

Rev (SPL) Capt

Home (1917) Department.
(Miscellaneous)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 624

DATED 22-6-1917

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Emigration - Ceylon.

Recording papers relating to a question asked at a meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 22nd May 1917 and the answer given ~~thereto~~ ^{closing} as regards the withdrawal of the orders ~~the~~ the Tuticorin route to the third class passengers proceeding to Ceylon.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[For discussion in Council]

Legislative Council Question
No 23

C.F.—18

[Opening of the Tuticovim route to third class passengers]

NOTES.

Misc C No. 1467
Recd 1-5-17

L. & M. (iv) 8-1,000-18-1-17.

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

QUESTION.—No. 23 for the meeting of the Legislative
Council to be held on the 22nd May 1917.

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the
Home (Misc) Department with reference to Nos. (1) and (4) of the
rules regulating Secretariat procedure in dealing with these Questions in the
Legislative Council. Orders regarding admission will be communicated in due
course.

V. T. R.
1-5-17.

U.O. No. 1086-2 dated 1-5-17
Lgvo

To

The Home (Misc) Department. with C. No. 23.



8-1-5-17.]

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL (LEGISLATIVE) DEPARTMENT.

Home (Miscellaneous) Department.

The Hon'ble Mr. K. Rama Ayyangar.

23 Q.—Is it a fact that the public of Tuticorin have memorialised the Government that G.O. No. 326, Home (Miscellaneous), dated 30th March 1917, ordering that third-class passengers shall not be permitted to leave for Ceylon via Tuticorin is a hardship to them?

In view of the representations made, will the Government be pleased to withdraw the order and allow the third-class passengers to go to Ceylon via Tuticorin as before?

[Meeting of the 22nd May 1917.]

Local and Municipal (Legislative)

Department.

The Public Works (Irrigation) Department may be informed U.O. that His Excellency the President has not yet passed orders in regard to the admission of Question No.13 & awaits further information from that Department on the question. It is requested that this may be furnished as early as possible.

2. The departments concerned may be informed U.O. that Questions Nos. 14 to 23 have been admitted without alterations.

V.T.K.
3-5-17.

To (9)
The Public Works Department (Qn.14,18)
" Revenue " (Qn.14,16,20,21,22)
" Financial " (Qn.15,16)
" Public (War) " (Qn.17)
" Public Works " (Qn.19)
" Home (Judicial) " (Qn.20)
" Home (Education) " (Qn.20)
" Home (Miscellaneous) " (Qn.23)

Legislative U.O.No.1086-3 d/ 3-5-17.



Misc
(507)
neg 957

Local and Municipal (Legislative) Department.
The Hon'ble Mr. K. Ramesh Aiyangar.
Q. 13. It is a fact that the public of Tuluva have memorialized the Government that G.O. No. 326, Home (Miscellaneous), dated 30th March 1917, ordering that third-class passengers shall not be permitted to leave for Cochin via Tuluva as a hardship to them?
In view of the representations made, will the Government be pleased to withdraw the order and allow the third-class passengers to go to Cochin via Tuluva as before?

[Meeting of the 2nd May 1917]

NOTES.

Page 4

Home dep
(Hij)

For the W.S.

Submitted that the memorial referred to have been received - vide pp 1-15 C.F. (linked file) The answer to the first part of the question is 'yes'. The answer to the second part of the question depends on the orders passed in the linked case.

X Dislike

(Dislike)

7/4
5.5.17.
1st
5.5.17

S.

6.5.17

I suggest the following answers

First part Yes

Second part The memorial is under consideration.

my 5/5/17

The linked case is not yet ready; will be submitted

Short

Heu.

my 5/7/17

Submitted for approval of answer

my 14/5/17

HM.

~~The memorial of 30th March is not under consideration, as I believe that we have replied to it. What we are considering now is the later memorial~~

Box 42
anc 7.5.17
red 8/5/17

B.C.7
C.14/5
R. 15/5



The term 'third class passenger' is used loosely - many of them include labourer also. I think we may answer yes.

The Govt. are not prepared to withdraw the order.

When the answer is being considered in Council I shall bring up the question whether newspaper holders should be allowed to embark at Tuticorin. I am very doubtful whether we should allow this concession.

Copy to Secy.
misc 1467-1
1615

[X] File dealing with this question linked -



Permission to third-class passengers to go to Ceylon via Tuticorin.

27 Q.—(a) Is it a fact that the public of Tuticorin have memorialised the Government that G.O. No. 326, Home (Miscellaneous), dated 30th March 1917, ordering that third-class passengers shall not be permitted to leave for Ceylon via Tuticorin is a hardship to them?

(b) In view of the representations made, will the Government be pleased to withdraw the order and allow the third-class passengers to go to Ceylon via Tuticorin as before?

27 A.—(a) Yes.

(b) The Government are not prepared to withdraw the order.

For the U.S.

A draft order recording the papers is submitted.

What about passport holders embarking at Tuticorin? Do we want information from Ceylon?
Submitted yes - vide linked file
Draft approved
19.6.17
20.6.17

Recording papers relating
to a question asked at a meeting of the Legislative
Council held on the 22nd May 1917 and the

READ AGAIN G.O. No., dated 191
 " " " " " " 191
 READ ALSO:-
 C. No., From the
 route to third class passengers
 proceeding to Ceylon. 191

ORDER.

Mis

No. 624, dated 22.6. 1917.

Recorded.

7/4
19.6.17
Paid.
19.6.17

~~Chk~~
21.6.17

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

191

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.