

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*Indial* DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1355

Press

~~Mis.~~

23.24

Dated

76-10-1925

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Fort St. George, Judicial Consultation, 20th October 1857.*

No. 23. Read the following letter from C. F. CHAMIER, Esq., Acting Register to the Court of Sudr Udalut; to E. MALTBY, Esq., Acting Chief Secretary to Government, dated 21st September 1857, No. 90.

SIR,

1. With reference to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 24th Ultimo, in which it was observed that the Sudr Udalut might have authorized a certain contingent charge, I am directed by the Judges to enquire to what extent the Court now have authority to sanction such charges, as they have been under the impression that the order of Government dated 9th of May 1856, transferred to the Civil Auditor all the authority in this respect which formerly was vested in the Court.

2. The sum entered in the estimate, returned with the Extract above referred to, was not so small as others which have received the sanction of Government.

3. The Civil Auditor has more than once declined to pass contingent charges submitted by this Court for sanction. This circumstance was mentioned to the Government in the Register's letter of the 11th October 1856, but the matter was not noticed by the Government in their proceedings of the 18th Idem.

4. As some confusion has arisen in consequence of there being no well defined rules, as far as the Judges are aware, relating to the passing of contingent charges in the Judicial Department, the Judges have directed me to request that they may be favored with instructions upon the subject.

(Signed) C. F. CHAMIER,  
*Acting Register*

No. 24.

ORDER THEREON, No. 1355; dated 16th October 1857.

The Government resolve to refer the foregoing letter to the Civil Auditor, and to request that he will suggest the proper course to be observed for relieving them from applications for

\*10 Rupees for a Pandal to the Sudr Ameen's Court House at Salem. sanction to such petty charges as that\* noticed in the order of the 24th August last, No. 1069, without militating against existing rules.

† Estimate of Rupees 56 for making 2 Bureaus and 3 Racks, for the custody of the Tamil records.

2. It is observed that the charges † adverted to in the 3rd para. of the Sudr Court's letter are provided for by the rules relating to the "Audit of Contingent, &c., charges," Chap. V, paras. 7 to 14; and the course therein laid down for obtaining sanction and having them passed cannot therefore be departed from. But it does not appear that petty estimates for the erection of Pandals, which are occasionally sent in from the Provinces, are included among the items specified under any of the heads of charges indicated in those rules.

3. The Civil Auditor will state whether such petty estimates may not be sanctioned by the Court of Sudr Udalut on their being satisfied of the necessity of the work, and the actual charge passed by the Audit Office under the authority so granted by them.

(Signed) E. MALTBY,  
*Acting Chief Secretary.*

✓ 61  
Judicial Department

No 1355

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation  
under date 10<sup>th</sup> October 1857

Read letter from the  
Court of San Roderico.

(Dated 21<sup>st</sup> Sep<sup>r</sup> 1857 No 90.)

The Court resolve to  
refer the foregoing let-  
ter to the Civil Audi-  
tor and to request that  
he will suggest the  
proper course to be ob-  
served for relieving  
them from applications  
for sanction to such  
petty charges as<sup>\*</sup> that  
noticed in the Order of  
the 24<sup>th</sup> August last No 1069.  
without militating a-  
gainst existing Rules.

2. It is observed

<sup>\*</sup> Estimate of Rs. 50 for making that the charges ad.  
2 Ducados & 3 Reales for  
the custody of the Tamil  
records. (referred to in the O. Para  
of

\* 10 Rs. for a Pandat to the  
San Roderico Court-house  
at Sabu

of the Supr Court's letter  
are provided for by the  
Ruler <sup>relating to the</sup> ~~under the~~ <sup>Sanctions</sup>  
"Audit of Contingent Charge"  
Chap. V. paras 4 to 14; and  
the course therein laid  
down, for obtaining San-  
tion and having them  
passed cannot therefore  
be departed from. But  
it does not appear that  
petty Estimates for the  
erection of Pandals, which  
are occasionally sent  
in from the Provinces,  
are included among  
the items specified un-  
der any of the Heads  
of charges indicated in  
those Rules.

3. The Civil Audi-  
tor will state whether  
such petty Estimates  
may not be sanctioned  
by the Court of Supr. Acc.  
but on their being satis-  
fied of the necessity  
of

of the work, and the ac-  
tual charge passed  
by the Audit Office un-  
der the authority so  
granted by them.

W

To the Civil Auditor,  
Court of Supr. Acc. } Sept 20<sup>th</sup>

Copy to Com-2<sup>d</sup> West  
Judicial 1837 appears

Ms 1355

Abstracts of Bonds

9/16 October 1837

File 2134

23424