

Home (1920) Department.
(Miscellaneous)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1337

DATED 28-8-1920

[Despatch Abstract.]

St. Mary's Home, Cuddalore.

Land acquisition--publication of draft notification in
Fort St. George Gazette-directed.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (= S.O.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number	Date.	Original (= O.) or spare copy (= S.O.)	Initials with date of (1) Referencer acknowledging returns of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to the department concerned.

H O M E (Miscellaneous) DEPARTMENT.

Mis 3750

Received _____ 191 . Registered *3.8.1920*



Subject.

Submitting draft notification for the
acquisition of lands for extension of St.

Mary's Home and for housing the panchamas

evicted therefrom.

ENCLOSURES

No. _____

Spare copies _____

R.Dis. No. 597-Cor. of 1920.

Station Cuddalore, Dated the 29th July 1920

From (Name) R.F.B.L. Guppy Esq., I.C.S.

(Designation) Ag. Collector of South Arcot.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

H O M E (Miscellaneous) Department.

Sir,

With reference to G.O.No.944, dated the 27th
April 1920, I have the honour to submit herewith a
draft notification for the acquisition of 4.54 acres
of sites required for the extension of the St. Mary's
Home as per list A, and of 2.24 acres for housing the
panchamas, as per list B, who will be evicted from the
land taken up for the extension.

2. The Rev. Father Loubiere accepts the condi-
tion specified in para 2 of the G.O.

4. The owners of 36-A and 73/1 alone fully agree to part with their sites for the compensation proposed.

The Revenue Divisional Officer reports that he inspected the locality in company with the Tahsildar and the memorialists and found their objections not acceptable. In para 8 of the memorial it is alleged that the valuation is too low and requires to be revised. It is reported by the Revenue Divisional Officer that he examined three copies of sale deeds drawn up in 1902, 1918 and 1919 in addition to those already relied on by the Tahsildar and found there was no truth in the allegations of the petitioners. The present Revenue Divisional Officer who inspected the sites on the 23rd July 1920 reports that almost all the owners


and to take money compensation for the difference in value.

I have the honour to be,

Your most obedient servant,

R.G./31-7-20. Exd

31-7



R. 86

$\frac{1}{16}$ Rec. C. I. S. 11

TO

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONOURABLE,
SIR FREEMAN FREEMAN THOMAS BARON WILLINGDON OF
RATTON, G. C. S. I., C. I. E., G. B. E., -
Governor-in-Council Fort St. George,
MADRAS.

Through Collector of South Arcot

May it please your Excellency,

The humble memorial of *Catholic and protestant*
Indian Christians and an occupant of house Number of Sorakalpet village within
the Municipality of Cuddalore

Most respectfully sheweth:—

1. That the memorialist ^{have} been served with a notice from the Cuddalore Casba Revenue Inspector apprising ^{him} of the Government's sanction for the acquisition of one side of the Sreeranga maistry street and Lapoly street at Sorakalpet, Cuddalore Tq, for the purposes of a school for boys and a home for poor, old and infirm persons proposed to be constructed by the Reverend Father Loubiere.
2. That ^{they} ~~he~~ began to submit that ^{they are} ~~he is an~~ Indian Christian of the depressed class taking ^{their} ~~his~~ residence for generations past at Sorakalpet and earning ^{their} ~~his~~ livelihood by being employed as *clerks, typists, motor drivers, domestic servants and private labourers*
3. That the need for the construction of the proposed school and a home in the midst of the village by the Reverend Father by the acquisition of the occupied habitations is not apparent seeing that there are already 4 schools and a big home for the poor within a radius of a furlong from Sorakalpet and also 2 big convents for the use of nuns within a distance of 1 furlong and which will accomodate quite a large number of nuns besides a home for poor old and infirm people designated as St. Mary's home which was formerly a Police Hospital and wherein are only a few people and which also would accomodate any number.
4. That the village is situated in the healthier portion of the Cuddalore Municipality and as such immune from epidemics of any kind as a reference from the Municipal Mortuary Register would show.
5. That ^{it} ~~is~~ under acquisition/situate next to the S. home. That ~~it~~ ^{is} subject of a ~~notice~~ ^{notice} has ~~been~~ ^{been}

ted has got extensive lands and house sites and vacant bangalows some of which are particularised below. Viz.,:—

1. within a 1/4 of a furlong he owns a site measuring about 2 acres and which is kept vacant.
5. within half a furlong 1 school with nearly 3 acres house site and a home with nearly 1 1/2 acres site.
3. within a furlong 4 bangalows with about 8 acres let for rent to the Registration-Department and to the Training School in different plots.
- and 4. within 1 1/2 furlongs a bangalow with nearly 7 or 8 acres site.

and all of these he could very well utilise to serve the purpose he has in view.

