

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	354	Press
		~~Mis.~~
	3.4	
Dated	31 — 3 — 1857.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

35354

/ F

Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 31st March 1857.

No. 3. Read the following letter from R. R. COTTON, Esq., Acting Civil and Sessions Judge of Rajahmundry; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Judicial Department, dated 11th March 1857, No. 53.

SIR,

I have the honor to inform you, for the information of Government, that I have considered it necessary, on a representation to me by the Subordinate Judge, to withdraw the Sunnud of appointment of Government Vakeel, from Saripella Suttenna, he having twice rendered himself incapable of conducting his business as Prosecutor, before the Subordinate and Session Courts, when a notable character, Rednum Dhurmaroydoo, was tried for Perjury and Forgery, Suttenna being his Vakeel in both instances, and in the latter case filed the forged deed. I beg therefore to enclose the Sunnud of appointment given to him, and to state that I have in communication with the Collector of the District, appointed Rayavarapoo Ramanah, as the best person at present available to act as Government Pleader.

(Signed) R. R. COTTON,
Acting Civil and Sessions Judge.

From T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government; to SARIPELLA SUTTENNA, No. 676.

In conformity with the provisions of Regulation XIV of 1816, and Section L, Act VII of 1843, you are hereby appointed to the Office of Pleader on the part of Government, in the Zillah Court of Rajahmundry. You will not be liable to be removed from your office so long as you may conduct yourself with propriety, and discharge your duty with zeal, ability and integrity, under the Regulations now in force, or which may hereafter be enacted.

Fort Saint George, 26th June 1856.

By Order of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council,

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

No. 4. ORDER THEREON, No. 354; dated 25th March 1857.

1. It is observed that by the terms of his Sunnud, Saripella Suttenna was not liable to removal from his Office as Government Vakeel of the Zillah Court of Rajahmundry so long as he conducted himself with propriety and discharged his duty with zeal, ability and integrity under the Regulations.

2. The grounds on which it is now proposed to remove him are, his having twice rendered himself incapable of conducting his business as Prosecutor before the Subordinate and Session Courts, when a notable character, Rednum Dhurmaroydoo, was tried for Perjury and Forgery. Suttenna being his Vakeel in both instances, and in the latter case filed the forged Deed.

3. Before assenting to his removal, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council desires to have some more distinct information of the case, and to know whether it was sufficiently shown that S. Suttenna was privy to the Perjury and Forgery perpetrated by his Client, and that he filed the forged Deed knowing it to be so.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. F. Cartledge.

U
The

No 354

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Dated 25th March 1857.

Read the following letter
from the Acting Civil and
Session Judge of Rajahmundry

(Here enter 11th March 1857/453)

It is observed that by
the terms of his Sunned Sari-
pella Suttanna was not
liable to removal from his
Office as Government Vakil
of the Zillah Court of Rajah-
mundry so long as he conduct-
ed himself with propriety and
discharged his duty with
zeal, ability and integrity
under the Regulations.

The

H
U

M

W. C. - 18

2. The grounds on which it is now proposed to remove him are his having twice rendered himself incapable of conducting his business as Prosecutor before the Sub- and Session Courts, when a notable character Pedum Ihermaroydoo was tried for Perjury and Forgery - Suttenna being his Tatleel in both instances, and in the latter case filed the forged Deed.-

3. Before assenting to his removal the Right Honble the Governor in Council desires to have some more distinct information of the Case - and to know whether it was sufficiently shown that S. Suttenna was privy to

to the Perjury and Forgery perpetrated by his Client - and that he filed the forged Deed knowing it to be so.-

JF

Copy to the Actg Civil & Session Judge of Rajahmundry

374

Removal of the
from his office of

St. A. 611.
Com. 5 May - 1857

2/25 March 1857

Extract of Minutes of Board

No 354

W. H. Co. Co. 3, 700
Advised 1857 Separately