suspended from the Service of Government on the 24th of April 1839 and was dismissed on the 30th August 1839 for having received a Bribe of Rupees Twenty from a Ryot named Ramen of Cotamedu Village in the Pulicat Taluk by which offence your Petitioner forfeited all claim to the Pension he would otherwise have been entitled to receive on his retirement from active service

Your Petitioner begs to state that he was appointed Tahsildar of Pulicat Taluk on the 2nd May 1836 and was subsequently promoted to the Collectorship of Chingleput on the 26th May 1838 which he held until the 5th July 1838 when he was appointed to the Collectorship of Cuddapah on the 24th of the same month

Your Petitioner begs to state that he was suspended from the Service of Government on the 24th of April 1839 and was dismissed on the 30th August 1839 for having received a Bribe of Rupees Twenty from a Ryot named Ramen of Cotamedu Village in the Pulicat Taluk by which offence your Petitioner forfeited all claim to the Pension he would otherwise have been entitled to receive on his retirement from active service

Your Petitioner begs to state that he was appointed Tahsildar of Pulicat Taluk on the 2nd May 1836 and was subsequently promoted to the Collectorship of Chingleput on the 26th May 1838 which he held until the 5th July 1838 when he was appointed to the Collectorship of Cuddapah on the 24th of the same month

Your Petitioner begs to state that he was suspended from the Service of Government on the 24th of April 1839 and was dismissed on the 30th August 1839 for having received a Bribe of Rupees Twenty from a Ryot named Ramen of Cotamedu Village in the Pulicat Taluk by which offence your Petitioner forfeited all claim to the Pension he would otherwise have been entitled to receive on his retirement from active service

Your Petitioner begs to state that he was appointed Tahsildar of Pulicat Taluk on the 2nd May 1836 and was subsequently promoted to the Collectorship of Chingleput on the 26th May 1838 which he held until the 5th July 1838 when he was appointed to the Collectorship of Cuddapah on the 24th of the same month

Your Petitioner begs to state that he was suspended from the Service of Government on the 24th of April 1839 and was dismissed on the 30th August 1839 for having received a Bribe of Rupees Twenty from a Ryot named Ramen of Cotamedu Village in the Pulicat Taluk by which offence your Petitioner forfeited all claim to the Pension he would otherwise have been entitled to receive on his retirement from active service

Your Petitioner begs to state that he was appointed Tahsildar of Pulicat Taluk on the 2nd May 1836 and was subsequently promoted to the Collectorship of Chingleput on the 26th May 1838 which he held until the 5th July 1838 when he was appointed to the Collectorship of Cuddapah on the 24th of the same month

Your Petitioner begs to state that he was suspended from the Service of Government on the 24th of April 1839 and was dismissed on the 30th August 1839 for having received a Bribe of Rupees Twenty from a Ryot named Ramen of Cotamedu Village in the Pulicat Taluk by which offence your Petitioner forfeited all claim to the Pension he would otherwise have been entitled to receive on his retirement from active service

Your Petitioner begs to state that he was appointed Tahsildar of Pulicat Taluk on the 2nd May 1836 and was subsequently promoted to the Collectorship of Chingleput on the 26th May 1838 which he held until the 5th July 1838 when he was appointed to the Collectorship of Cuddapah on the 24th of the same month

Your Petitioner begs to state that he was suspended from the Service of Government on the 24th of April 1839 and was dismissed on the 30th August 1839 for having received a Bribe of Rupees Twenty from a Ryot named Ramen of Cotamedu Village in the Pulicat Taluk by which offence your Petitioner forfeited all claim to the Pension he would otherwise have been entitled to receive on his retirement from active service

Your Petitioner begs to state that he was appointed Tahsildar of Pulicat Taluk on the 2nd May 1836 and was subsequently promoted to the Collectorship of Chingleput on the 26th May 1838 which he held until the 5th July 1838 when he was appointed to the Collectorship of Cuddapah on the 24th of the same month

Your Petitioner begs to state that he was suspended from the Service of Government on the 24th of April 1839 and was dismissed on the 30th August 1839 for having received a Bribe of Rupees Twenty from a Ryot named Ramen of Cotamedu Village in the Pulicat Taluk by which offence your Petitioner forfeited all claim to the Pension he would otherwise have been entitled to receive on his retirement from active service

Your Petitioner begs to state that he was appointed Tahsildar of Pulicat Taluk on the 2nd May 1836 and was subsequently promoted to the Collectorship of Chingleput on the 26th May 1838 which he held until the 5th July 1838 when he was appointed to the Collectorship of Cuddapah on the 24th of the same month