6. That the house sites now under acquisition comprise 33 houses with a population of 222 in number and to deprive this large number of the Christian population of their habitations which are being occupied for many generations past, is highly deplorable especially in these hard days of distress, and at a time when the Government is doing its best to provide healthy and comfortable house accommodation for members of the depressed classes.

6. (a) That the present proposed acquisition of the lands is contrary to the present policy of the Government with regard to the depressed classes.

6. (b) That the memorialist ^{is} sorry to find that ^{he} no previous notice has been given to ^{him} ~~him~~ about the contemplated acquisition and ^{they have} ~~he has~~ not been asked by the authorities whether ^{they have} ~~he has~~ any objection to the proposed acquisition.

6. (c) That while the Land Acquisition Act provides adequate safe guards against hasty acquisitions, the action of the local authorities in this case, in not even calling for objections as provided by the Act shows that they are bent upon the acquisition without caring for the interest of the inhabitants of the locality.

6. (d) That there is a small chapel named St Antonys Chapel in the locality proposed to be acquired and the memorialist ^{has} ~~beg~~ to enter ^{his} ~~his~~ emphatic protest against the acquisition of that site contrary to the religious sentiments of the inhabitants.

6. (e) That the memorialist ^{has} ~~beg~~ to state that the locality in question has always been a very healthy one in the Cuddalore municipality and that there is now no adequate reason for its acquisition.

6. (f) That the place in question consists of tiled and thatched houses of the civilised and educated Indian Christians such as, Subasst Surgeons, clerks, typelists, motor drivers etc and not mere huts of Panchamas as the local officers seem to have represented and it is sheer waste of money to acquire the place and demolish the existing houses.

8. That the compensation proposed to be awarded is too low, comparing the high wages prevailing in these days and the amount that would be granted would be inadequate for the construction of even a hut and that the valuation has not been properly based as the houses now situated are within the healthiest part and centre of the town where an acre of land for house building would cost about Rs 2000. The place proposed to be awarded in lieu thereof is also very small.

9. That the memorialist^{has} thus the strongest objection to the proposed acquisition and that to ignore the objection would create great hardship to ^{him}.

The memorialist^{therefore} prays His Excellency that the proposal to acquire the locality may be abandoned. If however the Government wishes to proceed with the acquisition, the memorialist prays that His Excellency may be pleased to kindly depute the Labour commissioner, the Sanitary commissioner, the Surgeon-general and the present sympathetic collector of South Arcot to inspect the place and make a report before further action is taken.

For which act of kindness the memorialist shall ever remain grateful to the benign Government.

Serakulput
Cuddalore N. T.

HUMBLE MEMORIALIST

3rd June 1920
P. I. S.

S. A. Paulmurry
R. V. Dorai

செ. அ. பாலமுரி

ர. வ. டோரை

செ. அ. பாலமுரி

ர. வ. டோரை

செ. அ. பாலமுரி



Mark of thiyamarake



Mark of Munielue



Mark of Arockiam ammal



Mark of R. Sankarappa

D. V. Dorai
Adairanathan

செ. அ. பாலமுரி

செ. அ. பாலமுரி

செ. அ. பாலமுரி

செ. அ. பாலமுரி

செ. அ. பாலமுரி



R. Kathiravan

M. S. Sankar

செ. அ. பாலமுரி

Am. Velay
A. Francis Durell
C. Samuel
A.D. Samuel

Address
Care of J. C. Ramachandra Ayyar
182. Grade Pleader
Tirupapuligai



வக்காலத்து நாமா.

4 மார்ச் 1920 சென்னை கோர்ட்டு. 1920

1920 லுத்திய 12 மார்ச். செ.

