

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Indivul DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 99/

Press

~~Mis.~~

18-19

Dated

22 - 7 -

19 ~~07~~

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1 b

Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 27th July 1858.

No. 18. Read the following letter from Lieutenant Colonel H. COLBECK, Senior Magistrate of Police; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, dated 15th July 1858, No. 16.

SIR,

In reply to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 14th July, No. 965, Judicial Department, I have the honor to state that if reference is made to the Weekly Return of my Court from the 5th to the 10th of July, I believe it will be ascertained that on the 5th, 7th, 8th and 10th it is not entered "Court adjourned," but "Cases adjourned." If "Court adjourned" is entered, it is a mistake, for so far from being adjourned, the press of business was excessive.

2. On the 5th the Court was engaged till nearly 8 o'clock at night with the Royapet riot case and other business. On the 7th the riot case was resumed. On the 8th I was obliged to attend the Supreme Court as also on the 10th. On those days opposite which "Cases adjourned" is written, it does not imply that there is no Court but generally that the Magistrate has other business occupying his time.

3. I admit that "Cases adjourned" might lead to the belief that there was no Court. It is ill-expressed, but in the hurry of business I did not observe it.

No. 19.

ORDER THEREON, No. 991; dated 22nd July 1858.

To be recorded.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

C 20th July 1858 No 16.

In Dept. of Cons. 24 July.
Judicial. 1858. Sept.

From

Lt. Col. H. Colbeck

Senior Magistrate of Police

To

T. Thompson Esq.

Chief Secy to Govt.

Fort St. George

Dated 15th

Rec^d 15th } July 1858

No 16

No 1266

Answering the Extract

Minutes of Consultation

states the 14th July No 965.

requesting explanation why

the Royalist Police Court

was adjourned for four days

80991
Recorded.
22nd July 1858.

18419.