M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 80

(2) Date of repair 29.6.39

(3) Initials of the Referencer

30/6

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 934	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated 23. 8 1887.	

Original

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Grof

23RD AUGUST 1887, No. 934, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From H. R. GRIMES, Esq., Inspector-General of Jails, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Ootacamund, 4th June 1887, No. 204.

Agreeably to the instructions contained in G.O., No. 1353, Financial, dated 20th December 1886, reviewing the budget estimates of this department for 1887-88, I have the honor to submit the following remarks :—

In paragraph 6 of the order, the Government remark that, "in superseding police guards by jail warders, the pay of the superior staff of warders has been considerably increased, and thus absorbed the savings which would have otherwise accrued, by placing the bulk of the men on the minimum pay of Rs. 7." I beg to state that, on revising the jail guard for the Penitentiary, the scale of pay for the superior staff was based on that originally proposed by the late Inspector-General of Jails for the Central Jails of Vellore, Coimbatore, and Rajahmundry ; and, as Colonel Tennant remarked in the 20th paragraph of his letter, No. 341, dated 30th November 1883, "to ensure the vigilance of the men on guard, and the performance of patrols, there must be a well-paid supervising staff."

2. The instructions contained in paragraphs 7, 8 and 9, regarding the expenditure on account of rations for Burmese convicts in all the jails being kept distinct from the ordinary expenditure of the Madras Presidency, also regarding "Passage money of convicts" and purchase of "Raw materials," will be duly attended to.

3. As directed in paragraph 13, the salaries of the warders have been re-distributed in the lower grades, maintaining the strength shown in the proposition statement now before Government. The increase of 11 warders on the establishment of the Central Jail at Vellore has been struck out of the proposition statement now submitted, and the establishment of Her Majesty's Penitentiary at Madras has been reduced by one chief warder on Rs. 60, and one European warder on Rs. 40.

4. With reference to paragraph 14 regarding the retention of ten European warders at the Coimbatore Central Jail, while there are only six in the Penitentiary and five in the European prison, I have the honor to explain that the employment of ten European warders at the Coimbatore Jail was sanctioned in G.O., dated 18th September 1872, No. 1273, in lieu of 45 native warders, and that that jail has been worked with only that number of warders up to the introduction of the new system of guarding, and that, as the employment of European warders in that jail has been successful, I would urge that their retention may be sanctioned. The cause of the disproportionate rates of pay between the European warders in the Penitentiary, and European prison, and those at Coimbatore, is due to the warders in the two former prisons being specially required to supervise European prisoners; whereas, in the latter jail, these have only to deal with natives.

The reason for a chief warder in the Penitentiary drawing Rs. 60 a month is because the European warders in that jail draw Rs. 50, and it was considered desirable to make a distinction in the pay of the senior officer.

5. With reference to paragraph 33, I have the honor to state that it is difficult to embody in a memorandum the alterations to be made in the proposition statement already before Government, in accordance with the instructions contained in the order

under reply. I therefore submit another, and I trust a final, proposition statement in supersession of that submitted with my letter, No. 301, dated 20th October 1886. In this last statement, I have effected reductions in the salaries of the different classes of warders. I have abolished the police protective guards in all the district jails except at Kurnool; and as most of the officers complain that they are unable to guard their jails efficiently with the number of men sanctioned in G.O., Judicial, No. 1571, dated 14th June 1886, I have increased the number of their guards to what I consider will be sufficient to meet all demands. By the reductions in the pay of the warders and the abolition of the police protective guards, an annual saving of Rs. 10,680 will now be effected.

6. The reason that I have not abolished the police protective guard at Kurnool is, that I am about to propose the abolition of that district jail altogether. I had intended to have done so now, but within the past month this jail has become overcrowded, and cholera is prevalent in the district, also in the town of Kurnool itself; it is therefore not expedient to abolish it at present, but I intend recommending its abolition as soon as possible. Further savings of Rs. 12,324 annually will occur in the event of the abolition of the European prison and the district jail at Ootacamund being decided on.