Your Petitioner begs to state that he was suspended from the Service of Government on the 24th of April 1839 and was dismissed on the 30th August 1839 for having received a Bribe of Rupees Twenty from a Ryot named Ramen of Cotamedu Village in the Pulicat Taluk by which offence your Petitioner forfeited all claim to the Pension he would otherwise have been entitled to receive on his retirement from active service

Comte Dr. appl
30 aug 1850
No 13
Mins of Com
28 Oct Do
No 1509
Bd Treasy
3 Nov
No 4091

all the Debt he has contracted to his Creditors
by the 1st December 1850 only the proposed order of
the Honorable Court and the order of the Governor
and your Board of Relief, Your Petitioner
finds himself lying in affluent and Easiness at
the Same Period, and being to a Period of 2 Years
7 Months, and 8 days the contracted debts by pursuing
this Scandalous course for the remainder term
of his Life Period of [illegible] and the Bank
Condition of his Life, and is much to be lamented
deep distress, and thereby, much reduced in the
Creation of Public Your Petitioner has always been
rated and with for an wide Salute in Favour of
Government, and not in the Case of the Bye to Calm
upon their mercy and Bond as the Case of Lavender
during the Course of his Term he could be written
exempted Period of 26 Years

Under these circumstances he earnestly
hopes your Honorable Board will be graciously
pleased to recommend his
for the favorable Consideration and Sanction of
Government his Claims for Arrears of Pay
as per annexed statement amounting to Rs 2677-8-

Your Petitioner begs at the same time that your
Honorable Board will be pleased to recommend
and obtain the sanction of Government [illegible]
and reckoning his Service from [illegible]
to 30 November 1808 viz 2 years 7 months 28 days
in during this period his Services are [illegible]
he received any Salary [illegible]

[illegible] Copies of the Representation [illegible]
from 1st to 2nd are herewith submitted for the favorable
and favorable consideration of your Honorable Court
[illegible] and [illegible] of his Petition
[illegible] Petitioner [illegible]

with your Board's favorable recommendation
referred to Your Petitioner shall ever Pray
Calcutta
30 April 1859

Endorsement on the above Petition No 1337
of 1859

Petitioner should address the Council
Secretary to Government
Home Department
No 13 of 1858

Letter Dated [illegible]
from [illegible]
Case of [illegible]
Name of [illegible]
Rank [illegible]
Jubilee [illegible]
Head of [illegible]
Date of [illegible]
Residence [illegible]
Jubilee [illegible]
District of [illegible]
Chingleput

Order Governor in Council &c Lord Elgin
Mr Ed Lushington was fined by the Mayor
of Chingleput in the sum of 5 Rupees and in default
[illegible] for [illegible]
[illegible] ill used certain persons
[illegible] to [illegible]
[illegible] and on [illegible]
[illegible] directed to notify in the usual
[illegible] your case [illegible]
[illegible] for future guidance
[illegible] Collector
[illegible] Government in the Department
[illegible] In the meanwhile the Jubilee had
[illegible] your Government and the
appealed [illegible]
against the order of dismissal and in this case
[illegible] subsequently it appeared that he had
[illegible] fined 25 Rs and another case [illegible]
[illegible] in the capacity of Head of Police
[illegible] authority [illegible]
[illegible] the information [illegible]
[illegible] the case [illegible]
the appeal of the Jubilee was [illegible]
to enable [illegible]
his application for restoration to office
stated in our Despatch of the 5th February

No 2 of 1858 the Transmission to me of the Proceedings
in the Case of which the Late Mr Dick has been
found guilty & referred to the Supreme Court
Date of performing that Case in future he shall
be dismissed from his Office —

Sir I am directed by the Lords Court
to report on the Proceedings and that Court has in
pursuance of your instructions, examined the Records
& Proceedings in regard to the Case in which the
Late Sheriff was dismissed from Office, & have
the honour to report that the Magistrates decision was a
correct one, & that the grounds of the
conviction were abundantly proved & that
the accused ought to have been acquitted,
In the decided opinion of the Court I report that the
Head of Police was subjected to much provocation
and that apart from his having advised the
Complainant which of course was indefensible
he took no measures but what the duties of
his office and the Circumstances of the Case
seem to render necessary & proper
Report of the Court that the Complainant confessed
guilty of nothing more than having been
Case shows that Mr Sheriff Dick was not
Mr Sheriff Dick came into Court
that kept his temper with which the Court
have been treated He took that for the sole
object the Court to be returned to the Sheriff
He should be restored to his Office, and that
notification against his future employment
by Government should be withdrawn and
publicly as it had been made

