

Home (1917) Department.

Miscellaneous

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 543

DATED 5-6-17

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Editors' Table papers.-

Directing that the item "Budget Estimates of Port Marine and Coast Lights Funds" be deleted from the list of papers of local importance and interest appended to Rule III of the revised rules for the selection of ----- appended to G.O. No. 362, Home (Miscellaneous), dated the 6th April 1917.

NOTES.

Page 1

Financial Dept.

Extract of A.S.'s remarks on E.T. proofs of Port and Marine funds budget estimates for 1917-18.

I should like to have the question of placing this on E.T. reexamined.

L.E.Kershaw,
30-3-17.

Marine The practice of placing on Editors' Table ~~Marine~~ estimates has been in existence for a very long time. It existed even so far back as 1900 (page 645 of Part I of the Port St. George Gazette, d. 8-5-1900). Papers showing how these budgets originally came to be marked for E.T. are not traceable. (Between 1895 and 1902 the Marine dept. was under the control of the P.W.D. and it is not clear whether that dept. has any orders on the subject).

2. Looking to the acts, constituting the Port and Marine funds viz., the Indian Ports Act, 1908 and Madras Port Trusts Act, 1905 there is no specific provision which requires that the budgets should be ~~published~~ ^{published} on the E.T., but only the accounts are required to be published--of section 35(2) of the Indian Ports Act, XV of 1908, and section 30 ~~of the~~ ^{Madras} Port Trust Act, 1905. No doubt when publishing the accounts, they are compared with the budget estimates but it does not follow that the budget estimates should also be placed on the E.T.

It does not appear that any useful purpose is served by placing the 7.08 on the Marine budgets on the E.T. No comments are generally seen in the papers on these budgets and it seems

Not put up

⊗ Careful search was made among the old papers but nothing is traceable.]

G.O. 1140-1 Pub
13. XI. 02.

G.O. 261 Pub (mar) (p. 3)
14. 7. 16

+ G.O. 311 Pub (mar) (p. 3-4)
4. XI. 16.

in the circumstances unnecessary to publish them. Perhaps the remarks of the Pub (Mar) Dept. may be invited on the subject.

3. There is a file on the subject of the revision of the list of papers for E.T. in the Home (Mis) Dept. and if it is decided to discontinue the existing practice of placing marine budgets on E.T. that dept. should, it is submitted, be requested to delete the item from the list.

CRS 23417

Will Public (Marine)

24.4.17. Kindly advise. Perhaps it would be undesirable to stop publication, but ~~a good deal of~~ ^{some} economy can be effected by editing properly and publishing only just what is necessary. When sending to Pub. will office file on list of the recent case of the Port Trust which shows what a lot of unnecessary matter I had decided.

Pub. (Mar) ^{25 May}

CURRENT NO.

698

Regd. 15.4.17

Recd 26.4.17

PTO

Pub (Mar) 29.

U.S.

In the list of orders usually placed on G.T. drawn up for the first time in 1892 "Marine Budget estimate" were ~~not~~ included. (vide page 12 of G.O. 414 Pub of 17.6.1892). ~~It is not clear whether these estimates were included item 2 under 'Financial' (page 12 ibid).~~

2. The point for consideration seems to be (a). whether Marine Budget estimates may be withheld from the G.T. or

(b) whether, as suggested by the Assistant Secretary, those estimates may be in future properly edited & and just what is necessary may be published.

As noted by Financial it does not appear that any useful purpose is served by placing G.O.s on Marine budgets on G.T. submitted that

these G.O.s may perhaps be altogether withheld from G.T.

~~Submitted for consideration whether the P.P.O. & the Chairman, M.P.D. may be consulted.~~

2.5.17. 2.5.17.

Rel 5/5

x But they are included in the list prepared in 1915- vide item 11 on p 67 G.O. 417 Pub of 16.4.15. B.

A

P2 auto.

His, I think

P1 auto.

