Scott. II—48—21,640-19-1-17.

Home (1917) Department.

Miscellaneous

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 858-9

DATED 24. 7. 17

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Coat of Arms.

Directing the despatch of a letter to the Government of India in regard to the design of a ---------- for the Madras Presidency.

C No. 1018 Mu
2090/17

REGR. CHIEF S.
19 MAR 1917
MADRAS GOVT.

20 MAR 1917

2

No. 279 -I.C.

From

The Under Secretary to the Government of India
in the Foreign and Political Department,

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Dated Simla, the 14th March 1917.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to the correspondence ending with the Hon'ble Diwan Bahadur P.R. Achariyar's letter, No. 72, dated the 23rd August 1916, forwarding a sketch of a Coat of Arms for the Madras Presidency, which His Excellency the Governor regarded as preferable to that originally suggested by Mr. Baker.

(T)
(H)

2. When Mr. Baker was in England last year he arranged, with the approval of the India Office, for an informal Committee of experts to meet and discuss the designs which had been suggested. I am now to forward, for the information of His Excellency the Governor in Council, a copy of their report, together with a set of the revised designs advocated by the Committee, that for Madras being shown in colours. I am to explain generally that one or two symbols have been selected to express each Province as a whole, rather than many to represent the separate divisions of it. This was done not only for artistic and heraldic reasons - as a multiplication of symbols is bad heraldry - but also to emphasize the

P. 204 supra

2

the unity of the Province. Moreover, by heraldic rules the 3 symbols should be shown conventionally rather than in a pictorial manner. Where a symbol of specially European significance has been chosen, the Committee has taken for the second symbol one more specially Indian. Further reasons for revising the various designs will be found in the Committee's report.

pp3-4

Stitched with
S.O. 71-2 Pub
23-8-16

B

3. Viewed in the light of these remarks His Excellency will no doubt agree that the sketch forwarded with your letter, while very interesting, is open to certain objections. The East India Company's ship has been allotted to Bengal, while the representation of St. George and the Dragon is considered too European in character to symbolise appropriately an Indian Province. Moreover, pictorial representations such as an actual fort, as is suggested in the design in question, are, as has been explained above, regarded by experts as being opposed to good heraldry and symbolism. I am to express the hope that the design suggested by the Committee, which it will be realized has a considerable weight of expert opinion and authority behind it, may meet with acceptance by the Government of Madras.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
20-MAR-1917.
HOME DEPARTMENT

R R Maconachie

Under Secretary to the Government of India.

Report.

Report of an informal Committee which discussed the question of Symbols and Arms for the Indian Provinces, which consisted of Mr. J. E. Shuckburgh, the representative of the India Office; Mr. G. Woods Wollaston, Blue Mantle, of the Herald's College; Mr. Oswald Barron, heraldic expert; Mr. George Kruger, heraldic draughtsman; and Mr. Herbert Baker.

The meeting of the informal Committee was held on Friday November 10th at 14 Barton Street, Westminster, S.W.

Symbols and Arms for Indian Provinces.—An informal committee which met with the sanction of the India Office has considered the designs suggested by Mr. Baker and the alternatives submitted by some of the Provincial Governments.

Their first object was to select from the symbols which have been suggested those which seemed to symbolise the characteristics which appeal most to the imagination or are most dominant in the past or present of each Province and which can be most readily translated into terms of Art and more especially of Heraldry. It was recognised that the selection must depend in some cases on political and inter-provincial considerations which the Committee could not determine, and for this reason alternative designs in some cases have been prepared. But the principles of heraldry, and indeed of other arts for which these symbols may be used, demand emphatically the selection of the fewest possible symbols. Two symbols for each Province would be preferable, and three should be the absolute limit. As far as possible also there should be one symbol more prominent than the others, so that it might be detached and used as a badge, or for purposes of sculpture, or for a "constellation" of symbols expressing the Provinces of India as a whole.

It may therefore be neither possible nor advisable to represent on one shield all the different divisions of a Province. The principles of Art and Heraldry demand the concentration on one or two charges symbolising the Province as a whole; and it is possible that this emphasis on the Unity of the Provinces may also have its political value.