7. I would beg to point out that, on comparing the cost of the Jail and Police establishments prior to the introduction of the warder guard system in 1884, together with the proposition statement herewith submitted, it will be seen that an actual saving of Rs. 14,823 will be effected, and I therefore trust that the proposition statement may meet with the approval of the Government, and final sanction be accorded to it at an early date.

8. In conclusion, I beg to express my regret at the great delay that has occurred in this reply. The order of Government was received during the Christmas holidays, and as the office was closed, I deferred replying to it at once; in January I proceeded on a tour of the jails in the Northern Circars, on which I was absent for upwards of two months, and on my return I was engaged on the preparation of the administration report, which was not completed until the end of April. I then proceeded to inspect the Central Jail at Trichinopoly, and it was not until my return to head-quarters during the past month, that I have been able to go into the matter.

From H. R. GRIMES, Esq., Inspector-General of Jails, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Ootacamund, 18th July 1887, No. 262.

I have the honor to forward a letter from the Superintendent, District Jail, Berhampore, pointing out that the warder guard of that jail has been considerably undermanned for some months, and that the men are getting worn out, owing to the hard work they have to undergo, and he suggests, as a means of relieving the warder guard, that the protective guard might be abolished or reduced, and an addition be made to the warder guard.

2. I have already submitted a proposition statement with my letter, No. 204, dated 4th June 1887, for the abolition of all police protective guards, and increasing the strength of the warder guards. If my proposals are sanctioned, this will give the Berhampore Jail an increase of 8 men, which will considerably reduce the hard work the present guard has to undergo.

3. The duty of guarding condemned prisoners, and supplying guards for escorting prisoners to Magistrates' courts and when transferred to other jails, entails hard work on the remainder of the guard, and this is felt in most of the district jails, the strength of whose guards has been calculated on their average population for the three years previous to the new system of guarding being introduced, and not on the actual capacity of the jail, as I originally calculated for.

4. I would request that early orders may be passed on my proposition statement submitted on 4th June 1887, as other jails are experiencing the same difficulty as is the case at Berhampore, and we should be put to great straits should the men resign owing to the hard work they have now to undergo.

ENCLOSURE.

From Surgeon J. L. VANGEYZEL, M.B., Acting Superintendent, District Jail, Berhampore, to the Inspector-General of Jails, dated Berhampore, 7th July 1887, No. 209.

I have the honor to bring to your notice that the warder guard of this jail has been considerably undermanned for several months. The duties have been extremely hard for the men, and they are getting worn out. We have had 2 condemned prisoners for one month, and 3 condemned prisoners for 2 months, and out of 15 warders and 2 head warders, a guard of 6 warders and 1 head warder had to be furnished over the condemned cells alone. All out-door duties and night duties, *i.e.*, the ordinary duties of the jail, had to be done by 9 warders and 1 head warder.

2. I had to send 7 warders and 2 head warders in charge of the batch of 23 prisoners to Rajahmundry. Out of the remainder, I have been obliged to put 4 warders and the chief over the 3 condemned prisoners, leaving only 4 warders to furnish sentries for main gate and garden work during the day, and central tower and main gate at night. I have been obliged to detail the jailor and deputy jailor to relieve the gate and tower sentries every 3 hours at night. The men get very little rest day or night, and are almost continually at work. I bring these matters to your notice in order that you may consider whether the protective guard might be taken away or reduced, and an addition made to the strength of the warder guard.

From H. R. GRIMES, Esq., Inspector-General of Jails, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Ootacamund, 14th August 1887, No. 296.

With reference to your official memorandum, C. No. 3462, dated 13th August 1887, received by me on the 13th idem at 9 P.M., I have the honor to forward a statement showing the strength of convicts, male and female, also prisoners of the other classes, in the several central and district jails, compiled from the most recent returns that have reached me.

ENCLOSURE.

PRESENT States of the several Central and District Jails on the last day of July 1887.

