

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 304	Press ~~Mis.~~
22.23	
Dated 17-3-1857.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

12

Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 17th March 1857.

No. 22. Read the following letter from R. B. CHAPMAN, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India; to J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George, dated the 24th January 1857, No. 199.

SIR,

I am directed to forward the accompanying copy of a letter, No. 92, dated the 9th Ultimo, from the Secretary to the Government of Bombay and its enclosures, and to request that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, in communication with the Sudder Court, will have the goodness to report on the new forms of Annual Criminal Returns suggested for adoption by the Sudder Court at Bombay.

(Signed) R. B. CHAPMAN,

Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India.

From H. L. ANDERSON, Esq., Secretary to the Government of Bombay; to the Secretary to the Government of India, No. 4130, dated Bombay Castle, 9th December 1856, No. 92.

SIR,

Judicial Department.

The Government of India will have observed from the accompaniments to my letter, No. 32, dated the 30th May last, that in disposing of the Criminal Returns for 1855, this Government had occasion to direct the attention of the Sudder Adawlut to certain anomalies in some of the Statements therein submitted.

2. From the Sudder Adawlut's reply received in June last it appears, that these anomalies were the result of the defective nature of the forms of Statement adopted under instructions conveyed in the letter from the Government of India, No. 520 of the 20th June 1852, in substitution of those, in which the Annual Reports of the administration of Justice in Bombay had previously been submitted and that the defects had attracted the notice of the Court on the introduction of the change.

3. I am now desired to transmit for the consideration of the Right Hon'ble the Governor General of India in Council copy of the correspondence as per margin on the subject, and under the circumstance stated in the letter, from the Sudder Adawlut, No. 2439, dated the 7th Ultimo, to solicit the sanction of the Government of India to the adoption of the new forms of Annual Criminal Returns therewith submitted.

Letter from the Registrar of the Sudder Foudaree Adawlut, dated the 18th June 1856, No. 1427, with accompaniments.

Resolution of Government thereon, dated the 6th August 1856.

Letter from the Registrar of the Sudder Foudaree Adawlut, dated the 7th November 1856, No. 2439, with accompaniments.

4. I am desired to add, that this Government, whilst concurring with the Sudder, that the heading of Table No. 7, should be Sudder Foudaree Adawlut instead of as at present Nizamut Foudaree Adawlut, would further suggest that corresponding alterations be also made in Tables, Nos. 5 A, 6 and 10 and in the heading of Table No. 11.

(Signed) H. L. ANDERSON,

Secretary to Government.

From M. A. COXON, Esq., Registrar Sudder Foudaree Adawlut, Bombay; to H. L. ANDERSON, Esq., Secretary to Government, Judicial Department, dated 18th June 1856, No. 1427.

SIR,

Present.
W. E. Frere,
W. H. Harrison,
and
R. Keays,

} Esquires.

With reference to your letter No. 1865, dated the 30th Ultimo, communicating the observations and remarks of Government on the Criminal Return for the year 1855, and pointing out certain anomalies in several Statements thereof, I have the honor, by direction of the Judges of the Sudder Foudaree Adawlut, to transmit the accompanying copy of a Minute recorded by

Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 17th March 1857.

Mr. Frere, under date the 8th November 1853, on the adoption of the new Forms of Returns, pointing out the anomalies which have now attracted the notice of Government in these Forms, and to request you will inform the Right Hon'ble the Governor in Council that the Court will now await any orders that His Lordship will issue on the subject.

(Signed) M. A. COXON,
Registrar.

MINUTE ON THE CRIMINAL RETURNS.

In form No. 1 are only to be entered those cases tried and disposed of by the Patels. No notice whatever is to be taken in it of those cases which the Patel forwards to the District Police Officer.

Form No. 2. In "summoned during the year" are to be included those cases forwarded to the District Police Officer by the Police Patel as well as those cases which the District Police Officer took up himself *ab initio*.

"Acquitted after investigation" should include any case in which the Prisoner had been convicted by the District Police Officer, but was subsequently acquitted on Appeal by the Magistrate, as the Magistrate's sentence is only a correction of the District Police Officers.