S.A. Paulmurry and others — 1920

1920 லுத்திய 12 மார்ச். செ. கோர்ட்டு வக்கல், மகா-நா-புரி த. ச. சிவசுந்தரம்

அவர்களுக்கு, எழுதிக்கொடுத்த வக்காலத்து நாமா:—

ஷெ. வியாச்சியத்தில் எழுதிக்காக, தாங்கள் 1920 முதலியது தாக்கல்
செய்யவும், நிறைவேற்றுமனு அல்லது உத்திரவிற்பையில் மனு தாக்கல் செய்யவும், அது விஷ
யமான எல்லாச் சில்லரை புரோசீடிங்குகளில் ஆஜராய் நடவடிக்கை நடத்தவும், மேல்படி
தாவா அல்லது சங்கதி டி. சி. சி. முதலிய சகல விஷயங்களும், ஜாடாவாய் முடியும் வரை
யிலும், நடவடிக்கை நடத்தவும் எழுதிக்கொடுத்த வக்காலத்து நாமா:—
தஸ்தாவேசுகள் வாபஸ் பெறவேண்டிருந்தாலும் அதுகளைப் பெறவும், எழுதிக்கொடுத்த
வக்கிலாய்க் கோரிக்கொண்டிருப்பதால், அதுகளில் தாங்கள் நடத்தும் சகல நடவடிக்கை
களும், நா 1920 சதாவில் நடத்தியதுபோல் ஒப்புக்கொள்ளத் தக்கவைகளென்றும்,
எழுதிக்கொடுத்த வக்காலத்து நாமா.

1920 லுத்திய 12 மார்ச். செ. கோர்ட்டு வக்கல், மகா-நா-புரி த. ச. சிவசுந்தரம்

S.A. Paulmurry
C. M. Nacariah
J. V. Nair
1920

இதில்கண்ட கஷிக்கார 3 ம், சாஷியும் ஏன் முன்பாக கையொப்பம் செய்தார்.

1920 லுத்திய 12 மார்ச். செ.

சிராம முன்சீபு.
சிராமம்.

R.O.C. 3626
C Pet 19

Petitioners'
Vakalat

In the Court of the
Collector of S. Arcot

Accepted

J. C. Ramachandra Ayyar
Pleader.
3. 6. 1920

Address for service:

J. C. Ramachandra Ayyar,
1st Grade Pleader
Tirupapuliyur.
JCR

Enc. to No. 3626

(Column no 3626 of 864)
Chetty

2486 1920
petn
Mug
7.5.20

St. Theodore's Sanatorium,
Wellington, (Nilgiris,) 31st May 1920.

From,

Rev. Father E. Loubiere.

Cuddalore.

To.

R. F. B. L. Guppy Esqr., I. C. S.,
Collector of South Arcot.

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that I have received, through the Archbishop of Pondicherry my ecclesiastical superior, a copy, dated 26th May 1920, of a petition submitted by residents of Sorkalpet to H. E. the Governor Madras through the Collector of South Arcot. The object of this petition is to protest against G. O. No. 954, Home (Miscellaneous) Department dated the 27th April 1920, which sanctions the acquisition of their land for the extension of St. Mary's Home for aged infirm poor, Cuddalore, N. T.

Being the owner of the said ^{Home} house, I feel bound to sub some remarks with regard to the statements made in the petition mentioned above.

I. I request you to refer to the following official papers now in your hands:

1. My letter to J. C. Stodart Esq, I. C. S., Collector South Arcot, through the Chairman, Municipal Council, C

and President, District Board, South Arcot, dated the aid acquisition

2. The letter R. O. C. No. 3626 C. P. of 19, dated the 19th of September 1919, written by the Collector of South Arcot, Mr. A. Stodart, after a personal inspection of Sorkalpet to the Chairman, Municipal Council, Cuddalore.

3. The letter from the Chairman, Municipal Council, Cuddalore, to the Collector of South Arcot enclosing, in reply to the above letter from Mr. Stodart, true copy of the unanimous resolution passed thereon by the Municipal Council of Cuddalore at their meeting held on the 15th of September 1919.

4. The letter addressed by J. K. Lancashire Esqr., I. C. S., Collector of South Arcot, R. O. C. No. 3626 C. P. of 1919, to the Secretary of Government, Home Department, after the inspection of Sorkalpet, on the 21st January 1920, by the Hon'ble Mr. A. R. Knapp, C. B. E., I.C.S., Member of Council, accompanied by the Collector of South Arcot, the District Medical and Sanitary Officer of South Arcot, the Chairman of the Cuddalore Municipality, the Tahsildar of Cuddalore and the Secretary, Municipal Council Cuddalore.

