ORIGINAL

Government of Madras

HOME DEPARTMENT (MISCELLANEOUS)

G.O. No. 479, 5th March 1919

Planters Labour Act, 1903

Issuing an amendment to rule 2 of the rules made under the — on the subject of labour contracts being written in English only.

N/P.

Notes not printed

INDEXED

2,000—10—[illegible]

BACK PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Number of copies { Letter. / Order.

Government of Madras

HOME DEPARTMENT (MISCELLANEOUS)

G.O. No. 479, 5th March 1919

Planters Labour Act, 1903

Issuing an amendment to rule 2 of the rules made under the — on the subject of labour contracts being written in English only.

READ—the following paper :—

G.O. Nos. 1912–15, Judicial, dated 23rd December 1904.

ABSTRACT.—Ordering the issue of notifications extending the provisions of the Madras Planters Labour Act, 1903, to the district of the Nilgiris and to the Wynad taluk of the Malabar district and making rules to regulate the execution of contracts and the maintenance of registers of labourers.

Order—No. 479, Home (Miscellaneous), dated 5th March 1919.

The following amendments will be made in the rules to regulate the execution of contracts and the maintenance of registers of labourers, under the Planters Labour Act, 1903, issued with Judicial Department notification No. 7, published on pages 6 to 8 of Part I of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated the 3rd January 1905 :—

In rule 2 of the said rules the words "as well as a language understood by the labourer" shall be deleted.

(True extract)

R. RAMACHANDRA RAO,
Secretary to Government.

To the District Magistrate, The Nilgiris.
,, the ,, Malabar.
,, all other District Magistrates.
,, the Tamil, Malayalam and Kanarese Translators to Government.
,, the Superintendent, Government Press, for publication in the Gazette.
,, the Chairman, Chamber of Commerce.
,, the Secretary, United Planters' Association of Southern India.
,, the Nilgiris Planters' Association.
,, the Wynad Planters' Association.
,, the Legislative Department.

Copy to the Hon'ble Sir Fairless Barber.

705 d/ 15.10.18
1074 d/ 21.9.17
358A d/ 5.4.17
1394 J. d/ 29.5.16
1003 Jud d/ 19.4.16
728 d/ 18.3.16
101 d/ 12.1.16
3081 d/ 9.12.15
1073 d/ 14.5.15
917 d/ 1.5.15
589 d/ 7.3.14
2576 d/ 12.12.13
1912-15 d/ 23.12.04

3/12/18

Office (1) Please ack (2) then process note 5/12/18

Home (misc.) 3666
5-12-18

3

E.F.BARBER.

OOTACAMUND,

28th November 1918.

HOME DEPARTMENT 5 DEC 1918

Dear Mr. Ramachandra Rao,

Labour Contracts....

I should be much obliged if you would put the enclosed correspondence before Government.

I would like to point out the enforcement of this rule will serve no useful purpose and will be of no benefit and no added protection to the labourers.

Most planters can make a fairly accurate translation of the English contract into the vernacular of his coolies, but are as a rule as illiterate as the coolies themselves in regard to the vernaculars. I think that the Government will be able to decide that these written translation are not necessary.

Yours sincerely,

E F Barber

The Hon'ble Dewan Bahadur R.Ramachanda Rao,
Secretary to Government,
Home (Judicial) Department,
Fort St. George.

vs.

2/ 4

Meppadi,

26th November 1918.

My dear Barber,

Will you read the enclosed correspondence about the impossibility of honestly filling in contracts in the vernacular. If the rule is suddenly enforced it means ruin to our Maistries. And labour troubles are sufficient already. If you agree with me will you try to get the rule altered so that labourers' contracts need only be written in English and explained to them in their own language. It would involve absolutely no hardship on the cooly.

Yours sincerely,

C R Abbott

writ

rced.

e made applicable to contracts m de
ished. The sudden enforcing of the rule
ous loss. The subject was brought up by Mr.
rs ago. I enclose copies of his letter and my r
ule has not been insisted on. I hoped that it was
was impracticable to do so. So we have not tried
have had to make contracts with our
protect them against their jennis.
language.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

Hony. Secretary Wynaad P.A.

(2) 5 7

I believe that when a contract was thrown out by the Gudalur Magistrate because it was only written in English (though of cours my information is not official) that orders were given that this not to be insisted on in the Nilgiris.

I hope, that, if it is possible, Wynaad Magistrates will receive some such instructions. Should this not be done, I would ask you how the difficulties I have mentioned are to be got over. have no doubt that my neighbours whom I am consulting will be able to indicate other objections. For my part it appears that we are called upon to read and write two unwritten languages, and to choose between committing perjury and being fined Rs500. x

Yours sincerely,

(Signed) C. E. Abbott,

Hony. Secretary W.P.A.

x Section 42 (2). Referred to by Cammiade.