"Convicted and punished" should not therefore include those cases in which the Prisoners were subsequently acquitted on appeal to the Magistrate.

Form No. 3 can only here apply to cases of Perjury committed during trial of a civil suit, and offences such as forgery, &c., which have been brought to light during the trial of civil suits, and they will all be included under "referred to Magistrates, &c." This return should be made up by the Magistrate in communication with the Judge and Moonsiffs &c.

Form No. 4, under "apprehended and referred" should be included all those cases under the head of "referred to Higher Courts" in Form No. 2 which the District Police Officer sent to the Deputy Magistrate. "Convicted" should not include sentences passed subject to the Magistrate's confirmation those cases should be included in "referred to Magistrates, &c." and as in the remark on the District Police Officers. Acquitted should include those cases in which the Magistrate acquitted on appeal, but not those acquitted when the case was referred for confirmation. "Otherwise disposed of" should include those cases in which the Prisoner was discharged on security. In those cases the Prisoner cannot be said to be acquitted or convicted and otherwise disposed of is the right head for them to be entered under.

Form No. 5. This is a very difficult form to fill up here—as the powers of the Assistant Magistrates whose returns are included in this are more alien to those of the Deputy Magistrate, and it would have been better that the Form No. 4 had included the Assistant Magistrates, however "apprehended during the year" must include only those cases in which the Magistrate or his Assistant took the initiative. "Received by transfer" must include all cases received from the District Police Officer all cases received from the Civil Courts—whether included in No. 5 or sent by the Judge or Assistant Judge. All cases referred for confirmation by the Deputy Magistrates as well as cases received from foreign jurisdiction.

There should be a head convicted made in this form and in this and all other forms including 13, the final sentence given on appeal should be considered the sentence of the Court passing sentence originally and should be entered accordingly, thus a man convicted by the Magistrate but acquitted on appeal to the Sudder Foudaree Adawlut should be entered as acquitted in this form and a man sentenced to a year's imprisonment by the Magistrate but whose sentence was on appeal mitigated to 5 months, should be entered by the Magistrate in the 3rd and not in the 4th column of No. 13.

"Otherwise disposed of" should in this and all other returns include persons discharged on security.

With the above remarks, I do not see any thing to add on Form No. 6 except that "Referred to the Foudaree Court" should contain those cases sent up for confirmation, and which though

Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 17th March 1857.

convictions should not appear under that head—nor should they appear under "acquitted" the result was an acquittal though in cases *appealed if not referred for confirmation* an acquittal the Sudder Foudaree Adawlut should appear as an acquittal by the Session Judge.

As there is no form for the Assistant Session Judges, their cases must appear in this as if decided by the Session Judge and cases referred by the Assistant Session Judge for confirmation will appear as if tried throughout by the Session Judge.

The greatest difficulty arises in filling up No. 8 and its appendage 9. In these there is no notice taken of the Deputy Magistrates and the returns can never shew what they are meant to exhibit with exactness—for the result of references from the Assistant Magistrates to the Magistrate and the Assistant Judges to the Session Judges which ought to appear to make the returns complete cannot here be shewn.

I would then with this difficulty suggest to Government that the forms should be so far altered that No. 4 should contain Deputy Magistrates and Assistant Magistrates—No. 5, Magistrates only and that there should be another form 6 for Assistant Judges which would require only that "referred to Nizamut or Foudaree Adawlut" should be altered to "referred to Session Judge."

If Government accede to this, some little alteration in my remarks regarding the Deputy Magistrate and Magistrate's returns would be required, but forms 8 and 9 might then be filled up without trouble, if not the above remarks should be circulated and the local officers be directed to conform with them and the returns though very defective must be furnished.

I would also, if the reference is made to Government, or the local authorities are called on to correct their returns, send the accompanying percentage which Mr. Morris has drawn out for me from the returns furnished which exhibits such an extraordinary variety that I cannot bring myself to believe that all the officers in the Zillahs have followed the same rules in framing these returns.

(Signed) W. E. FRERE,
8th November 1853.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. MORRIS,
1st Assistant Registrar.

No. 1.

Village Police.