5. The report, on the sanitary condition of the locality inhabited by the petitioners, sent to the Collector, Mr. Lancashire, by Doctor J.S. Evers, M.D., D.P.H., District Medical and Sanitary Officer of South Arcot.

A perusal of these documents will clearly show that most of the statements of the petitioners are, to say the least, quite inaccurate.

2. One of these statements, namely that the petitioners "were taken by a surprise all on a sudden," calls for special remarks.

I am a Roman Catholic Priest, and as such, deeply interested in the welfare of the petitioners, most of whom are Roman Catholics. It cannot therefore be supposed that I should have taken such an important step as ^{the} transfer of a part of the parcherry in question without the knowledge of the owners.

Fact, from the very first moment this matter was under consideration, the residents of Sorkalpet were aware of what

~~consideration, the residents of Sorkalpet were aware of~~ was going on. And the resolution of the Municipal Council bearing on the subject, the visit of the Revenue Inspector for the purpose of valuing their land, the personal inspection of the Hon'ble Mr. A.R. Knapp were also clear indications that the matter was officially dealt with. All the time, I kept leading residents of the locality informed of the state of affairs. They acquiesced in the acquisition of their land, in the transfer of their huts, in their settlement on the new site, and in the removal of "St. Anthony's Chapel," to a plot of the same area in the new site.

One only difficulty I met with, and this was, submitted to me by a deputation of the chief petitioners. A dozen residents are scavengers, and the rest had looked upon the proposed transfer as an excellent opportunity to expel those undesirables from their midst. Coming to know that ^{the} scavengers would be given a place in the new settlement, they strongly objected to it on the ground that, the new site being smaller than their old site they would feel more, in their midst, the presence of the scavengers. But I eventually brought them to a more reasonable view of the question, by explaining how it was for the benefit of them all that Mr. Stodart, the Collector, and ^{the} Municipal Council, after due consideration, had finally ^{selected} this site, in preference to the much more extensive land which I had myself proposed Vilvarayanattam.

To say therefore that they were taken by surprise, is not only inaccurate but fallacious.

3. No less inaccurate and fallacious is the statement that other sites are at my disposal. The Mission owns indeed several extensive sites, two of which are devoted mainly to the benefit of the petitioners—namely St. Mary's and St. Anthony's. But they belong to the Mission.

other purposes of the Mission.

The only land I possess is that occupied at present by the Sisters of St. Mary's Home. If you are pleased to refer to my petition dated 5th January 1919 and to the letter of the Collector, Mr. Lancashire, dated the 28th January 1920, you will find, therein, full particulars as to the extent of that land and to the reasons which prompted me to apply for the acquisition of the new block.

4. The "small Chapel named St. Anthony's Chapel" consists merely of a masonry pillar supporting a small statue of St. Anthony and erected on a plot measuring 22' by 54'. As stated above, the leading petitioners acquiesced in the transfer of the pillar to the new site selected for rehousing them, and their assent was given to me in the presence of the Tahsildar of Cuddalore. Naturally, in this matter, I took care to have the consent not only of the residents of Sorkalpet but also of their spiritual head, the Roman Catholic ^{Priest} of Cuddalore.

5. As I am, at present, absent from Cuddalore, I cannot guess the exact motives which have, so unexpectedly, led the residents of Sorkalpet to change the views they held at the beginning of this year. But I have good reasons to believe that they entertained great expectations and were under the false impression that their sites would be valued by Government at a very high rate; and finding that their land has not fetched fancy prices, they are disappointed, and the petition referred to above is the outcome of their disappointment. Whatever the case may be I have no doubt that had I been in Cuddalore, I should have been able, with the cordial assistance of the Roman Catholic Priest of Cuddalore, to show the petitioners that their objections are groundless and to persuade them to a ready acceptance of the

that Government will

16
pleased to carry out the Order, true extract of which was attached to me with your official communication of the 3rd. May 1920, and acknowledged in my letter to you, dated 7th May 1920, in which I formally accepted the condition specified in the said G.O.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

E. Loubiere



Osborne Estate

Matton
Ceylon.

10th June 1920

109

The Deputy Collector.

Cuddalore Dist.

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your notice No $\frac{1276}{8}$, and as I have some objections as to the acquisition of the land mentioned therein, I have to day sent a petition ^{to His Excellency} to the right Hon'ble the Governor of Madras about it.