It is hardly necessary to point out that the best laws of Heraldry are opposed to the too naturalistic representation of actual objects. They admit a conventional generalisation of a period or type of a ship or a fort, for instance but not the representation of an actual ship or fort.

In the sketch drawings which are being submitted, the attempt has been made as far as possible to give an Eastern or Indian character to those charges which symbolise especially Indian characteristics. The circular shield is suggested with the same motive of giving an Eastern character to the heraldry.

Bengal.—The two great facts of Bengal are the Ganges and the establishment of Calcutta by the East India Company. The Committee welcomed Mr. Tagore's suggestion of the elephant-headed dolphin—the makara—which, if it is generally understood and recognised as the symbol of the Ganges, could not be bettered.

Bombay.—It was generally agreed that the most imaginative historical symbol for the west coast of India would be the late mediæval ship symbolical of the discovery of the East by the West. Sind can be very well represented by charging the Coat with a fesse wavy (for the Indus), with or without *dhows*. After these, Bombay presents especial difficulties in the selection of the next most dominant fact to symbolise. Is it to be the inland history of the Province, which could be best represented by a "hill-fort" for the Mahrattas, (these hill-forts must impress every traveller through the country)? Or is not Bombay the one place in India where one might express the modern idea of Industrialism which contrasts well with the early historical symbol of the ship? For this there is a consensus of opinion that the "Cotton Spindle" is the best heraldic symbol. The third suggestion is a "Water Gate." Every Herald who has been consulted is convinced that it would ruin the Coat if all were included.

Madras.—The palm has been selected to symbolise tropical Southern India surrounded by an "Orle" suggestive of the arms of Clive, who was so closely identified with the early history of Madras.

Punjab.—We retain the existing Coat but suggest an Indian character to the rays of the sun.

United Provinces.—This shield symbolises the meeting of the two Sacred Rivers and the "Bow of Rama" (to express the earliest history and literature of India in the Vedas) and the existing Fish emblems of Oudh.

Central Provinces.—This represents the Tiger to express the jungle and the Cotton Plant the agricultural industry of the province.

Baluchistan.—The "Mountain Barrier" in this Coat is represented with Pack Camels to express the historical trade route across the desert to the West.

N.W. *Frontier Province.*—The "Mountain Barriers" of India with the "Gate of India" to express the famous Pass.

Assam.—The Mountains and the Brahmaputra are the two most dominant features of this Province, and the Tea leaves signify the chief industry. The world knows one great river and it was thought a mistake to represent its tributary as has been suggested. The Gold beyond the Mountains is a hint of China beyond.

Behar and Orissa.—The Committee gave special thought to this Coat-of-Arms and decided to retain the one prominent symbol of the "Bo-Tree" garlanded, and the railing. Special charges for the different divisions of the Province were rejected for the reasons given above. It was very strongly felt that this "Bo-Tree" which is represented in this way upon the Asoka monuments would be a much more appropriate symbol from every point of view than a representation of the shrine of Bodh-gaya. There is no finer idea in Indian history than the Enlightenment of Buddha, and the Pipal tree is sacred all over India. The Swastika was added at the suggestion of Sir George Birdwood, and is, it was thought, a very valuable addition to the significance and design of the Coat.

Delhi.—The Committee were unanimous in thinking that the Elephant with the Royal Umbrella was the best symbol for the Imperial Capital of Delhi, on a field of Lotuses. A lotus is perhaps unofficially considered as the floral badge of India, and its selection for this Coat should help to establish the fact. Its comparison with the use of the Fleur-de-lys on the old Royal Arms of England may not be without interest.

Burma.—Everyone I have consulted agrees that the Peacock, which I understand to be the emblem of the old Kings of Burma, is a perfect symbol for Burmah from every point of view, and they are strongly opposed to any other charge upon the shield. The Royal Peacock should have no rival on her throne!

(Sd.) HERBERT BAKER.

The 24th November 1916.



Delhi-

6



1



2

Bombay-



Bihar & Orissa-



Burmah-



United Provinces-



Madras-

7 STARS



Central Provs-



1



2

Bengal-



Beluchistan-



Panjab-



North West Frontier Prov:



Assam-



Changed to Water Gate

3

Bombay-

p 5

Regr

Home Dept.