London 30 August 1858 } 8 & 9 Victoria Cap. 113 Section 2 of Act 25 Victoria Cap. 95 Section 2 — 1858

Certified in accordance with the 16 & 17 Vict. Cap. 95 Sect 2 — 1858 / Signed / J. D. Dickinson / Deputy Secretary

True Extract & Copy / Chief Secretary

Section B

Judicial Department

No 1509

Extract from the Minute of Consultation dated the 25 October 1858 —

Copy of this Despatch will be forwarded to the Board of Revenue, & the Court of Sessions at Alipore, that the Sheriff may be informed that Government does not consider that the Court should be required to report on the Proceedings in the case referred to in this Despatch, & that Government does not admit the right of the Sheriff to make any Return to the Writ of Certiorari issued in the case

Certified that the Extract of Consultation for the date specified is a true Copy

Fort William 3 January 1859 } J. P. Grant Secretary to the Government of Bengal

Secretary to Government

Enclosure C

No 3318

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 10th October 1856

Read the following Petition of Pallie Defaut

No 1050

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th September 1856

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Fort St George enter 8th September 1856)

1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is disposed to agree with the Board of Revenue in their views as to the consideration to be shown to Streenivasa Row formerly Sub Collector of Palladam as proposed in Para 11 of their Proceedings before him on the subject of the petition addressed to this Government and forwarded to the Board of Revenue for report by the Collector of Coimbatore

2. Streenivasa Row will be informed in reply to his Petition that the Government after enquiring into the circumstances of the case cannot admit the justice of the claim preferred by the Petitioner to the indulgence granted to Defaulters in consideration of their having upon the occasion of the Mutiny of the Madras Army in 1809 been employed in the Commissariat Department and having upon the occasion of the Pindarry War in 1817 been employed in the Commissariat Department and that the Petitioner will be informed that he has no claim to any indulgence beyond that which is granted to other Defaulters

By order of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council

(Signed) C. Lushington
Chief Secretary

Forwarded to the Honorable the Court of Directors with the Petitioners Memorial and Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue in connection therewith dated the 10th October 1856

(Signed) [illegible]
Secretary to Government

Remitted 10 Dec 17
Received 20 Dec 19
Answered 20 Dec 20

Enclosure D

No 139 of 1857

Order of Government dated 23 July 1857 transmitting to the Honorable Court a Petition from Streenivasa Row formerly Sub Collector of Palladam

Fort St George
23 July 1857

By order
(Signed) J. Carmichael
Dy Secy to Govt

Enclosure E

No 1112 of 1857

Order of Government dated 4 June 1857 transmitting to the Honorable Court a Petition from Streenivasa Row formerly Sub Collector of Palladam

Fort St George
4 June 1857

By order
(Signed) J. Carmichael
Dy Secy to Govt

Enclosure F

No 1154 of 1857

Letter from the Secretary to Government dated the 7th September 1857 transmitting a Petition from Streenivasa Row late Sub Collector of Palladam

Read the following Petition of Streenivasa Row late Sub Collector of Palladam

(Fort St George enter 27 August 1857)

The Petitioner will be informed that his Petition has been received from the Honorable Court of Directors for disposal and that the Court have not thought proper to comply with his request

Returned

Enclosure G

Endorsement of Government No 2104 of 1858

The Court of Directors have called for a Report from the Judge in Petitioner's Case, which has been furnished and sent home. Petitioner must await the order which may be passed by the Honble Court on receipt of that papers

By order

Fort St George
10 August 1858

H. D. Carmichael
Dep. Secy to Govt

Enclosure H

Endorsement of Government Petition 2918 of 1858.

Petitioner is informed that orders have been passed on the 28 October last for his restoration to the late office of Tahsildar of Pattapollam Taluk, and also for the refund of the fines imposed on him by the Magistrate.

By order

Fort St George
9 August 1858

H. D. Carmichael
Dep. Secy to Govt

Enclosure I

Boards Endorsement on Petition No 3313 & 3320 of 1858.

Petitioner is referred to the Collector who has passed instructions to suspend him & the Board expect compliance to the same from which date he ceases

By order

Fort St George
3d November 1858

H. D. Carmichael
Secretary

Enclosure J

Translation of a Petition dated 16th April 1856 addressed by C. J. Shubrick Esqr Collector of Chingleput to C. Stevenson Esqr Revenue Judge of Poodoocottah dated 15 May 1856.