Sectt. II 8 (c).—12-1-15.

NOTES.

Page 7

6

Home (Mis)

D.O: 3646-1 D/6-12-18

Dear Sir Fairless,

I am desi

to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 28th Novr. 1918 addressed to the Hon'ble Diwan Bahadur R. Ramachandra Rao, regar the translation of labour contracts written in English and to state that the matter will receive attention.

LDS
6.12.18

[illegible]
5.12.18

Pdek.
5.12.18

To

The Hon'ble Sir. Fairless
Barber, ~~K.C.I.E.~~
Ootacamund

x5 mrs
6/XII

Recd d/spd
[illegible]
6.12.18

NOTES.

7

Home (Mis.) Dep

U.S.

The remarks of the D. Ms of Malabar and the Nilgiris where the Planters Labour Act 1903, is in force, may be invited Demi-officially. A draft D.O. is subd.

W.I. 9.12.18

Ack 11.12.18

Where is the rule referred [?]

12.12.18

U.S.

The rule referred to in the correspondence is rule 2 of the statutory rules framed by the Govt under the Planters Labour Act, 1903 (G.O. no. 1912-15 Judl dy 23.12.1904)

at Slip (C)

S.

D.O.'s may issue?

13.12.18

Yes thanks

V.L.D.S.

14.12.18

NOTES.

Home (Misc)

D.O. 3646-? (?) / 14-12-18

Dear Evans,
Cumming

I am desired to forward the accompanying copy of a correspondence from the Hon'ble Sir Fairless Barber on the subject of the translation of labour contracts in order that they may be binding on the part of the labourer and to request you to offer your remarks on the question.

Yours sincerely,

13.12.18.

Cos
9.12.18
P.K.R.
11.12.18

Recd & desp'd with encls
C.R.
14.12.18

To

F. B. Evans Esq. I.C.S.
Dt. Magte, Malabar
(at D.O.)

A. R. Cumming Esq. I.C.S.
Dt. Magte, the Nilgiris

Home (Jud) 32052
18-12-18

11/9

Mis 4203
20.12.18

Cancelled [illegible] dep in misc

E. F. BARBER.

Ootacamund,

12th December 1918.

Dear Mr. Swamikannu Pillai,

I thank you for your D.O. No. 3646-1 dated 6th instant in regard to the translation of labour contracts

I have now received a letter from the Secretary, Nilgiri-Wynaad Planters Association on the same matter and enclose herewith a copy for your information.

Yours sincerely,

E F Barber

The Hon'ble
Dewan Bahadur L. D. Swamikannu Pillai,
Home (Judicial) Department,
Fort St. George.

Enclos:- 1.

c.

~~12~~
10

(C O P Y.)

NILGIRI- WYNAAD PLANTERS' ASSOCIATION.

Rockwood,
Nellakota.

4th December 1918.

The Hon'ble Sir Fairless Barber,
Planting Member of Council.

Dear Sir,

A copy of the letter from the Hony. Secy., W.P.A. to you, dated 26th November, has been sent to me with the request that this Associati will support his protest against the ruling of the Addl. District Mgte., Malabar, that labour contracts will not be enforced unless they are in the vernacular/as well as in English.

The Rule under the Act which makes this requisition is an impossible one in practice. No Planter can be expected to write all the languages current in the Nilgiri-Wynaad - Kanarese, Tamil, & Malayalam and even if he had an office staff competent to do this, he would not be able to verify the entries in the agreements. Further, the dialets spoken in the District - Nayaka, Kurumba, and Paniya - have no written characters. Apparently, the impossibility of fulfilling the Rule has been recognised on the Nilgiris, for so far as I know, the point has never been raised by any Mgte. in the District.

If the object of the Rule was to afford protection to the Maistry or labourer, it fails entirely to do this, for in 99 cases out of 100 these people are illiterate. If the Rule were altered, as it should be, to make contracts written only in English valid, the interests of both contracting parties would be safeguarded. The employer, if he did not make the entries in his own hand, would be able to satisfy himself that they were correct; while the illiterate Maistry or cooly would have precisely the same measure of protection that he has now, viz the certificate of the Mgte. witnessing the contract to the effect that "the terms have been fully explained to and are understood by" the said Maistry or cooly.

The enforcement of the Rule will mean serious loss to every Planter and Maistry with outstanding agreements, and I hope steps will be taken to have it altered as soon as may be.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/ F.W.Fletcher.