Rel

HM

I do not see why either the Budgets or the G.O. should be placed in the E.T. Hitherto they do not seem to have served any useful purpose. If anyone wants information on the subject I think he may ask for it.

W. S. L.

I agree. Better way has withstood.

9.5.17 W. S. L.

Find us

Personally I run him with great respect. I think that the Budget estimates are a matter of some importance to the general public. The usual practice was to place the whole of the G.O. on the Libl. This year I have issued an expanded edition containing the Estimates only - the two

C. 7. 5. 17

B. 3

R 8.5.17



mar no 698-1
8.5.17



Rep 8.5.17.

W. S. L. copy of
179 Mar 8.5.17
- V.

C. 12.5.17
B. 23
R 14.5.17

(Ind to C. 11.5.17)

It is a fine case.



Recd 13.5.17

le
T. 13.5.17
p. 3
shp I

Editions are at ship V. 11.
is for order whether Pub (Marine)
may be asked kindly to reconsider
this decision.

CS
9.5.17

I do not think we need press for a-
consideration but H.M. should see
Finl.

LD
11.5.17

Am / Finl.

I think we may accept the decision till some
one complains. I doubt the budget's being
missed.

ALJ

13.5.17

Financial Dept

Please see para: 3 at
page 2 ante.

Revised rules for the
selection of papers for S.T. have
~~been~~^{since} been issued in G.O. 362
Home (mis) 8 6.4.17. Marine
estimates appear under class B
of the list appended to the G.O.
with reference to the decision now

arrived at, the case may be transferred to the Home (mis) dept for issuing a corrigendum to the G.O.

CRS 17.5.17
JMS
17.5.17

19.5.17



Home (mis) dept

us.

A draft order is subd. for approval.

Cis 28.5.17
Pdk 28.5.17

Chk 29.5.17

Fin. no. for
approval.

Financial dept

Does the order cover

'Port. Trust.'?

3004

The expression "Budget estimates of Port, Marine and Coast Light Funds" has hitherto been taken to include the Budget estimate of the Port Trust. The latter does not appear as a separate



7/19/17



Misc
176
Recd 19.5.17

19/5

22/5/17

Misc 176
30/5

CURRENT NO.

4152

FINANCIAL

Recd 30.5.17

Item (11) on p. 6 of G.O.
651. Pub. & 264.15 B
+ p. 20 of G.O. 262 Home
(Mis) 264.17 I

item in the Editors' Table List.

2. The draft order may, it is submitted, be accepted.

File no 4152-1
5-6-17.
KRN

YAS
1.6.17.

ASB
4.6.17

155m EMB
5.6.17

Financial Dept
Port Trust

The expression "Budget estimate of Port, Marine and Coast Lights Funds" has hitherto been taken to include the Budget estimate of the Port Trust. The latter has not appeared as a separate item in the Editors' Table List.

The expression "Budget estimate of Port, Marine and Coast Lights Funds" has hitherto been taken to include the Budget estimate of the Port Trust. The latter has not appeared as a separate item in the Editors' Table List.

Financial Dept

Port Trust

Editors' Table Papers.

Directing that the item "Budget Estimates of Port Marine and Coast Lights Funds" be deleted from the list of papers of local importance and interest appended to Rule III of the revised rules for the selection of ----- appended to G.O.No.362, Home (Miscellaneous), dated the 6th April 1917.

Read again G.O.No.362 Home (Misc.),d/the 6-4-1917.

C R D E R:
Mis.

No 543 & 5.6.17

The entry "Port Marine and Coast Lights Funds - Budget Estimates of - " shown under Public Department (Marine) in class B containing papers of local importance and interest, appended to Rule III of the revised rules for the selection of Editors' Table papers in G.O.No. 362, Home (Miscellaneous), dated the 6th April 1917, should be deleted.

(I)

Per
28.5.17

Em
5.6.17

To the Departments of the Secretariat
" Superintendent, Govt. Press.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

191

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

A A A A A A A