Yours, Sir,

Your obedt Servant,

Submitted.


2. This is an objection to the acquisition of sites for the extension of the St. Mary's Hostel, — marked for personal inspection this evening.

T. Arokiasamy

(T. Arokiasamy)

Recd. 6. 1920

[illegible][illegible]



Contractor

No.2 Bazar Parlai Estate

Valpari Post

Coimbatore, District.

Most Honoured Sir,

I most respectfully beg to submit that I have been served on 1st June 1920 with a notice No.1276/G.e of 20 dated nil of the Tahsildar of Cuddalore Taluq informing that the following detailed property is required by the Government for the public purposes and if any objection I have any objection of parting with the same, I might lay before the Deputy Collector such objection.

2) In this connection, I humbly beg to submit that in the notice it is not mentioned for what important public purpose the property in question is required. If it be for the purpose of general public public may be considered both morally and lawfully bound in duty to undergo one's own loss and in convenience on the other hand it is understood that the property is required by the missionary under the control of Reverend Lubiar and not for the common purpose of public in general.

3) I respectfully beg to submit that I am the owner of the property over which I have an indefeasible right. I and my family members had purchased them from their original title holders in the years 1910. and 1916. And in support of I possess with me genuine and bonafide documents. For the purpose of accommodation and living therein, they had been purchased and had been so used.

4) It would be found most uncharitable and unjustifiable to drive the present living members out of these houses for no and admissible common purpose of the public in general.

...they are required by a private community. It is in

inferred that they are said to be required by the Government, while the fact exists as explained above. In fact, they are required in the screen that they are required by the Government.

5) Most Honoured sir, I beg to submit that the houses bearing Municipal Door No. s.3 and 6 had been purchased for Rs.260/- in the said years 1910 and 16. when houses were cheap. After the purchase several repairs and additions were done to them. They were originally mere huts then, now they have been renewed by the constructions of mud walls. This is left to your honor's own estimable discretion how much they can be reasonably valued. It would be surprisingly noted, they are valued objectionably very low as Rs.57.1.9.

6) In all these circumstance your Honor will reasonably find that the demand of my parting with my living houses as per the notice of the Tahsildar is not well founded and I am justified to say that I am not prepared to comply with the requisition of the notice. Begging to be excused for the liberty.

I beg to remain

Most Honoured sir,

Your most obedient servant.

J. Arukinawing

Taluk--Cuddalore, Village--Manjakuppam (Sorakkalpatu), Survey No. 36/B,
Square Measurement-- 2000 Square feet, Compensation amount Rs.57-1-9.

Sto June 20
Cuddalore M.D. Tossu.



942
Diyanila Kele,

Lindula.

Ceylon.

June 2nd, 1920.

The Deputy Collector,

Kudaloore.

India.

Sir,

I am duly in receipt of letter from Tsaildar of Kudaloor No. 1776/81 in reference to my land No. 131/3427 asking me if I have any objection to handing it over for Government use on receiving the sum of Rs. 61-15-0.

78
131
I have no objection to accede to the request, but I must point out, that the land in question is the only one I possess and is one of our ancient holdings. I am getting a very poor income here and would be hard hit if I lose the land referred to above. Further the value thereof has been very much under-estimated and the place is worth far more. Taking these things into your kind consideration, I hope you will be kind enough to place a truer value on the land than that stated above, and send me the money, when I shall be pleased to transfer the land.

Hoping you will give this matter your kind consideration,

I am, Sir,

Yours obedient Servant,

91 - 640 in my 1000

D. R. Ponnusamy Pillai,
C/o Miss Temple of the above
address.

L. A. Perkins

3-6-20

২৫ নং

[illegible]

207
 Steig
 207

Le Chockalingam

Letter Painter

S. I. R. Workshop

Vellupuram



502
Pelo

Re: Submis to the Revenue Division
Office, Ottawa.

The re-protested
for was Submis to on 7-6-28.

W. A. C. H. M. A. Y.
22.6.28

No.
22416.

De bal des

The relates to -

Acquisition

of the same -

Consular

Formal statement

of the same -

report -

of the same -

of the same -

24.6.28

474

W. A. C. H. M. A. Y.

W. A. C. H. M. A. Y.



L.A.C

1226
NOC 5000

Submitted to the
Revenue Divisional
Office, Cuddalore.

