

House (1920) Department.

Miscellaneous

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1162

DATED 7-7-20

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Census

Castewar statistics for town and taluks - preparation,
directed.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

NOTES.

Long Dept.

Extract from the notes on Cno. 3250
relating to the appointment of a municipal
councillor for the Bellary municipality

x x x x

D It is really lamentable that
statistics are not available to show
the strength of the various
communities in every ~~comm~~ municipality.
As things stand, non-brahman representation
is practically confined to "Chettis",
"Reddis", "Nayudus", "Mudaliyars" and
E "Pillais" who after all constitute only
a fraction of the non-brahman
communities.

F.T.R. - 1-5-20.

x x x

D Call for them please. Let us
know where we stand.

E Yes: and the non-brahman
caste Hindu is much more oppressive
than the brahman - vide British Malabar
Cochin and Travancore.

P.R. - 3-5-20.

x x x

D This is a matter for the Census
superintendent. A separate current
may be started. Please take relevant action

and put up for my orders.

F.T.R.

3820.

submitted.

8520

10/5/20

see

I wd suggest that caste was statistics be prepared for each town showing the strength of each community - and town which numbers 1000 or 5% of the total population whichever may be less.

It wd also be useful if statistics could be compiled for each revenue circle (for rural areas) showing the strength of each community numbers was 1000.

It wd not be necessary to print all these statistics but if I could be furnished with them in MSS, I shd be able to arrange for presentation in a form which wd be permanently useful & not too cumbersome.

Could these kindly arrange?

Home Dept no

21500

2816
22520

21-5-20



Home (Mis) Dept

For the U.S.

The file may be forwarded
to the Supdt. Census Operations,
Madras, for favour of remarks.

Unis no 2816-1
27.5.20

CRP
25.5.20

Supl. Census Operations no.
WHS
22/5/20

No 90
1-6-20.


The Taluk is the sorting unit, & there would
be no difficulty in giving caste statistics for
each Taluk. But to reduce the sorting unit
to Revenue Pirkas would involve much waste of
time; would it not answer the purpose to give
the figures for each taluk? As regards towns,
I presume the statistics are required only for
Municipal towns, & not for all Census towns;
I could arrange to give caste figures for
municipalities. For Census purposes any place
with 5000 inhabitants, if it has urban character,
is treated as a town; to give caste figures for
all such places would be a big undertaking;
unless the figures are likely to be really useful,
I don't think it is worth while compiling them
for non-Municipal towns.

S. B. S. 1/6/20



For U.S.

The remarks of the Superintendent of census operations on page 3 ante may be passed on to the L+M. Dept. with reference to their u.o. note to this Dept. on page 2 ante



Mt. W. 28/6 - 11-6-20

CR 8-6-20
10-6-20

LTM no.

10/11-6-20

I agree, except that I should prefer of the Census Dept. can arrange it that "cable was" statistics of all cert's number was 5% of the population should be prepared for union towns of over 10,000 inhabitants well. The info etc will be useful in 1921

YR 12/6

Home (this) no.

Home (this) Dept

From the U.S.

Ltm no 69-2m/0 11-6-20



Recd 10/6

~~Draft to order is submitted to the Dept. of Census Operations for perusal before the order is issued.~~

(CR 8-6-20) The number of union towns with a population of over 10,000 is not likely to be large. The LTM Secy's request for clarification

also
for these towns may therefore be accepted.

2. Mr. Richards wished to have separate figures for each caste numbering over 1000 in each Rev. furda. Mr. Boag stated that this preparation would involve much waste of time & that it might be sufficient to give figures for taluks. This has been agreed to. If the taluk is taken as the unit the minimum population of a caste for separate ~~classification~~ ^{classification} may be raised from 1000 to at least 3,000. The latter figure has, subject to approval, been adopted in the draft order.

3. A draft order is submitted for approval. It may be sent to the Census Superintendent for perusal before issue.


First to Mr. Richards with reference to 6.20 to (2) in the draft. Y.M.
L.M. 110. 22/6/20

page 2 ante

page 3 ante

Ms 110 28/6-3

22-6-20



Returned with thanks.

As regards "caste war taluk war" figures I shall prefer to provide them complete statistics. I believe in any case a complete table for the District showing complete figures for each taluk will have to be prepared as the "taluk is the sorting unit" & if this is done I

1/3 ante.

6
 should like a copy of it. May I
 suggest that the second
 sub paragraph should run
 " (2) A statement for each
 district showing the number
 of persons of each caste in each
 taluk. Separate figures for
 males & females are not
 required "

If the 3000 limit is observed
 some of the most interesting tribes
 (eg the Todas & Kotas) will not
 appear at all.

Home (Misc) no.

Superintendent Census Operations no. for
 form of remarks.

6/25/20

The 3000 limit was not my
 suggestion. It will not add much to
 our work to give the number of persons
 of each caste in each taluk.

Edwards

30/6
Hamelmore) Dept

The draft order as amended is for approval

for issue


und 5/7/20

6/7/20

Ltr no 162-19m/o
 24-6-20



Keisao 28/6-4
 25/6/20



W.S.

5.7.20

S.

(Number)

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.