Honorary Secretary,
Nilgiri-Wynaad Planters' Association.

Copy to the Chairman, N-W.P.A.

vs.

Cancel [illegible] and register in Mis (Misc) 2/20

Malabar Dt. Magistrate's Office, 7-1-19.

Calicut, 3rd January, 1919.

-6 JAN 1919

Dear Mr. Swamikannu Pillai,

Mr. Brown's D.O. 3646-2 dated 14-12-1918.

Labour Contracts.

--

L103/6.1.19

I quite agree that it is unnecessary and inconvenient to insist on a vernacular form. The attesting officer has to certify that he has explained the contract to the cooly; and therefore all that is necessary is that the form should be in a language understood by the attesting officer. English will not always do: because in some places village officers are authorized to attest - Rule 2 should I think run "Every labour contract should be written in a language understood by the Magistrate or other person authorized under section 4 of the Act". The original draft of the rule was " a language understood by the labourer". Mr. Pinhey objected to this for reasons such as are now urged. (Letter R.O.C.1421 dated 24th September 1904). Government then changed the draft to "English as well as a language understood by the labourer (G.O. 1912 dated 23-12-04) apparently ignoring much of what Mr. Pinhey wrote. The rule has since been ignored in practice- but so long as it remains, a magistrate is liable to try and enforce it, as Mr. Hall has done, without anticipating trouble.

L107 Govt C

Yours truly,

(Sig) F.B. Evans

True Copy

Addl. Dist. Magte

for Mr Evans who is absent from the district.

To

The Hon'ble Mr. L. D. Swamikannu Pillai,

Secretary, Home Department.

Secit. II-8 1-17.

DEPARTMENT.

1394/16 W13/16.

CURRENT No. (Misc) 755
12-1-19

pp. 14-15

From ____________ ____________________

Received ____________________ 191 . *Registered* ____________________ 191 .

Subject.

Collector's Office,
Ootacamund,
15th January 1919.

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MADRAS, REVENUE DEPARTMENT
17 JAN 1919

My dea[illegible]

Your D.O.No. 3646-2 of the 14th December 1918, re labour contracts, I think Rule 2 should be modified so as not to require the contract to be written in two languages. The safeguard for the interest of the two parties concerned is to be found in section 4 (2) of the Madras Planters Labour Act, 1903 and Rule 3 of the Rules .

It should always be possible for the

magistrate in any doubtful case to summon the attesting officer as a witness.

Yours sincerely,

C. H. Brown, Esq., I.C.S.,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home (Judicial) Department,
Madras.

Home (Miscellaneous) Department

13

'C'

Under Secretary

Reference is solicited to the sectional note on Rule 2 of the rules issued under the Planters Labour Act, 1903, on pages 4-5 of the notes to G.O.No.1912 Judicial dated 23-12-1904. The object of the rule in requiring that labour contracts should be written in English as well as in the language understood by the labourer was to safeguard the interests of the labourer in connection with a legislation which was not very popular with the ~~[illegible]~~ the Indian Publicist.

please see G.O. No. 3081 Judl 9.12.15 (L)

917 Judl 1.5.15 (D)

2. It is now stated that it is in practice, impossible for the planter to get ~~they~~ the contracts written in the languages spoken by the coolies he employs. Part of the difficulty is attributed to the fact that the planter is ignorant of all or some of the vernacular languages. It is also pointed out that ~~y~~ part of the labour recruited belongs to tribes who have no written language.

p 6 ante

p 1 ante

p 13 ante

3. While there is much to be said about the illiteracy of the labourer it is for consideration whether on the strength the arguments mentioned in para ~~2~~, the rule should be amended so as to allow labour contracts being written in the English language only. One objection to the proposal is that pointed out by Mr. Evans, that there are amongst attesting officers many village officers who are ignorant of English. A second

p 13 ante

14

objection would appear to be that there may still be many labourers who are conversant with their vernacular languages & who may like to know the exact ~~scope~~ terms of the contracts they are entering into. The trend of the times is for the spread of Education amongst the masses and it is for consideration whether the rule, the authors of which intended to safeguard the interests of the labourer, should be amended to suit the convenience of the planter. It is a curious coincidence that it was Mr. Abbott himself who suggested the adoption of the rule in the present form. The Government have appointed year after year a number of planters themselves as attesting officers and the proposal ~~is likely to provoke~~ might lead to undesirable comment from the point of view of the labourer. The labour problem, especially in its relation to capital, is already acute everywhere and it is for consideration whether the Government should now amend the rule. ~~so as to give room for criticism in the press and platform that they are favouring one section to the detriment of the other. affecting the planters~~ The other alternatives are for the planters ~~should, it is submitted, rise~~ to get ~~equal to the occasion by getting~~ themselves conversant with the vernaculars or engage establishments sufficiently familiar with the vernaculars for the purpose. In case there is no written vernacular language the labourer enters into the contract in English at his risk and it will have to be written in a vernacular with which he is familiar or desires to be written in.