Jails.	Convicts.		Under trials.		Civil.		Security.		Insane.		State.		All classes.		
	Male.	Female.	Male.	Female.	Male.	Female.	Male.	Female.	Male.	Female.	Male.	Female.	Male.	Female.	Total.
Central Prisons.															
Rajahmundry ..	550	17	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	1	2	..	553	18	571
Vellore	660	30	..	..	..	..	10	..	..	..	..	..	670	30	700
* Trichinopoly ..	765	16	..	..	3	1	..	..	1	..	..	..	769	17	786
Coimbatore ..	507	12	..	..	16	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	524	12	536
Cannanore ..	361	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	361	..	361
Salem	426	20	7	..	4	..	..	..	..	2	..	..	437	22	459
* Penitentiary ..	483	31	9	..	..	..	1	..	2	..	..	..	495	31	526
District Jails.															
* Russellkondah ..	103	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	103	1	104
* Berhampore ..	70	2	17	..	2	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	90	2	92
* Vizagapatam ..	113	12	6	..	1	..	..	..	3	..	..	..	123	12	135
* Párvatipur ..	173	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	173	..	173
Guntúr	106	8	..	..	1	..	2	..	1	..	..	..	110	8	118
Nellore	73	4	1	..	4	..	..	..	5	..	..	..	83	4	87
Kurnool	57	..	..	..	2	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	61	..	61
Bellary	326	9	4	1	5	..	1	..	2	..	1	..	339	10	349
* Cuddapah ..	90	10	2	..	3	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	95	10	105
Cuddalore ..	177	8	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	178	8	186
* Tanjore	146	6	19	2	13	2	..	..	1	1	..	..	179	11	190
Madura	157	9	14	..	9	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	181	9	190
Tinnevelly ..	247	3	59	..	2	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	308	4	312
Cochin	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
* Calicut	192	1	7	..	11	1	1	..	2	1	..	..	213	3	216
Mangalore ..	41	6	3	..	7	1	4	..	1	1	..	..	56	8	64
Coimbatore ..	120	5	28	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	148	5	153
Ootacamund ..	8	4	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	9	4	13
European Prison.	11	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	12	..	12
Debtors, Prison, Madras ..	..	..	..	..	10	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	10	1	11
Total ..	5,962	214	179	3	94	7	20	..	22	6	3	..	6,280	230	6,510

* Present states on the 30th June 1887.

ORDER—dated 23rd August 1887, No. 934, Financial.

With the first of the foregoing letters, the Inspector-General of Jails submits a final proposition statement for the Jails establishment under the new warder guard system. Mr. Grimes proposes to abolish the police protective guards in all district jails except Kurnool, and has effected reductions in the scale of pay and strength which yield a saving of Rs. 890 per mensem, or Rs. 10,680 per annum.

2. The whole question has now been discussed with the Inspector-General in person, and the conclusions arrived at which will be embodied in this order will, it is hoped, prove to be a final settlement of the matter.

3. The chief fault in the arrangements hitherto made has been the attempt to arrive at a fixed scale of strength without any provision for increase or decrease in proportion to the strength of the jail population, or special circumstances. The obvious remedy is to frame a scheme of permanent establishment sufficient for what may be regarded as minimum strength, investing the Inspector-General with power to sanction temporary warders in a fixed ratio to strength. The established proportion of guard (head warders and warders) to strength of prisoners will be one to seven, with 10 per cent. added for casualties, escort, and other duty. In addition to this, Mr. Grimes considers it necessary that Jail Superintendents should have power to entertain temporary men when prisoners condemned to death have to be guarded as these officers now have in the case of lunatics. For each such prisoner a head warder and three warders have to be detailed, and even in the larger jails this is found a heavy tax on the establishment. The Government accept this recommendation; and in future, charges for temporary establishment for the guard of condemned prisoners may be passed on the countersignature of the Inspector-General of Jails. The scale will be that above stated, except that when there are several condemned men, one head warder will suffice.

4. On full consideration, the Government have decided to organize the permanent staff of each jail at the rate of one warder to every seven convicts in 90 per cent. of the strength at the close of 1886, which was low. This is slightly below strength according to the most recent returns; but at present, the jail population is abnormally low owing to the jubilee releases. The Government accept the rates of pay proposed by the Inspector-General, viz., Rs. 15, Rs. 12, and Rs. 10 for head warders, and Rs. 9, Rs. 8, Rs. 7 and Rs. 6½ for warders. Temporary warders will be employed at the lowest rate. The proportion of head warders to warders will be one in seven in central, and one in nine in district, jails; and the proportion on the several rates of pay, as near as can be, for head warders ¼, ¼, and ½; and for warders ⅛, ⅛, ¼, and ½. Temporary head warders may be entertained in the due proportion when the strength of the warder guard requires it. The Inspector-General considers that every district jail must have a head warder on the highest pay, Rs. 15; and that on account of day and night duty, no jail, however small the strength of the warder guard, can do with less than two head warders.