Ansutrahnam
22.6.20
Subalika

Dec
1/20




Handwritten text in Tamil script, likely a petition or official communication, covering the right half of the document.

15.6.1920. Medical Ship-keeper
T. Sundararaman

Handwritten text in Tamil script, appearing to be a list or ledger with multiple lines of entries. Some lines are underlined, and there are some markings that look like numbers or symbols.

21-6-20




Handwritten text in Tamil script, possibly a title or header.

Handwritten text in Tamil script.

Handwritten text in Tamil script, appearing to be a detailed account or report. The text is written in a cursive style and covers the right page of the document.

2802

pet dec



To

The Collector of South Arcot,

C u d d a l o r e.

The humble petition of *D. Venagranam Sreeranga Maistry Street Sorakelpet Cuddalore. N.T.*
Most respectfully sheweth:

1. That the petitioner understands that the houses (about 33 in number) situated on the Western side of Sreeranga Maistry Street, Sorakelpet, Cuddalore Taluk, are to be acquired by the Government and that the acquisition on the house-sites immediately to the east of the above-mentioned houses is also under contemplation.

2. That the acquisition of the house-sites on the Eastern side of the said Sreeranga Maistry Street is quite unnecessary and that it cannot be said to be for any object of public utility as the objects the Government has in view in the acquisition, are sufficiently satisfied by the acquisition of the houses on the Western side of the said street.

3. That it is not necessary to acquire the houses on the Eastern side of the said street, either for the improvement of the sanitation of the Government Hospital or for the prevention of the erosion by the Gadilam river during heavy floods, as the houses are kept in a sanitary state and the acquisition of houses on the Western side of the street is quite sufficient to prevent any erosion by the Gadilam river.

4. That if the Government intend to acquire the house-sites on the Eastern side of the said street, with a view to their being parcelled out among the owners of the houses on

the Western side which may be acquired under the Act, towards the compensation due to them, the petitioner begs to submit, that such an acquisition with such an object is not at all contemplated by the Act, that it is ultra vires the power of the Government and that it trenches very much on the private rights of citizens.

5. That the Government may be pleased to reconsider its decision regarding the acquisition of the houses on the Western side of the said street, as there is no adequate reason for acquiring them, the place being kept in a sanitary condition and as the result of the acquisition will be to set adrift a large number of civilised and educated Indian Christians about 22 in number in these hard days of distress, to seek accommodation somewhere else. The hardship is real as these people are too civilised to live in Cheris and cannot on account of their caste and creed get suitable accommodation among the high caste people.

The petitioner therefore prays that under the circumstances stated above, the houses and the house-sites situated on the Western as well as on the Eastern side of the above-mentioned Streerangamaistry Street, may not be acquired under the Land Acquisition Act and if it be considered that the acquisition of the houses on the Western side of the said street, to be a fait accompli, the intention to acquire the house-sites on the Eastern side of the said street may be abandoned.

Cuddalore N.T.

26th June 1920.

D. Danapalan
Petitioner

No. 2802




To
The Collector of South Arcot.

Petition of
praying that the decision to acquire
the houses and house-sites on the
Western side of the Sreeranga Mais-
try Street may be reconsidered and
that the intention to acquire the
house-sites on the Eastern side of t
the said street may be abandoned.

Forwarded to the Revenue
Divisional Officer,
Cuddalore for very
early report in conti-
nuation of this office
R.C.C. no. 3626 Behul 1919
dated 8-6-1920 + 24-6-20.

for Collector

2.7.20



The Deputy Collector,
CUDDALORE.

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of the notice
regarding my land, Survey No.37, consisting of 2832 Sg.Ft. land,
situated in Chingalput ~~District~~, Cuddalore District and noted that
the compensation amount is Rs.62/1/7.

I have the honour to inform you that I have given power
to My father, J.L. Arekiasamy to deal with the above matter personally
on my behalf.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Asalath Nathan

Paralai Estate,
Valparai P.O.
Coimbatore Dt.

4th. June 1920.

Said Mary Ann
Extension Policy



Walter H. H. H.
Walter H. H. H.
Walter H. H. H.

THE DEPT. OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of the notice
regarding my land, Survey No. 27, containing 2832 sq. ft. land,
situated in Kingsbury Township, Colorado, District and noted that
the compensation amount is \$2.50.

I have the honor to inform you that I have given power
of attorney to Mr. J. H. H. H. to act with the above matter personally
on my behalf.