Telegraph

We have no information by each month. The information we have as regards the Madras Mail, Madras Times, the Hindu & Indian Patriot is dated March last. The G.O. containing this information is in the file relating to Resolution No. 30 (with Hon) An extract from this is put up in file relating to Question No. 116. (Which has apparently just been taken in by the Leg) As regards the other two, Justice & Non Brahmin the no. of copies subscribed for by Govt & their subordinate offices is 14 & 3 respectively. This is the latest infn.

CA
18/5/17

Urgent

8

Please let me know how many copies of each of the following papers are taken by Govt. & Subordinate offices

Paper	in month		
	Jan 1917	Feb 1917	Mar 1917
Madras Mail			
Madras Times			
Hindu			
New India			
Ind. Patriot			
Justice			
Non Brah			

2-16

9

OFFICE OF THE

Dated 191

Hours Minutes.

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

From The Manager, C. I. D. Office

To The Supdt. Home (Mis). Dep

Refce: your T. M. of date.

Reply

We do not get a copy of every paper published in
city but of papers publi
in English only. Para 5
G.O. No. 314, Jud. Dt 2
will give you the inform
you require. Also please see
16 of G.O. No. 491, Jud. Dt 1-4-

RWB
27.3.17

10

OFFICE OF THE

Dated   191 .

Hours   Minutes.

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

From The asst Supdt. of Stationery

To The Supdt. Mis

Reference your tm of yesterday

None of the district officers ~~have been~~ are being supplied with copies of Madras dailies. Only the residency Surgeon~~s~~ Bangalore bungalow is being supplied with a copy of the Madras Times.

K.S.V
27-3-17

p 63 of the Statement.

Are we placing any formal intimation of this 2 Hony appt

CR
17/5

It is understood from Legislative that formal intimation will ~~be sent to~~ reach this section to-morrow.

MBR
17/5/17

Then send them up the answer pasd by Hm. CR
17.5

I understand that this Question has been withdrawn

[illegible]

Submitted that it has been ascertained from Legislative that the Question has been withdrawn

H.S.K.
19.5.17

[Pl. / 40]

40,000—16-11-14.

NOTE FOR THE

12

Question No. 8 – No 13 ~~A~~

The Hon'ble Member is referred to the answer ~~to~~ given to Question No. 56

Quest No 22. – No. 26 ~~A~~

The H. M is referred to the answer given to Q No 56

Qu No 77. – No 75

The Hon'ble M is referred to the answer given to Qu No. 56.

Qu No 58 – No 56

For public purposes, the Govt. obtain for official use in various depts a number of monthly, weekly and daily publications which varies from time to time according to public

p 5

are being subsidised. The Govt. are unable to give any further information on the subject. This answer has reference to Question No 13, 26, 75 & 90 as well as to this question.

---

92 re:- Question 90

The H. M. is referred to the answer given to Q. No 56.

From the Supdt. Home (Misc) 13

To the Manager,
Office of the Supdt. of Stationery.

Reference letter No. 434 of the 22nd March 1917 furnishing a copy of the Statement of Periodicals revised up to date.

Will you kindly let me know whether any of the District Officers are ~~[illegible]~~ supplied with copies of the Madras dailies, & if so please let me have a list of such officers with the number of copies supplied to each. A very urgent reply is solicited.

26/3

Pded 26/3

From the Supdt 14
(Home Misc)

To the Manager,
Office of the D. I. G.,
C. I. D.

Your ^reply^ Lt. no. 8 ~~reply~~ of yesterdays. ~~The information furnished is not the information required. What is required is the following:-~~ ~~It is understood~~

It is understood that your office gets a copy of every daily paper issued in the city. Will you kindly say whether the papers are supplied by the Supdt. of Stationery or whether copies of the papers are purchased from your office contingencies, or whether the publishers themselves are sending to your office two copies of every paper under the Indian Press Act.

p 5

~~but~~ I shall be much obliged if you will let me know immediately

27/3

KOV
27.3.17.