	Discharged without trial.	Acquitted after investigation.	Convicted and punished.
Ahmedabad	...	...	1
Kaira	...	2,247	97,752
Broach...	...	...	...
Surat	33,269	9,315	57,414
Tannah	58,387	15,472	26,140
Colaba	...	60	40
Rutnagherry	52,459	16,393	31,147
Belgaum	16,489	12,234	71,276
Dharwar	...	31,111	68,888
Sholapoor	...	9,090	90,909
Poonah	27,710	44,578	27,710
Ahmednuggur	75	125	125
Nassick	...	53,846	46,153
Khandeish	12,725	10,909	76,363

Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 17th March 1857.

No. 2.
District Police.

	Discharged without trial.	Acquitted after in- vestigation.	Convicted and pu- nished.	Referred to higher Courts.
Ahmedabad	1,111	21,088	49,102	27,871
Kaira	9,866	11,645	28,765	48,221
Broach	52,725	14,598	26,910	5,327
Surat	40,416	14,901	33,919	10,756
Tannah	20,931	26,443	44,422	7,939
Colaba	12,512	28,649	44,410	12,786
Rutnagherry	6,116	24,860	56,682	11,930
Belgaum	1,468	23,696	32,166	41,542
Dharwar	7,912	13,386	78,667	28,895
Sholapoor	5,554	17,825	46,464	15,365
Poonah	11,152	33,911	38,826	18,255
Ahmednuggur	39,983	12,753	28,785	10,285
Nassick	7,035	30,130	42,356	
Khandeish				

No. 4.
Deputy Magistrate.

	Discharged without trial.	Acquitted.	Convicted.	Referred to Magistrate or Session Judge.	Otherwise disposed of
Ahmedabad	4,093	14,619	21,637	28,070	22,222
Kaira	42,016	14,285	29,411	10,924	
Broach					
Surat	26,732	24,752	34,653	12,376	1,485
Tannah	26,021	22,844	30,862	7,721	302
Colaba					
Rutnagherry		9,090	77,272	13,636	
Belgaum		24,242	54,545	9,090	
Dharwar	38,461	3,846	17,948	28,205	11,538
Sholapoor		26	48,666	24	666
Poonah	23,770	9,016	40,983	22,131	4,098
Ahmednuggur		29,166	625	8,333	
Nassick		33,333	66,666		
Khandeish		16,326	69,387	7,142	7,142

No. 5.
Magistrates, Joint Magistrates and Assistant Magistrates.

	Discharged without trial.	Acquitted.	Convicted.	Committed to Session Judge, &c.	Otherwise disposed of.
Ahmedabad	14,254	28,073		48,966	7,616
Kaira	59,290	12,812		20,500	4,827
Broach					
Surat	26,600	16,256	41,379	7,881	6,896
Tannah	20	7,883		36,569	3,503
Colaba				1	
Rutnagherry	262	27,034		26,771	42,257
Belgaum	873	29,475	39,082	29,475	
Dharwar	66,666	7,280	16,666	4,632	3,429
Sholapoor	7,100	21,499		20,512	41,834
Poonah	42,298	21,108		34,963	1,466
Ahmednuggur		32,012	46,483	21,646	
Nassick		10,691	33,004	9,449	46,685
Khandaish	12,749	18,070		20,842	41,241

Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 17th March 1857.

No. 13.

Table shewing the nature and number of offences committed, the number of persons concerned in them and the number of offenders apprehended, during the year 18 , and the result of the proceedings adopted.

Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 17th March 1857

From M. A. COXON, Esq., Registrar; to H. L. ANDERSON, Esq., Secretary to Government, Judicial Department, dated 7th November 1856, No. 2439.

SIR,

I have the honor by direction of the Court of Sudder Foujdaree Adawlut to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 2814 dated the 13th August last, and to submit, to be laid before the Right Hon'ble the Governor in Council, the accompanying transcripts of the new Forms of Annual Criminal Returns with the alterations in red ink, which the Judges would recommend to be made in them to meet the views of Government.

Present,
W. E. Frere,
W. H. Harrison,
and
R. Keays,

} Esquires,

2. Table No. 1 is altered by the addition of an entry "referred to higher Courts," the Judges being of opinion all persons apprehended by the Village Police should be accounted for in this table as the Returns should be a faithful record of all that takes place in the administration of Criminal Justice during the year from the time of apprehension to the time of final disposal, those cases which the Village Police did not dispose of themselves should be shewn in the proposed new entry.