I have the honor to be,

Very respectfully,
Walter H. H. H.

Enclosures to this office
R. Dis no 597 Cor of 1920
dated 29-7-1920
To
The Secretary
to Govt -
Home (misc) Dept.

Home This Dept

[Cr. 3750] From the Collectr of S. Arch. R. No 597.
Cor of 920 of 29.7.1920.

Land Requisition - St Mary's Home

Regt.

(G)

With reference to para 2
of Go No 944 Home No of 27.4.20
the Collectr of S. Arch has sub-
mitted a draft notification
for the acquisition of the land
shown in list A for the extension
of St Mary's Home, Cuddalore,
& those shown in list B for housing
the Panchamas who will be
evicted from the land taken up
for extension. The total estimated
cost of the acquisition is Rs 7880-11-11;
but as the Rev Fr. Loubere does
not claim compensation for the
sites belonging to him the actual
cost will be Rs 7571-10-8.

part of

Rs 7880-11-11 -
288-0-00

list B.


7571-10-3

then sites on the new piece of
land are allotted in exchange
for the sites near the Home
the cost will be reduced further
and is not likely to exceed
the figure originally
estimated.

Orders regarding the provision of
funds will have to issue in
financial.

2. As regards the objections raised
by the owners of the sites in

1201



165

Question the Collector reports that almost all have agreed to take fresh tiles in exchange for the ones they give up ^{plus} any money compensation that may be necessary. Those who still object are perhaps the owners of T.S. Nos 50/1, 50/2, 48/2 & 57/A. Their objections may be overruled.

3 Draft order directing the publication of the notification in the Fort St. George Gazette is ^{Revenue and} submitted. It may go to ^{Finance} for acceptance ~~of the order~~.

RM
7820

9.8.20


July 28/20

Reo

mis 103750-1 ⁹⁸¹⁰ 7820. Sub

Rev 931

Reg 218



To us.

Rev. Dept.

Rev. No. 311

16.8.20
Act-3902B
17.8.20

10.8.20

Sp 16

16/8

17 The draft may, it is ruled, be accepted. Accepted in the.

Incluse

Financial Deptt

As the amount of the Compensation will be definitely known only

M 2-305

When the question of exchange of land is settled, there seems to be no need to allot money at ~~once~~. The Collector may be asked to report the amount when the question of exchange of land is settled & the award is passed & the allotment may then be sanctioned -

Subject to modifications in reference to the above, the draft order may be accepted.

ms
17.8.20

CL
17/8/20

ASL
20/8/20

Home

File no 3902B-1
21/8/20

Rep

Home miscellaneous

Para. 2 has been revised as suggested by Financial for approval.

SG
24.8.20

Q
26.8.20

11/7/8/20

Sr. Marys Home, Addis Ababa

Land acquisition - publication of
draft notification in F.S.G. directed.

AD AGAIN G.O. No.

944 *Stom. (Nis)* dated 8 27. 4. 1920

192

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 3756.

From the

Collector *S. Acit.*

No. 597. Ccn dated

29.

1920

192

192

ORDER.

No. 1337, dated 28-8-1920

This

The draft notification
submitted by the Collector
of S. Arcot with his letter
read above will be
published in the Fort St.
George Gazette.

2 Orders regarding the provision of funds for the acquisition will issue in the Financial Dept.

The Collector of S. Arch
(59 II 40)

Le Reo Fr. E. Loubien
St Marys Home,

Cuddehope

(SMT 9a 9u + 10a 1 gate)
 To the S.G.P. with notice for publication
 1azette (Notification) - in Gazette
 On

Copy to the Financial Dept.
(with copy of notes). 650

Q
8-20

2. The ⁹Collector is requested to report the net amount required after the question of exchange of lands has been settled and the ^{final} award passed.

24.8.20

26. 8-2

27/8/2

SECRET

21 May 1942
Draft. Information on F. S. Smith.
was supplied - Information.

The draft was submitted
by the collector
of S. Smith with his letter
and also with his letter
dated 18 Feb 42.

George G. G. G.
of the same report and the
information of the same for the
collector of the same is
the information of the same.

The collector is requested to
the draft and to the
information of the same for the
collector of the same is
the information of the same.

No.
192

DATED

OF GOVERNMENT ..

A A A A A A A

SECRET

THE DITTO.

FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
FINAL.

full.
be copied.
abstract only.
heading only.