Petitioner represents that on the 25th April Having suspended you from the Office of Shirastadar by the Case of Mottoo Chetty by you from the Board of Revenue by first order reported the same to the Board of Revenue by his Proceedings of the 2 May 1856 ordering you to cleave from the Service with reference to the Minute of Consultation dated 7 January 1855, Shame Chetty you unduly from the suspension dated 25 April alluded to

By C. J. Shubrick
Collector

Enclosure K

Boards Endorsement on the appeal Petition of C. Stevenson Esqr

Petitioner is informed that the Board have ordered his dismissal from the Public Service committed by the Magistrate of Chingleput in the Petition presented

By order

Fort St George
7 May 1860

Enclosure L

Copies of Testimonials

Certified that the Bearer Cothandy Row employed as a Writer in the Civil Engineer Department for 2 years he writes a good hand, is attentive to his duties, he has been punctual in attending the Office & has given satisfaction

By Civil Engr

Certify that Cothandy Row employed by me under 16th April 1856 as a Writer in the Chingleput Collectorate & found very useful & attentive to his

Receipt from him for the same

By C. J. Shubrick
Collector

his duties —

Madras
29 December 1838

Signed A. Maclean
Collector

This is to certify that Vellanki Chinnappa Row has been employed in the Establishment from 30 June 1838 to 15 February 1843 as a Current Peishkar and from 16 February to 24 September 1845 as Head Assistant his conduct honest, and during the time I was in the District I have always found him active, diligent and perfectly honest in the discharge of his public duty —

Chingleput District
Collectorate
24 September 1845

Signed A. Maclean
Collector

This is to certify that C. Chinnappa Row who was appointed to the Office of Deputy Accountant from the 1st of June last has given me satisfaction. He is diligent and attentive and is well acquainted with the nature of his duties —

Collectorate
1st March 1850

Signed A. Maclean
Collector

Translation of a Yadast dated 4th September 1851 from the Collector of Chingleput to C. Chinnappa Row Deputy Accountant dated 4th September 1851 —

Whereas application has been made to this Institution for a Talook Shroistadar on a salary of ₹70 per mensem you are hereby directed to proceed to Tabrook and take charge of the Treasury from Rajamichary the Talookdar and conduct the duties carefully that appertain to the Office

Signed J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Translation of a Yadast dated 15th August 1852 No 53 from the Collector of Chingleput to C. Chinnappa Row Deputy Talookdar of Pundamallee dated 15th August 1852 No 53 —

Whereas you have been promoted and transferred by the Board of Revenue to this Institution as the Talookdar of that Talook on a salary of 85 Rs per mensem, you are hereby directed to proceed to your charge of the Treasury to the Talook Shroistadar there and take Receipts from him and when you have delivered to the Sydapet Talook and take charge of the Treasury from the Talook Shroistadar and conduct the duties carefully

Signed J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Received charge of the Treasury from C. Chinnappa Row Talookdar of Pundamallee

Pundamallee
1st December 1853

Signed C. Chinnappa Row
Talookdar

Translation of a Yadast issued by J. H. Cochrane Esqr Collector of Chingleput to C. Chinnappa Row Talookdar of Sydapet dated 20th October 1853 No 240 —

Having heard that the complaints preferred to you and those referred to you from this office for enquiry not having disposed off them and there being arrears of the Peishcush Talook from the 1st November 1853 that you are guilty of neglecting the duties of the Sydapet Talook and the duties attached to the Sydapet Talook delivered charge to the Peishcush Talookdar as soon as the Peishcush proceed to Pommaveli and take charge of the Treasury from the Talook Shroistadar and report the same to this Office Conduct the duties carefully

Signed J. H. Cochrane
Collector

Received charge of the Treasury from C. Chinnappa Row Talookdar of Pundamallee

Pundamallee
1st December 1853

Signed C. Chinnappa Row
Talookdar

This is to certify that C. Chinnappa Row Talookdar of Pundamallee has conducted himself to my entire satisfaction during the time he was employed under me from 1st November 1853 to the 28th August 1854

Pommaveli
29th August 1854

Signed Lobi Sanjeeva Row
Commanding Peishcush
Talookdar

Translation of a Yadast issued by Lobi Sanjeeva Row Commanding Peishcush Talookdar of Pundamallee to C. Chinnappa Row Talookdar of Sydapet dated 25th August 1854 No 62

Whereas you have been transferred from Pundamallee

as the Tahsildar of that Taluk from the 1 September 1854 on a Salary of 85 Rs per mensem, I do hereby report to the Taluk Shirestedar and proceed to assume charge of the Taluk and the charge of the Treasury from Narrainpuny Naik Tahsildar and grant receipt for the Records, Conduct carefully the duties attached to your Office —