3. To suit the alteration to table No. 1 an additional entry is given in No. 2, as suggested by Government of "Referred during the year" in the first compartment. The entry "convicted and punished" in this table need not as proposed by Government be altered to "convicted" only as by adding in Forms 5 and 6 a heading as in No. 7 of "Proceedings called for," the alterations made by Superior Authority in the sentences of their Subordinates will appear in the same way as the alterations made by the Sudder Foujdaree Adawlut in similar cases.

4. Table No. 3, the Judges are of opinion, may stand as at present, and be filled in as heretofore, and table No. 4 only requires the addition to the heading of "and Assistant Magistrates without extended powers" and that the entry "apprehended or referred during the year" in the first compartment should be divided into "apprehended during the year" and "referred during the year" so as to correspond in this respect with other tables.

5. Table No. 5, the Judges propose to alter in the heading by the addition of "Assistant and Deputy Magistrates with full powers of Magistrate" and, as suggested above, the addition in the first compartment of a heading of "Proceedings called for" and of an entry "convicted" in the second compartment; and a similar entry in the first compartment should be made in table 6 and then that and table 7 may stand as at present, though the Judges are of opinion that a second table 5 to be marked "No. 5 A.," and headed Assistant Session Judges should precede the present table bearing that number, and be appropriated to the work of that denomination of Officer. The alterations in tables 8 and 9 which the Judges consider necessary are also indicated in red ink. As the forms are to be made more correct the Judges would suggest that the heading of No. 7 should be "Sudder Foujdaree Adawlut" which is known in Bombay and a legally constituted Court instead of Nizamut or Foujdaree Adawlut, the former of which is not known and the latter may be either the Magistrate's Office or the Session Judge's Court.

6. The Court agree with Government in the propriety of excluding persons discharged on security for the reasons stated from tables 10 and 13, but before the other proposed alterations in the latter Form be determined upon, the Judges would refer to the remarks in the Despatch of the Hon'ble the Court of Directors of the 3rd June 1846. In the 3rd para. of that document it was observed "we have repeatedly made the remark that no reliance is to be placed on reports of the supposed number of offenders engaged in commission of particular crimes, or of the supposed amount of property robbed or stolen when information on these points is obtained, which is believed to rest on any valid foundation, it should be brought to notice by the Magistrate; but as figured Statements should only contain entries which are correct and authentic, it should have no place in such Statement." When this information, the Judges direct me to add, had to be furnished in the old Form of Criminal Return it was often objected that it must be almost always conjectural, and that complainants were in the habit of magnifying the number of robbers, and amount of property stolen, under this impression the Judges hesitate in recommending that the alterations proposed by Government should be adopted. If, however,

Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 17th March 1857.

RESOLUTION by the Hon'ble Board dated 6th August 1856.

1. In disposing of the Criminal Returns for 1855, Government had occasion to draw the attention of the Sudder to certain anomalies in some of the Statements therewith submitted.

2. In reply, the Sudder now forward copy of a Minute recorded by Mr. Frere in 1853, on the adoption of the present Forms of Returns and solicit the instructions of Government on the subject.

3. Mr. Frere apparently considers that Form No. 1 is defective in this; that it does not admit of the number of all the prisoners coming before the Village Police, being inserted in it, as there is no column in it for the insertion of the number of those forwarded by them to the District Police Officers.

4. To remedy this defect the Right Honorable the Governor in Council considers that a column might be added to Form No. 1, similar to that in Form No. 2 of "Referred to Higher Courts," or Authorities. In this column should be entered the number of such of the prisoners as are forwarded by the Village Police to the District Police Officers, while the column "summoned during the year" in Form No. 1, should contain the number of all the prisoners coming before the Village Police. This amendment will require the insertion of a column "Referred during the year," in addition to that "summoned during the year," in Form No. 2.