	Strength of 1886 × ·9.	Present strength.
Central	4,059	4,092
District	2,305	2,418
Total ..	6,364	6,510

5. The scale of warder guards calculated on these principles is shown in the appended statement for each jail except the Penitentiary, the Ootacamund European Prison and District Jail, the Civil Debtors' Prison, and the Cochin Jail. The District Jail at Ootacamund has been abolished, and the others are of a special character. Separate orders will be passed regarding the European Prison, and the Inspector-General's proposals for the others are sanctioned, except that one European warder on Rs. 50 proposed to be retained in the Penitentiary may be dispensed with. The Kurnool Jail is included in the new arrangement, as the strength is again increasing.

6. With regard to the European warders at Coimbatore, ten in number on Rs. 20 each, the Government have retained the present staff and counted them in the calculated strength of the guard; but vacancies should not be filled up by Europeans, nor should temporary head warders be employed while any of these men remain.

---

7. The other proposals of the Inspector-General, not noticed above, are sanctioned. In working the system now ordered, the Inspector-General will be careful to see whether the necessity for the employment of temporary men applied for in any jail cannot be obviated by transferring convicts to jails where the establishment is in excess—a course which would often be more economical; and he should refuse to sanction temporary warders when it appears probable, with reference to prospective releases and normal rates of admission, that they will be required for a short time only. Provision should, of course, be made in the budget for temporary establishment in excess of the permanent staff now sanctioned.

7. The cost of the permanent jail establishment now sanctioned is Rs. 1,69,137, against that of existing staff, Rs. 1,94,487, and Rs. 1,83,807 in Mr. Grimes' proposition statement. Adding 10 per cent. of the cost of warders, for temporary men, there will be a saving on present cost of Rs. 16,976.

8. With reference to the 12th section of the Jail Code, the Inspector-General will report why Bellary ranks as a first-class jail, whereas its stated capacity (392) would place it in the second class. Cuddalore and Nellore are wrongly placed in the second class, and Mangalore in the fourth. A correct classification of allowances with reference to capacity would result in a saving of Rs. 75 per mensem.

(True Extract.)

H. E. STOKES,

Ag. Chief Secretary.

V E

To the Inspector-General of Jails.
" Accountant-General. with proposition statement

- I. G. Police

Note for Madras.
This is all right
except for the trifling
alteration be made
on this page. Adopt
please & send copies
for signature for the
addresses Nos 2 & 3.
No 1 has already had signed
in M.S. & therefore he
has merely to get spare
copies now.
The extra copies that
were sent with this
[illegible] having to be [illegible]

Minute / I am not
able to utilise them
Such copies should always
be made for signature i.e. [illegible]
See now delay
to
[illegible]

[Appendix.

APPENDIX.

Statement showing the sanctioned scale of permanent warder guards in each Jail excepting the Penitentiary and other Special Jails—(Para. 5 of Government Order).