8-10-5-17]

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL (LEGISLATIVE) DEPARTMENT.

Home (Miscellaneous) Department.

The Hon'ble Mr. B. V. Narasimha Ayyar.

116 *Q.*—Will the Government be pleased to state how many copies of each of the following papers are now being paid for out of public funds :—

(1) The Hindu,
(2) New India,
(3) Indian Patriot,
(4) Justice and
(5) Non-Brahman ?

[Meeting of the 22nd May 1917]

C.F. 19 (a)—1-14.

15

Page No.

Home (Misc)

6

Letter No 1018-1
To.

The Secretary to the Govt. of I
Foreign & Poll Dept.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receip of Mr. Maconachie's letter No. 279 I.C. d 14th March 1917, forward a copy of the report of an informal ~~conference~~ Committee held at Westminster on the 10th 1916, for discussing the question of Symbols and Arms for the Indi Provinces, together with a set of the revised desig advocated by the Commi and to state that the Governor in Council, regre that he is unable to accept the Committee's design for Madras.

2. I am to add that the Governor in Council intend to submit as soon as possible ~~shortly~~ a new desig for this Prov properly drawn. ~~[illegible]~~

Mat
2/5/17 Idat in G.O.

Home (Mis) Dept.

Coat of Arms.

(Ct. No 1018) From the G. of I., Foreign & Pol Dept, d/ 14-3-1

T ~~H~~

H

Please see G.O. No. 71-72 Pub. d/ the 23rd August 1916, forwarding to the Govt. of India a sketch of a Coat of Arms which this Govt. considered as suitable for the Madras Presidency. The Govt. of India have now forwarded a revised design for this presidency advocated by an informal committee of experts which met at Westminister on the 10th Nov. 1916. They express the hope that the design suggested by the Committee, which has a considerable weight of expert opinion ~~opinion~~ and authority behind it, may meet with the acceptance of this Govt.

p 5 C.F.
(Madras Design shown in colours.)

It is for orders whether the design ~~may~~ will be accepted by this Govt.

For orders,

Ca
21/4/17

MSK
25.3.17

Pdek
30.3.17

H. M. & H. E.

Submitted.

Box C.13
Circ 3.4.17
Retd 5.5.17

(If a palm wd. suggest a Coconut tree)
cp arms of Adyar Club & Boating Club.

3.4.17

17

The "palm" should certainly be a coconut & not the curious plant in the design, which might be either a date tree or an ill grown pineapple –

I think the design is chaste: I cannot suggest a better one.

5.4.17

The arms as recommended by the committee may be good heraldry, but they do not suggest the Madras Presidency. Not one person in a thousand would understand ~~connect~~ the stars from Clive's arms, and the plant is much more like a tree fern than any known species of palm. The objection to using a coconut palm singly would be that it cannot be indicated well in heraldry owing to its unfortunate proportions of a long stem and large top.

I agree that the design as submitted by the Madras Govt. is too pictorial and suggest that it might be retained and re-drawn by a good heraldic draughtsman, or one of the following suggestions used.

1. The St. George & three coconut palms.
2. The St. George & a pot with water.
3. The St. George & a ship.

The expert's objection to the

NOTES.

St George as the European may be over ruled on the ground that this symbol has been and is used continually on various Madras arms (Corporation & Trades Association)

L.S.H. 11/4/17



It was decided in Council (1) that we should omit the ship which has been appropriated for Bengal and Bombay (2) that Madras is too deeply committed to the St.George, as indicated in Mr.Hadaway's note, to justify our abandoning it - the criticism upon it made by the Committee that it is too European in character disregards the fact that it will be associated with emblems of more indigenous significance i.e., the Fort St. George, palms, water, etc. etc. - (3) that the remainder of the design, (copy attached) that is to say, the St.George above the Fort with palms on either side and water below should be adopted.

A Mr.Hadaway has suggested that the drawing of the design should be placed in the hands of a skilled heraldic artist and in this connection has mentioned the name of a Mr.Eve whose address he will verify and communicate!