5. The remarks in paras. 3, 4, 6, 8 and 10 of Mr. Frere's Minute in regard to acquittals and convictions are apparently opposed to what is required from the Returns, which purport to exhibit the amount and nature of work performed by the different Authorities separately. If then a prisoner be convicted by an inferior Authority and subsequently on appeal or reference for confirmation of the conviction, be acquitted by a superior Authority, it is incorrect to say that the prisoner was acquitted by the original trying (that is inferior) Authority. The separate Returns, therefore, ought to afford information not only as to how many prisoners, the Authorities of whose work each separate Return purports to afford details, were disposed of, but also exactly how. In other words, each separate Return should show exactly how the Authorities, of whose

work such Return gives the details, disposed of the prisoners that came before them, and the Forms Nos. 8 and 9 should be made use of, with such changes in the headings as might be required to adopt them to this Presidency, to show the references† from the inferior to the superior Authorities, and the result of such references.

6. The adoption of the above amendments would require that the heading "convicted and punished" in Forms Nos. 1 and 2 should be changed to "convicted" only.

7. At the conclusion of the 6th para. Mr. Frere says that persons discharged on security "cannot be said to be acquitted or convicted and otherwise disposed of is the right head for them to be entered under." The Right Hon'ble the Governor in Council agrees in this observation, and thinks that, on the same principle, they should not be entered in the Statement No. (10) of sentences passed, &c., nor mixed with the flogged and fined in Statement No. 13. Flogging and Fines are sentences inflicted on the convicted, and if "discharged on security cannot be said to be convicted," they cannot be said to be sentenced. They should altogether be omitted in

Statement No. 10, and entered in Statement No. 13 under a separate column headed "Discharged on security or otherwise disposed† of" after the column "acquitted."

† Including all prisoners otherwise disposed of.

Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 17th March 1857.

8. Mr. Frere, for reasons stated in para. 7 of his Minute, considers that "it would have been better that Form No. 4" (for the Deputy Magistrates) "had included the Assistant Magistrates." This might be done; and as suggested in para. 13, Form No. 5 should contain Magistrates only, and there should be another Form for Assistant Judges, in addition to the Form No. 6 for Judges with the alteration pointed out by Mr. Frere.

9. The addition of a heading "convicted" in Form No. 5, adverted to in the 8th para. of Mr. Frere's Minute appears very desirable.

10. In the same para. Mr. Frere suggests that "the final sentence given on appeal should be considered the sentence of the Court passing sentence originally, and should be entered accordingly in all the Forms including No. 13." The Governor in Council thinks that the final sentences should be alone entered in Statement No. 13, and that Statement No. 10 should show the exact nature of the sentences originally passed by the separate Courts. By adopting this suggestion there will be a difference, it is true, in the figures of the corresponding columns of the nature of the sentences in the two Statements (Nos. 10 and 13) but the total of those figures will agree after deducting the sentences altogether annulled, which might be shown in foot notes in Statement No. 10, but should appear in Form No. 9.

11. A short while since Government remarked:—

“The number of apprehensions alone is no test of the state of crime in the Districts within which such apprehensions have been made. Crimes may be committed to any extent with impunity and without detection where an inefficient Police exists, while in other places where the Police are efficient and active, few offenders can escape detection and apprehension.”

12. The state of crime cannot be estimated by the number of offenders detected but by the number of offences committed and their nature. To arrive at a correct estimate of the zeal or neglect of the Police, it is obviously necessary to show how many persons in all were concerned in crime, in addition to how many of them were apprehended; how the apprehended were disposed of, that is, not only how many were convicted and acquitted, but also how many were discharged without trial and how many remained untried at the close of the year. The Right Hon'ble the Governor in Council thinks that a slight alteration in Statement No. 13 as in the accompanying Form, would give the information adverted to above as well as that suggested in the 7th para. of this Resolution.

13. As the Forms now in use were introduced under instructions from the Government of India, any alteration therein must be made subject to their approval. Before addressing the Government of India on the subject, a copy of this Resolution should be forwarded to the Judges of the Sudder Adawlut for any suggestions which may occur to them, with a view to remedy the defects found to exist in the present Forms of Criminal Returns.

(Signed) ELPHINSTONE.

(„) A. MALET.

6th August 1856.

Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 17th March 1857.