Jails.		Head Warders.			Warders.				European Warders	Total Warder Guards.	Annual Cost.
		Rs. 15.	Rs. 12.	Rs. 10.	Rs. 9.	Rs. 8.	Rs. 7.	Rs. 6½.	Rs. 20.		RS.
Central Jails.											
Rajahmundry		3	3	7	10	10	21	40	..	94	..
Vellore		4	5	9	13	14	26	53	..	124	..
Trichinopoly		4	4	8	12	12	24	47	..	111	..
Coimbatore		4	4	8	12	12	24	38	10	112	..
Cannanore		2	2	4	6	6	13	24	..	57	..
Salem		2	2	3	5	6	12	22	..	52	..
Total, Central Jails. Sanctioned Scale.	No.	19	20	39	58	60	120	224	10	550	..
	Cost.	285	240	390	522	480	840	1,456	200	4,413	52,956
Proposition Statement.	No.	19	20	33	60	63	132	217	10	554	..
	Cost.	285	240	330	540	504	924	1,410½	200	4,433½	53,202
District Jails.											
Bellary		1	2	3	5	6	11	22	..	50	..
Russellkonda		1	1	..	2	2	5	8	..	19	..
Berhampore		1	1	..	2	2	4	7	..	17	..
Vizagapatam		1	1	..	2	3	5	9	..	21	..
Párvatipur		1	1	..	2	3	4	9	..	20	..
Guntúr		1	1	..	2	2	4	8	..	18	..
Nellore		1	..	..	1	2	2	4	..	10	..
Kurnool		1	..	..	1	1	2	4	..	9	..
Cuddapah		1	1	..	1	2	3	6	..	14	..
Cuddalore		1	1	1	3	4	7	13	..	30	..
Tanjore		1	1	1	3	4	8	14	..	32	..
Madura		1	1	2	4	4	8	16	..	36	..
Tinnevelly		1	1	2	4	4	9	16	..	37	..
Calicut		1	1	1	3	3	7	13	..	29	..
Mangalore		1	..	..	1	2	3	5	..	12	..
Total District Jails. Sanctioned Scale.	No.	15	13	10	36	44	82	154	..	354	..
	Cost.	225	156	100	324	352	574	1,001	..	2,732	32,784
Proposition Statement.	No.	15	19	29	40	46	88	238	..	475	..
	Cost.	225	228	290	360	368	616	1,547	..	3,634	43,608
Grand Total. Sanctioned Scale.	No.	34	33	49	94	104	202	378	10	904	..
	Cost.	510	396	490	846	832	1,414	2,457	200	7,145	85,740
Proposition Statement.	No.	34	39	62	100	109	220	455	10	1,029	..
	Cost.	510	468	620	900	872	1,540	2,957½	200	8,067½	96,810

Exd. A. Raitt.

Govt. of {1887} Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 23rd August 1887, No. 934.

AUGUST.

Jail Establishments.

Passing orders on proposals in connection with the — under the new warder guard system.

No. 204.

Office of Insp. Genl. of Jails
Ootacamund 4th June 1887

CURRENT No. ~~28/83~~ 1009

Fin'l 934 d 23/8/87

Enclosure [illegible]

From.

Henry. R. Grimes Esqre.
Inspector General of Jails,

To.

The Honble H. E. Stokes
Ag. Chief Secretary to Government
Ootacamund

Sir,

Agreeably to the instructions contained in Government Order, No. 1353 Financial dated 20th December 1886, reviewing the Budget estimates of this Department for 1887-88, I have the honor to submit the following remarks.

In paragraph 6 of the Order, the Government remarks that, "In superseding Police Guards by "Jail Warders, the pay of the superior" "staff of Warders, has been considerably" "increased, and thus absorbed the saving" "which would have otherwise accrued" "by placing the bulk of the men" "on the minimum pay of Rupees 7.

I beg to state, that on revising the jail guard for the Penitentiary, the scale of pay, for the superior staff was based on that originally proposed by the late Insp. Genl. of Jails, for the Central jails of Vellore, Coimbatore, and Rajahmundry, and as Colonel Tennant remarked in the 20th paragraph of his letter, No. 341 dated 30th November 1883., "To ensure the vigi-" "lance of the men on guard, and the" "performance of patrols, there must" "be a well paid supervising staff."

2. The instructions contained in paragraphs 7, 8, and 9, regarding the expenditure on account of rations for Burmese Convicts, in all the jails being kept distinct from the ordinary Expenditure of the Madras Presidency, also regarding "Passage money of Convicts" and purchase of "Raw materials" will be duly attended to.

3. As directed in paragraph 13, the salaries of the Warders have been redistributed in the

page 6 of file
G.O. 7/1/84
W. 60. in file

the lower grades, maintaining the strength shown in the Proposition Statement now before Government. The increase of 11 warders on the Establishment of the Central jail at Vellore, has been struck out of the Proposition Statement now submitted, and the Establishment of Her Majesty's Penitentiary at Madras, has been reduced by one Chief Warder on Rupees 60. and one European Warder on Rupees 40.