~~Possibly~~ The artist's attention ~~might~~ may be drawn to the manner in which the more famous forts in South India are usually battlemented

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
-5 MAY 1917
HOME DEPARTMENT



as being more appropriate if heraldically permissible.

B In the meantime the Government of India should be informed of our inability to accept the Committee's design and our intention to submit a new design, properly drawn, as soon as possible.

P
5.5.17.

B. Draft

A. DO to Mr. Hadaway if we do not hear from him by the 15th

5/5/17

NOTES.

Home (Pol.) Deptt.

A draft letter to the Govt. of India is submitted, as directed on page 3 *ante*.

M.O.K.
7/5/17

P.de K.
9.5.17

9.5.17

10/5/17

(Letter No. 1078-I of 10.5.17)

Please see the notes on page 3 *ante*.

No communication has yet been received from Mr. Hadaway regarding the address of Mr. Eve. A draft D.O. is submitted

M.O.K.
30.5.17

P.de K.
30.5.17

31/5/17

5/

Home (Misc) Dept.

(Cl. No. 1018)

D.O. Sd No. 1018-2 d/31 5 17

Dear Sir,

With reference to your recent suggestion to H.E. the Governor that the drawing of the design of the Coat of Arms to be adopted for this presidency should be placed in the hands of a skilled heraldic artist, I am desired to request that you will be so good as to let me know the address of Mr. Eve, whom you mentioned in that connection.

Yours truly

31/5/17

Despd 31/5

W. S. Hadaway Esqr.,
Supt., School of Arts, Madras.

T.W.K.
30-5-17
Pdek
30-5-17

6-7 23

Misc

Select Club.
Madras. Regd 5. 6.
3rd June 1917.
~~Madras Club~~

4/6/17

22

Dear Sir.

In reply to your letter of the 31st May. D.O. No. 1018-2 the address has already been sent to His Excellency.

It is:

G. W. Eve, Esq.
23. Sheen Gate
Mansions
East Sheen,
London. S.W.
Eng.

p5 ante

I am not certain if Mr. Eve is still living there, but it is the latest

address of his which
I have.

Yours Sincerely
William G. Hathaway.

To The
Hon. Diwan Bahadur,
R. Ramachandra Rao,
Ootacamund. Avl.,

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MAD
-5-JUN-1917
HOME DEPARTMENT

NOTES.

24

It is for orders whether Mr. Eve who is in England and whose address is furnished by Mr. Hadaway (p 7 ante) may be consulted. If it is decided to utilize his services, the question arises as to whether he may be addressed direct or whether it is necessary to address the Secretary of State to secure his services. Reference is solicited to Govt. of India Home Dept. letter No. 501 of 15-4-15 on page 2 of G.O. No. 47 Marine of 19-2-16, (P) para 4 of Marine Dept. letter No. 48 of 19-2-16, (P) and Home Dept. letter No. 431 of the 2nd June 1916 recorded in G.O. No. 1439 Pub. of 31-7-16, (N) and the notes connected with the latter G.O. It will be seen from this correspondence that requests made by ~~presiden~~ Presidential Govts. for professional advice from England should be submitted to the Secretary of State through the Govt. of India. It is held× that the prohibition against direct correspondence with the Secretary of State is covered by clause (a) of the memorandum, which is printed on page 3 of notes to G.O. No. 1439 Pub. of 31-7-16. (N) The prohibition

× para 3 of Home Dept. letter in G.O. 1439 Pub. / 31-7-16 (N) is apparently in view of the fact that the obtaining of professional advice involves the payment of fees and allowances. It will however be observed that the sort of professional[x] advice contemplated in the correspondence quoted above does not appear to be on a par with the case now under consideration. It is accordingly for consideration whether the case is one in which the Secretary of State should be addressed, or whether Mr. Eve may be addressed direct. If it is not considered necessary to ~~[illegible]~~ address the Secretary of State, the draft D.O. to Mr. Eve is for approval.

2. Mr. Eve will in all probability demand a remuneration for his services. It does not however appear necessary to make any mention of remuneration in the letter proposed to be sent to him. As it is not known on what terms he will be prepared to undertake the work, he may be left to come up for any fees that he may require.

Draft D.O. is submitted.

