

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	511	Press
		~~Mis.~~
52-53		
Dated	20. 4	~~10/1858~~

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 20th April 1858.

No. 52. Read the following letter from E. B. THOMAS, Esq., Madras Civil Service; to the Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Coimbatore, 6th April 1858.

SIR,

1. With reference to enclosed copies of letters to and from the Civil Judge of Coimbatore, I request the sanction of Government, (which appears necessary,) to enable me to obtain copy of the document alluded to.

2. As the document is a clear and simple refutation of the case got up at Madras, and as there is no objection to my having a copy but the formal and technical one adduced by Mr. Ward, I hope for the early sanction of Government.

From E. B. THOMAS, Esq., Madras Civil Service; to S. N. WARD, Esq., Civil Judge of Coimbatore, dated 18th March 1858, No. 124.

SIR,

With reference to a suit against me by one Bawany Lalah in the Supreme Court at Madras, in which a chief point put forward by Bawany Lalah was the proof of an alibi (false) on the date I accused him of disturbance at Coimbatore, I beg to inform you I have only *lately discovered* the existence of an *official* document, in the Moonsiff's Court here, bearing (I believe) Bawany Lalah's *own signature* on the very date (30th September 1854) (the false) alibi was sworn at Madras, the signature is on a bond for debt, filed in the Moonsiff's Court by plaintiff Vyavery Gounden, in suit No. 561 of 1856 and signed on 30th September by three persons Bawany Lalah, Soobroy Pillay, and Koollengounden. I have no present intention of using this document, but in case of any future additional false charges against me in this matter, I should wish to have this means of refutation at hand: as a simple matter of justice due to me, I would request, if you see no valid objection, an authenticated copy, with translation, of the paper above alluded to.

From S. N. WARD, Esq., Civil Judge of Coimbatore; to E. B. THOMAS, Esq., Madras Civil Service, Coimbatore, dated 30th March 1858, No. 91.

SIR,

In reply to your letter of the 18th Instant, I have the honor to state that unless the special authority of Government be obtained, I am precluded from furnishing you with an authenticated copy of the document therein alluded to, as you appear to be in no way connected with it or the case in which you state it to have been filed.

(True Copies.)

(Signed) E. B. T.
Collector.

No. 53. ORDER THEREON, No. 511; dated 20th April 1858.

The Government see no objection to Mr. Thomas being furnished with a copy of the paper referred to in his letter to the Civil Judge of Coimbatore, dated 18th March 1858, No. 124.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

21
J. D. Defto

No 653. —

From E. D. Thomas Esqre
16 April 58. —

For Orders. —

I am in the expectation to
comply with Mr Thomas's
request
6

W. D.

No 511

Wd

The Government see no objection to Mr Thomas being furnished with a copy of the paper referred to in his letter to the Civil Judge of Coimbatore dated 18th March 1858 No 74.

Forb'd to off?
20 April 1858-3

J.H.
16-4-58

+ If Mr Thomas is select, it will be misperision of Piquery - He is Augustus!

Copy to C. B. Thomas Esq - Coimbatore }
" Civil Judge of Coimbatore } Digby 23^d

10/5/58 The paper is indeed