Submitted for orders.

Cir
30.1.19

+ if there is none such!

Rdk.
4.2.19

vide the Hon'ble Sir Gabriel Stokes's view on p 5 of the notes 1910. at ©

It is not a question of "convenience to the planters", but of making the rule practicable.

p 2 of 1910 at ©

A very unpractical ~~[illegible]~~ Office note.

S.

It seems to me that the original rule was introduced rather as a piece of concession to the obstreperous publicist; & that in practice Rulers have had to be told not to apply it. If it did not exist at all I can't see that anyone wd. really be worse off.

?

Sir Fairless Barker is here & S. may like to take advantage of the opportunity to talk this over with him.

L.J.F.
10.2

Both the D.M.O.s consulted recommend the abrogating of the rule so far as the vernacular is concerned; Mr Evans makes an excepn in the case of V.D.; but this is rare & need not be

Special reference. The words "as well as a language understood by the labourer" may be omitted from Rule II

W.W.
11/2/19

HM

Circulated on 12.2.19.

B80
c.1292

Rule 20 & Flag C.

The rule is placing impracti[illegible] must go. Section 4 (2) of the Madras Planters Labour Act, 1903 provides the requisite protection, imposing, as it does, on the magistrate or attesting officer the duty of fully explaining the terms of the labour contract.

16

L.D.
13.2.19

B: 47
C: 13/2
D. 15/2

His Excy. shd. see.

~~[illegible]~~
13/2/19

14 FEB 1919

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
15 FEB 1919
HOME DEPARTMENT

Dis.

A draft order is subd. for approval

Circ.
20.2.19.

Pdek
24.2.19

25.2.19.

See Council rule 15. Should this go to the Adv. General

26/2/19

Dis. The proposal is to delete certain word from the rule so as to dispense with the necessity of having to write labour con in vernaculars. This does not alter th

Deputy Collector's Offi
Manantoddy, 3rd July 19

Mr. A ott,

17

I enclose copy of a letter I have received from the S
ayitri in which he raises the question of the validity of lab
contracts written in English only.

He admits that so far as the labourer is concerned it
ference as to whether the contract is written in English
ular because the labourer is as a rule quite illiterate
fe guard for the labourer in such case being the consci
fore whom the contract is executed under section 4 of
of the rules framed by Government lays down absolute
r contract shall be in English as well as a langu
abourer" and the question arises as to how th
the omission to observe the rule.

r myself, I should say the contract remains val
because it is not one of those contraventio
and 7 of the Act or elsewhere which go to n

hand, it seems possible that trouble ma
le 5 of the General rules which lays down
f the rules shall be punishable with fi
ch breach".

ever, rightly points out that h
nd also that it would be a h

C. E. ABBOTT

Meppadi,

17th Jul

Dear Cammiade,

Drawing up Contracts under Act 1

I have been away in Bangalore and must ap
sequent delay in replying to your letter of 3

It has hitherto as you say been consid
in the contracts in English. I suppose it
it is in practice impossible for the Plan
the various languages spoken by the cooli
which, no one Planter as far as I know is
Tamil and Canarese, so we would be const
that we had fully explained a document
we nor the cooly could read a word.

Even the Magistrates appointed to
unable to read Canarese, nor is there I
the Vayitri Court able to do so.

Another difficulty which will ar
insisted upon is that a great nu
lies are now employed in Wynaad.
is a written language.

no hardship is inflicted
point has been ra

no. [illegible] felt a [illegible] forehead [illegible] fashion.

real sa

person be

But rule 2

"every labou

stood by the l

is affected by

Speaking fo

legally enforceable

specified in secs 6

contract.

But, on the other

the planters under ru

whoever commits a breach o

exceeding Rs500 for every su

The Sub Magistrate, how

action was taken in the matter a

NOTES.

19

rule nor does the proposal involve any deviation from the spirit of the rule. It is not proposed to the scope and powers conferred by ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ Section 42 of the Madras Planters Labour Act 1903. A reference to the Advocat General appears to be unnecessary as the proposal is not to "make" any rule but only to amend a rule already made.