Government determine that they should, the Judges would suggest that the first heading "Total" in the altered Form is unnecessary.

(Signed) M. A. COXON,
Registrar.

No. 1.

Village Police.

Village 10000									
Under trial on 1st January	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Summoned during the year	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total									...
Discharged without trial	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Acquitted after investigation	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Convicted and punished	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Referred to higher authorities	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Under trial on 31st December	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total									...

REMARKS.

In this table must be entered every case in which the Village Police apprehends the delinquent whether he try him himself or forward him to the District Police Officer.

No. 2.

District Police.

REMARKS.

Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 17th March 1857.

the two entries in the first compartment of the table will be given the whole of the work to be performed, and in the several entries in the second compartment will be shewn how it was done, under convicted and punished being given the number of all offenders convicted and sentenced.

No. 3.

Principal Sudder Ameen, Sudder Ameen and Law Officers.

Under trial on 1st January				
Referred during the year				
Total				
Discharged without trial				
Acquitted				
Convicted				
Referred to Magistrates or Session Judges				
Otherwise disposed of				
Under trial on 31st December				
Total				

No. 4.

Deputy Magistrates and Assistant Magistrates without extended powers.

Under trial on 1st January				
Apprehended during the year				
Referred from Lower Court during the year				
Total				
Discharged without trial				
Acquitted				
Convicted				
Referred to Magistrates or Session Judges				
Otherwise disposed of				
Under trial on 31st December				

REMARKS.

All cases that come before the Deputy Magistrate must be entered in this return and the way in which he disposed of them without reference to any alteration which may have been made on subsequent appeal.

Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 17th March 1857.

No. 5.

Magistrates, Joint Magistrates, Assistant Magistrates, and Deputy Magistrates with full powers of Magistrate.

Under trial on 1st January				
Apprehended during the year				
Received by transfer				
Proceedings called for				
Total				
Discharged without trial				
Acquitted				
Convicted				
Committed to Sessions Judges, &c.				
Otherwise disposed of				
Under trial on 31st December				

REMARKS.

See Remark on No. 4.

No. 5, A.

Assistant Session Judges.

Under trial on 1st January				
Committed during the year				
Received by transfer				
Total				
Discharged without trial				
Acquitted				
Convicted				
Referred to Nizamut or Foujdaree Court				
Otherwise disposed of				
Under trial on 31st December				

REMARKS.

In this Table except from the detached Stations "Committed during the year" should be left blank and the cases sent by the Session Judge to the Assistant Session Judge for decision should be entered as "Received by transfer," in 6 as "Otherwise disposed of."

Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 17th March 1857.

No. 6.

Sessions Judges

Under trial on 1st January	...	...	...				
Committed during the year	...	...	...				
Received by transfer	...	...	...				
Proceedings called for, in consequence of appeal or otherwise	...	...	...				
Total...							
Discharged without trial	...	...	...				
Acquitted	...	...	...				
Convicted	...	...	...				
Referred to Nizamut or Foujdaree Court	...	...	...				
Otherwise disposed of	...	...	...				
Under trial on 31st December	...	...	...				

REMARKS.

This Table must be filled in literally as the Session Judge decided the cases without reference to any subsequent alteration by a Superior Court on appeal.

No. 7.

Sudder Foujdaree Adawlut

Under trial on 1st January	...	...	...				
Committed or referred during the year	...	...	...				
Proceedings called for	...	...	...				
Total...							
Released unconditionally	...	...	...				
Ditto on security	...	...	...				
Convicted	...	...	...				
Otherwise disposed of	...	...	...				
Depending on 31st December	...	...	...				

Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 17th March 1857.

No. 8.

TRIALS referred and commitments made from Inferior to Higher Courts.

Depending	...	...	...				
From District Police	...	...	...				
Ditto Sudder Ameens	...	...	...				
Ditto Principal ditto	...	...	...				
Ditto Deputy Magistrates and Assistant Magistrates without extended powers	...	...	...				
From Magistrates, Joint Magistrates, Assistant Magistrates, and Deputy Magistrates with full powers of Magistrate	...	...	...				
From Sessions and Circuit Judges	...	...	...				
Total...							

REMARKS.

In this all cases sent to higher authority for final disposal should be entered.