4. With reference to paragraph 14, regarding the retention of ten European Warders at the Coimbatore Central jail, while there are only six in the Penitentiary, and five in the European Prison, I have the honor to explain, that, the employment of ten European Warders at the Coimbatore Jail was sanctioned in Government Order, dated 18th September 1872 No. 1273 in lieu of 45 Native Warders, and that, that jail has

been worked with only that number of Warders, up to the introduction of the new System of Guarding; and that as the employment of European Warders in that jail has been successful, I would urge that their retention may be sanctioned. The cause of the disproportionate rates of pay between the European Warders in the Penitentiary and European Prison, and those at Coimbatore, is due to the Warders in the two former prisons being specially required to supervise European Prisoners; whereas in the latter jail, these have only to deal with Natives.

If the proposal in the draft is accepted, a reduction will follow. An alternative would be to [illegible] distribute some of these among the other jails, retaining the strength accordingly at Ooty; but there are objections to this course.
A.P.

The reason for a Chief Warder in the Penitentiary drawing Rupees 60 a month, is because the European Warders in that jail draw Rupees 50, and it was considered desirable to make a distinction in the pay of the senior officer.

5.

With

5. With reference to paragraph 33. I have the honor to state, that it is difficult to embody in a Memorandum the alterations to be made in the Proposition Statement already before Government, in accordance with the instructions contained in the order under reply. I therefore submit another, and I trust a final Proposition Statement in supercession of that submitted with my letter No. 301, dated 20th October 1886. In this last Statement, I have effected reductions in the salaries of the different classes of Warders. I have abolished the Police Protective Guards in all the District Jails; except at Kurnool, and as most of the Officers complain that they are unable to guard their jails efficiently with the number of men sanctioned in Government Order, Judicial No. 1571, dated 14th June 1886, I have increased the number of their guards to what I

page 3 of Jud
G.O.O/ 20/12/86
No. 1353.

in file

consider will be sufficient to meet all demands. By the reductions in the pay of the Warders and the abolition of the Police Protective Guards an Annual saving of Rupees 10.680 will now be effected.

6. The reason that I have not abolished the Police Protective Guard at Kurnool is; that I am about to propose the abolition of that District Jail altogether, I had intended to have done so now, but within the past month this Jail has become over-crowded, and Cholera is prevalent in the district; also in the town of Kurnool itself, it is therefore not expedient to abolish it at present, but I intend recommending its abolition as soon as possible. Further savings of Rupees 12.324 annually will occur in the event of the abolition of the European Prison, and the District Jail at Ootacamund, being decided on.

7. I would beg to point out that on comparing the cost of the Jail and Police Establishments prior to the introduction of the Warder Guard system in 1884, together with the Proposition Statement herewith submitted, it will be seen that an actual saving of Rupees 14.823 will be effected, and I therefore trust, that the Proposition Statement may meet with the approval of the Government, and final sanction be accorded to it, at an early date.

8. In conclusion, I beg to express my regret at the great delay that has occurred in this reply. The order of Government was received during the Christmas holidays, and as the office was closed, I deferred replying to it at once; In January I proceeded on a tour of the Jails in the Northern Circars, on which I was absent for upwards of two months, and

on my return, I was engaged on the preparation of the Administration Report which was not completed until the end of April. I then proceeded to inspect the Central jail at Trichinopoly, and it was not until my return to head-quarters, during the past month, that I have been able to go into the matter.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obdt. Servant
Henry P. Symnes.
Inspector General of Jails.

Through,
The Accountant General
Fort Saint George

G.M.P.

10 JUN 37
OFFICE MADRAS

[illegible]

Delivered Budget 6 July

18/9/72 No. 1273. Judl.
14/6/86 No. 1571 —
11/1/84 No. 60 —
1/6/87 No. 1136 —
2/2/87 No. 208/9 —

Financial

CURRENT NO. 1009

~~JUDICIAL.~~

Jail (1887,) Department.

