M.R.O. 1—38,000—16-10-23.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1887	Press ~~Mis.~~
9-10.	
Dated CO — 11 — ~~11~~ 1888	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 16th November 1858.

No. 9. Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Public Works Department, dated 28th October 1858, No. 2274.

Communicating copy of a letter from the Government of India, sanctioning the estimate, amounting to Rupees 30,000, for the construction of a Jail at Ootacamund for Europeans under penal servitude. } (Vide Public Works Consultation, 29th October 1858, Nos. 154 and 155.)

No. 10. ORDER THEREON, No. 1587; dated 10th November 1858.

Ordered to be communicated to the Inspector of Prisons.

(Signed) W. H. BAYLEY,
Acting Secretary to Govt.

Exd. F. Cartledge.

No 1587.

Ordered to be communicated to the Inspector of Prisons.

W M Port of St George, 10 November, 1858.

Copy to Inspector of Prisons. Disp.d 10th

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
Judicial.

No. 2274.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 28th October 1858.

Read the following letter from Major R. STRACHEY, Officiating Secretary to the Government of India; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George, Fort William, dated the 28th September 1858, No. 4765.

SIR,

With reference to your letter, No. 1073, dated 7th Ultimo, to the address of the Secretary to the Government of India, in the Home Department, I am directed to inform you that, under the circumstances therein explained, the Honorable the President in Council has been pleased to sanction the estimate* amounting to Rupees (30,000) thirty thousand, for the construction of a Jail at Ootacamund for Europeans under penal servitude.

* Item No. 7, of the Madras Budget for 1858-59.

2. With reference however to para. 6 of my letter No. 4606, dated 23rd Instant declining sanction to this work, I am desired to state that it would have been better if all the reasons now adduced for the execution of this work, had been explained in the first instance