No. 9.

Result of references and commitments in preceding table.

From District Police Courts	...	...	...	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.
Ditto Sudder Ameens	...	...	...	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.
Ditto Principal ditto	...	...	...	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.
Ditto Deputy Magistrates and Assistant Magistrates without extended powers	...	...	...	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.
From Magistrates, Joint Magistrates, Assistant Magistrates, and Deputy Magistrates with full powers of Magistrate	...	...	...	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.
From Sessions and Circuit Judges	...	...	...	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.
Depending on 31st December	...	...	...	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.	Rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.

No. 10.

REMARKS.
This table should correspond with the number of "Convictions" in tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5 A, 6 and 7.

No. 11.

Years.	From apprehension to commitment.	From commitment to reference.	From transference to receipt.	From receipt of reference to sentence.	Total from apprehension.
	Days.	Days.	Days.	Days.	Days.

No. 12.

Imprisonment for life (with labour with irons)			
Ditto above 14 years	...	...	...
Ditto 10 to 14 years	...	...	...
Ditto 7 to 10 years	...	...	...
Ditto—less than 7 years	...	...	...
Ditto—without labour	...	...	...

[illegible]

Total on 31st December ...

Deaths in the year

(True copy.)

(Signed) H. L. ANDERSON,
Secretary to Government.

Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 17th March 1857.

No. 13. Table showing the number of persons apprehended and brought to trial in 18 and the result of the Proceedings.	Offences.	Total number of offenders.	Total.....	Convicted.	Acquitted.
					Confined as Insane.
					Total.
					Death.
					Transportation.
					For life.
					Above 16 years and not exceeding 21 years.
					Above 15 years and not exceeding 16 years.
					Above 14 years and not exceeding 15 years.
					Above 13 years and not exceeding 14 years.
					Above 12 years and not exceeding 13 years.
					Above 11 years and not exceeding 12 years.
					Above 10 years and not exceeding 11 years.
					Above 9 years and not exceeding 10 years.
					Above 8 years and not exceeding 9 years.
					Above 7 years and not exceeding 8 years.
					Above 6 years and not exceeding 7 years.
					Above 5 years and not exceeding 6 years.
					Above 4 years and not exceeding 5 years.
					Above 3 years and not exceeding 4 years.
					Above 2 years and not exceeding 3 years.
					Above 1 year and not exceeding 2 years.
					Above 6 months and not exceeding 1 year.
					Imprisonment not exceeding 6 months.
					Flagged or fined.

(True Copies.)

(Signed) R. B. CHAPMAN,

Offg. Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

No. 23.

ORDER THEREON, No. 304; dated 12th March 1857.

Ordered to be communicated to the Court of Foujdaree Udalt for report.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,

Chief Secretary.

22723

Adm to Com. 17th March
Subd 1857 Sept 15
No 204
Extract from the Minutes
of Consultation
Dated 12th March
1857

18

No. 199.

FROM

R. B. CHAPMAN, ESQUIRE,
Offg. Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

J. D. Bowdler Esq
Secy to the Govt of
Fort St. George

Dated the 24th January 1857.

SIR,

HOME DEPARTMENT.
Judicial.

I AM directed to forward the accompanying copy of a letter, No. 92, dated the 9th ultimo, from the Secretary to the Government of Bombay and its enclosures, and to request that the *Right Hon. the Governor in Council*, in communication with the Sudder Court, will have the goodness to report on the new forms of Annual Criminal Returns suggested for adoption by the Sudder Court at Bombay.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

R B Chapman

FORT WILLIAM,
The 24th January 1857. }

Offg. Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

By 15 Copy - 17 March
Judicial 1857 by and

From the Appellate Dept
of the Court - 1857

Printed by J. J. Smith
No 26 1857

Some
copies
1857

No 326

Forwarded copy of a letter from
the Court of Sessions and request
the report of the Court in connection
with the Court of Sessions, on the
the Court of Sessions, on the
Criminal Records suggested
by the Court of Sessions -

22 Feb.
1857

22 V 23

South in order

12304

Orders to communicate to the

Board of Directors about for the

1857 March 1857

1857

1857

Copy to King: March 12 1857