Vide Council rule 15(a)

Cwj.
27.2.19

S.
3.3

1.3.19 I do not accept the above interpretation: but in this case the matter is petty & unambiguous.

4/3/19

Home (mis) Off DEPARTMENT.

20

Planters Labour Act, 1903

Issuing an amendment to rule 2 of the rules made under the — on the subject ~~of the writing~~ of Labour Contracts [in English only] underwritten

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ...., dated .... 191 .

" " " " 1912-15 Jud. 23. 12. 1904

READ ALSO:— Abstract

C. No. ......, From the ...., No. ......, dated 191

Ordering the issue of notifications extending the provisions of the Madras Planters Labour Act 1903, to the District of the Nilgiris and to the Wynad taluk of the Malabar district and making rules to regulate the execution of contracts and the maintenance of registers of labourers — 191 .

ORDER. No. 479, dated 5. 3. 1919

Pres.

G.O. 1912-15 / 23.12.04 ©

The following amendments will be made in the rules to regulate the execution of contracts and the maintenance of registers of labourers under the Planters Labour Act, 1903, issued with Judicial Dept. notification No 7, Published on pages 6 to 8 of Part I of the Fort St. George Gazette of the 3rd January 1905.

In rule 2 of the said rules the words "as well as a language understood by the labourer" shall be deleted.

Ary
20/2/19
Idek
24/2/19

25

17/3/19

Despatched by hand
9.3.19

Despatched by post
17.3.19

To the Dt. Magte., Nilgiris
" Malabar
~~All other D.Ms~~
" Tamil, Malayalam and
" Kanarese Translators to Govt.
" S. G. P. for publication in the Gazette
" Chairman Chamber of Commerce
" Secy. Dist. A. S. L.
" Nilgiris Planters Assocn.
" Wynad Planters Assoc.
" Legislative Dept.
Copy to Hon'ble Sir, Fairless Barber
Gazette

PRESS SLIP.

~~ORDINARY.~~ ~~PREFERENCE.~~ URGENT (a). ✓

Sectt. II—38—2-15. Home (Lab.) DEPARTMENT.

GOVT. PRESS

Current No.........., dated................................191 .

G.O. No. 479 dated 5 - 3 - 1919.

Number of MS. pages sent { (b) Correspondence ... 2; (b¹) Notes ... not printed

Brief subject Planters Labour Act 1903

(c) Number of copies required when struck off (*not including copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained in the Press*).	E. T. copies to supply requisitions for purchase by the public.	Complete correspondence and orders.	Notes.
FOR RECORD.*			
Madras Records	4	15	
Ooty Records		3	
For filing with original ...		1	
Total ..		19	

FOR ISSUE.†	Letter only.	Complete G.O.	Special issue copies of G.O. (b) No.	Special issue copies of G.O. (b) Papers.	Notes.
Signature copies		12			
India Office					
Govt. of India ... Dept.					
Revenue Department					
Public Works Department					
Local and Municipal Department					
Educational Department					
... Dept.					
Public Dept. / Judicial Dept / Political / Financial Dept. / Marine Dept. / Ecclesiastical Dept. — Total					
Total					
Spare copies: The		200			
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
Total		212			

* Copies distributed on .... 9.3.19 ....‡(Press Clerk).

† Copies distribuetd on ....‡(Press Despatcher).

‡ *To be initialled and dated.*

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT.

(*a*) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently, the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(*b*) Each letter, memorandum, or order, or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(*b*¹) For rules regarding printing of notes, see rule 315 of the office Manual.

(*c*) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or Referencer concerned.

(*d*) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for *one* week, and larger papers for *two* weeks only. *The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.*

(*e*) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(*a*) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Registrar with a remark to that effect.

(*b*) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Registrar any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(*c*) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(*d*) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(*e*) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

[P.T.O.

TO PRESS, MADRAS OOTY.

A

~~Please send proof in duplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.~~

~~............ Referencer. / Clerk.~~

~~............ Supdt.~~

B

~~Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.~~

~~Type may be released after usual interval. / at once.~~

~~............ Referencer. / Clerk.~~

~~............ Supdt.~~

C

As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (*b*) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval.

.... Referencer. / Clerk.

5.3.09

.... Supdt

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.		Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on	6/3	
First proof furnished on		
Call for revised proof received on		
Revised proof furnished on		
Corrected proof received for striking on		
Final copies supplied on	13/3	

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.	Special E.T. edition
Supplied to Secretariat for Record				
" " " Issue				
" " " for Extra E.T. copies to supply requisitions for sale to the public.				4
For Volumes				
For supply to newspapers and institutions admitted to the privileges of the E.T.				
Total ...				