[x] c.f. ~~[illegible]~~ to S.O. 47-48 Madras of 19-2-16. (P)

M.S.R.
21-6-17

3 [illegible] 6.17

Cf para 2 of letter No $\frac{10181}{10/5/17}$ (page 5 of f)

It will be awkward for us to go to the Govt. of India & the Secy of State for drawing a design, which we ourselves have promised to furnish the Govt. of India with. The simplest course appears to be to ask Mr. Hadaway himself to get the design drawn by Mr. Eve and if he could not be got at by somebody else.

ER
23.6.17

HM

The gist of the order in Council may be communicated to Mr. Hadaway with a request he will get a design drawn by Mr. Eve or any other heraldic artist he knows.

25/6/17

Box 8
Circ 25.6.17
Retd. 26.6.17

H.M.

To His Excellency, [illegible]..

Office has sent 3 weeks notice on Mr. Hadaway's letter –

25.6.17

Box 80
Circ 26.6.17
Retd. 27.6.17

SECRET · GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS · HOME DEPARTMENT
26-JUN.-1917

H.E.

26/6/17

On further consultation with Mr. Hadaway His Excellency decided that to refer the matter to Mr. Eve or some other expert at home would probably be an expensive matter and would lead to serious delay. Mr. Hadaway has now kindly submitted a revised design acceptance of which should be strongly recommended to the Government of India. They should be informed that public opinion here will not accept the revised design which they suggest as in any way satisfactory or representative. In deference to their representations we are willing to give up the ship but consider it essential that the design should represent Fort St. George.

His Excellency wishes to see the draft reply. Four copies of the design are sent herewith one of which may be sent to the Government of India.

(B.O.) 27.6.17

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
27-JUN.-1917.
HOME DEPARTMENT

A draft letter to the Govt. of India is submitted

27-6-17

29.6.17

Draft for approval

3/7/17

HM 2
HE the Governor }

5-7-17

Box 28
Circ 3.7.17
Retd 23/7/17

Scott. II—8 (a)—160,001—16-1-17.

NOTES.

A copy of the draft revised in accordance with His Excellency's instructions is enclosed.

(B.O.) Gettom?
19.7.17

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF BENGAL
23-JUL-1917.
HOME DEPARTMENT

Box 8
Ail 23/7
Retd. 24/7

H.M. for perusal
JMcL
23/7/17

[illegible]
24. 7. 17

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF BENGAL
24-JUL-1917.
HOME DEPARTMENT

Issue
JMcL
24/7/17

(Issued as G.O. No. 858-59 d/ 24.7.17)

[illegible] Thanks
[illegible]
27.7

Draft Letter

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of India,

Foreign and Pol. Dept.

No 8599 24.7.17. (with design)

24/7

p 5 CF

page 2 CF

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with this Government's letter No.1018-1 dated 10th May 1917 regarding the selection of a Coat of Arms for the Madras Presidency, I am directed to state that in deference to the wishes of the Government of India His Excellency the Governor in Council is prepared to withdraw the claims of Madras to the ship as an essential feature of its Coat of Arms.

I am to add however that he regrets that he does not see his way to accept the revised design recommended by the Government of India as in any way symbolic of this Presidency and that public opinion here could not be expected to accept it as adequate or representative, while few will even recognise the "Orle" as suggestive of the arms of Clive. On the other hand Madras has in so many ways been continuously and intimately associated with the St. George that its abandonment now would be regarded with serious disapproval. The criticism that it is too European in character seems to the Madras Government hardly to justify a disregard of local history and sentiment. I further ignores the fact that if the design recommen by them is accepted subject to the omission of the ship the St. George will be associated with more general emblems of local significance such as the fort, the coconut palms and the sea. His Excellency in Council would in view of these considerations strongly recommend to the Government of India acceptance of the revised design of which a sketch is enclosed.

23/7/17



Madras Coat of Arms

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 191 .

AD AGAIN G.O. No. 191 . , DATED							
RENT No.							
o.							
GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
OF GOVERNMENT.							
WITH DITTO.							
T FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IGINAL.							

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
= Copy abstract only.
= Copy heading only.