

Home (1919) Department.

Miscellaneous
Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1381

DATED 8/10/19

[Despatch Abstract.]

Quami report


Recording notes regarding the grant of Editors' Table
privileges to the -----

Sec Home Dept.

The Editor Quami report
asks to be put on the Editors Table.
He tells me his request was refused about
1914. Might I ^{see} ~~know~~ for guidance
a note the papers which show on
what principle Editors Table
privileges are given or refused.

As noted ~~also~~ on a previous card
the widest possible extension of these
privileges seems to follow logically
from the new "publicity" policy.

Gwynn
18819
Publicity Bureau.



Referenced from Memorandum
on 27.8.19

Ms.

Home (Mis) Dept.

The refusal of the request of the
Editor of Quami Report is not traceable
- please also see list of refusals
enumerated on p 5 of Notes to G.O. No.

Q 1134 Public of 28th July 1915.

2 Mr Gwynn has already been
furnished for perusal important papers
relating to E.T. privileges in another case

3 This may be sent to Mr
Gwynn for perusal
4 If I remember right the
Quami Report was not a

SMO
18819
86
27.8.19

When the editor was last warned
he was informed that if he disre-
garded that warning to wit,
would be demanded from him under
the Indian Press act, 1908. Since
then ^xnothing against this paper
seems to have been brought on record.

* It is not known whether
U.S. has any ~~to~~ out
papers.

2. In October 1917 the question of taking action against the editor of the Qaumi Report for the publication of a pamphlet on the continued internment of Muhammad Ali & Shaukat Ali was considered; but it was finally decided to take no action — pages 72-73 of G.O.

D 1308 Pub 3.11.17

3. In regard to the tone of the Qaumi Report please see also notes in lodged no. 549 pt. 1 of 29.3.16 para 5 of notes at pages 5-6 of notes to G.O. 739 pt. 1 of 8.4.15. In the C.I.D. report on newspapers for case (not put up) the tone of this paper is reported as objectionable some times.

4. Subd. for orders whether the Pub. should recommend the grant of P.T. privileges to the Editor of the Qaumi Report.

The criterion is 1. established circulation
2. moderate tone —

4 June
5-9-19
Ch.
6-9-19

Please see the linked file about the Qaumi Report. in Special file

14 to 18 of the CF which led to the issue of the warning on p 3 h.f.

See too O. Armitage letter on p 7 h.f. and CS's note on p 8 h.f. specially HLL's note on p 11 512. In any case the fault of the Editor has been taking the side of the Turks against the British Govt.

CS $\frac{8}{9.9.15}$

The question of the privilege in which 'Editor's Table' privileges should be given has, I think, been marked for Council. This case may be settled when the general question is settled

all 9.9.15

HM

Geo. $\frac{12}{10.9.15}$

P.O. till the general question is settled in Council.

$\frac{12}{10.9.15}$

MS.

Home (Miss) Dep.


No action is necessary at present. If and when Quami Report applies for E.T. privileges, the application will be considered on its merits and on the principles recently decided by the

SE ph (upward)
wall

sup

order in Council. These notes may be

Recorded.




SM
29.5.19

La
28.9.19

30.8.19

S The G.O. on the decision in Council has already been communicated to the P.O. for perusal & return

Mis no 5550-2
20.9.19



P.O.

R.G.C. No. 155
2.10.19

my
30/9/19

Seen monks
vignam
2.10.19

ns.

Home (Mis) Dept.

Order No 1381 Home (Mis) 8 Oct
mis.

Recorded.

SM
6.10.19

La
6.10.19

7.10.19

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

191

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
191

DATED



CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

.. ..

A A A A A A A

IN OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH LITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

F = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.