(sd) J B Smollett
Collector

Certified that C Streenivasa Row Tahsildar passed supplies for the Regiment and assisted me much by temporarily stopping dep holes in the Road between Runnigary and Arumbakum which would have impeded the Regiment much progress

(sd) A P Pride Lieut Col
Comdg 39 Regt N I

Camp Hoggy
14 October 1854

Enclosure N

Translation of a Sarkul issued by C J Shubrick Esqr Collector of Chingleput to C Streenivasa Row Tahsildar of Poldapallum Taluk dated 9 November 1838 No 284

On dispensing your duty order of the Board of Revenue, you having submitted your memorial to the Honorable the Court of Directors, they have directed to restore you to your office, and to refund the 30 Rs of fine levied from you in the different accounts, and the Government having also sent letter enjoining them to do so, I have issued order to the Tahsildar of the Poldapallum Taluk to refund you the sum of 30 Rs being the fine levied from you in the 17 October 1835 viz Rs 5 on the 25 January 1856 Rs 25 you will accordingly receive the above sum and remit receipt for the same

No you have remiss in the duties which belong Tahsildars here to fulfil and the perpetrators connived with in Cases of Robbery &c &c which matters are to be reported to the Board of Revenue but you have not complied with the Orders and until communicate to you the Board's Orders herein

(sd) C J Shubrick
Collr

Enclosure O

Translation of a Sarkul issued by C J Shubrick Esqr Collector of Chingleput to C Streenivasa Row Tahsildar of Poldapallum Taluk dated 30 November 1838 No 982

In conformity with the instructions received from the Honorable the Court of Directors through the Board of Revenue bearing date the 22 November last, I have nominated you to the Tahsildarship of the Octamalore Taluk on the Salary of 85 Rs per mensem from the 1st Proximo, you will without delay go to that Taluk and take charge from Coffapa Row the Tahsildar and Conduct the Ceremonies thereto appertaining to Renewing Cowles &c &c

(sd) C J Shubrick
Collector

Enclosure P

Memorandum of services of Coffareenaup Row Tahsildar of Octamalore in the Chingleput District

	Yrs	Mos	Days
Writer in the Civil Engineers Department in the Salem District and 2d Division from 5th July 1830	2	1	22
Aspirant Mutsuddy Writer in the Chingleput District from 16th September 1835 to 20th June 1842 at 35 Rs per Mo	6	9	5
3d Writer in Do Do from 21st June 1842 to 15 February 1845 at 35 Rs per Mo	2	7	25
2d Assistant Accountant in Do Do from 16 February 1845 to 30 November 1848 at 35 Rs per Mo	3	9	13
Deputy Accountant in Do Do from 1st December 1848 to 15th September 1851 at 70 Rs per Mo	2	9	15
Tahsildar of Maamungalum Taluk from 16 September 1851 to 17 August 1852 at 70 Rs per Mo	11	11	2
Tahsildar of Sydapet Taluk from 18th August 1852 to 25th October 1853 at 85 Rs per Mo	1	2	9
Do of Poonamalee Taluk from 26th October 1853 to 24th August 1854 at 70 Rs per Mo	11	10	
Do of Poldapallum Taluk from 25th August 1854 to 15th April 1856 at 85 Rs per Mo	1	7	21

Period of Service to be reckoned as from
16th April 1856 to 30th November 1858 during
the time he was out of employ as he was
having been pending at the Home Depart
ment sanctioned by Government on the 22nd July
1859 2-7-15

Talookdar of the Cotamalore Talook from
1st December 1858 to 31st August 1859 at
85 Rs per mensem 0-9-0

Total Service 26-7-0

[by] C. Stevenson Esq
Talookdar
[Two Copies]
C. Stevenson Esq
Talookdar of Cotamalore
Talook

Judicial Depart.t

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Memorial (in tri-
-plicate) forwarded
by Srinivasa Rao,
Taluq in the Chingle-
-put District, for
transmission to the
Secy of State for India -

Order thereon 4 October 1859 - No 1316.

1 - Ordered that the
above Memorial
be forwarded to
its address, & that
intimation be given
to Petr of its despatch -

2 - Ordered that the
following letter be
despatched -

(here enter
To the H. the Secy
of State for India)

Judl Letter to Govt No 40 of 1859

Judl. & Pub. No 1316 of 1859

Judt. Dr. b Engd. 22d.
Ord. No. 40 of 1859.
Repts. to Ct. 28 of 1859.

Proc. of Govt. & Depts.
Judicial 1859 (Defiant)

No. 1316.

Proceedings of Govt.
& Relation 1859.

112 4113

C 24 Sy
62 3 Oct