FROM Henry R. Grimes Esqre
~~The~~ INSPECTOR-GENERAL
OF JAILS,
Ootacamund

To The Hon'ble H. E. Stokes
~~The~~ C/- CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT.
Ootacamund

Through.
The Accountant General
Fort Saint George

Dated 4th } June 1887.
Received 11th July,

No. 204

ENCLOSURE
One

SUBJECT.
Forwarding revised Proposition Statement for sanction of Warder Guard.

Enclosure

No. 209 Berhampore Jail Office,
7 July 1887.

From
The Superintendent, District Jail,
Berhampore.

,19

To
The Inspector General of Jails,
Ootacamund.

Sir,

Enclosures.

I have the honor to bring to your notice that the warder guard of this Jail has been considerably under-manned for several months.— The duties have been extremely hard for the men and they are getting worn out.— We have had 2 condemned prisoners for one month, and 3 condemned prisoners for 2 months, and out of 15 warders and 2 head warders, a guard of 6 warders and 1 head warder had to be furnished over the condemned cells alone.— All out door duties and night duties, i.e. the ordinary duties of the Jail had to be done by 9 warders & 1 head warder.—

I had to send 7 warders and 2 head warders in charge of the batch of 23 prisoners to Rajahmundry.— Out of the remainder, I have been obliged to post 4 warders and the Chief over the 3 condemned prisoners, leaving only 4 warders to furnish sentries for main-gate and

guard

garden work during the day and Central Tower & Main gate at night – I have been obliged to detail the Jailor & Dy. Jailor to relieve the Gate and Tower sentries every 3 hours at night – Warders get very little rest day or night and are almost continually at work.

Bring these matters to your notice in order that you may consider whether the Protective Guard might be taken away, or reduced, & an addition made to the strength of the warder guard.–

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obdt. Svt.

Ag. Supdt. Jail

From
The Ag: Supdt. Dt. Jail
Berhampore
To.
The Inspr. Genl. of Jails
Ootacamund
Dated 7th July 1887
Recd.
No. 209
Enclosures. Nil

Brings to notice the insufficiency of the warder guard for all the necessary duties of the Jail.

D. R. 4096

1887

Jud. 3056/87.

Ootacamund
Inspector Genl: of Jails Office
18th July 1887

No. 262

CURRENT No. 3056

From,
Henry R. Grimes Esq
Inspector General of Jails.

To,
The Hon'ble H.E. Stokes.
Ag. Chief Secretary to Government
Ootacamund.

Enclosures

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a letter from the Superintendent, District Jail, Berhampore, pointing out that the Warder Guard of that Jail has been considerably undermanned for some months, and that the men are getting worn out, owing to the hard work they have to undergo, and he suggests as a means of relieving the Warder Guard, that the Protective guard might be abolished or reduced and an addition be made to the Warder Guard -

2. I have already submitted a Proposition Statement, with my letter No. 204, dated 4th June 1887, for the abolition of all Police Protective Guards, and increasing the strength of the Warder Guards - If my proposals are sanctioned, this will give the Berhampore Jail an increase of 8 men, which will considerably reduce the hard work the present guard has to undergo -

[illegible] 1009/(87)

3. The duty of guarding condemned prisoners, and supplying guards, for escorting prisoners to Magistrates' Courts, and when transferred to other Jails, entails hard work on the remainder of the Guard, and this is felt in most of the District jails, the strength of whose Guards has been calculated on their

23a 6P

average population for the 3 years previous to the new system of guarding being introduced and not on the actual capacity of the Jail, as I originally calculated for.

4. I would request that early orders may be passed on my Proposition Statement submitted on 4th June 1887, as other jails are experiencing the same difficulty, as is the case at Berhampore, and we should be put to great straits, should the men resign owing to the hard work they have now to undergo.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedt. Servant
Henry B. Lynnes.
Inspector General of Jails -

L 2880/87

Transferred to the Civil Dept.

J Bynder

A. G. Cardew.
Under Secy.

CURRENT NO. 3056

JUDICIAL.

Jail (188 ,) Department.

FROM Henry R. Grimes Esq
~~The~~ INSPECTOR-GENERAL
OF JAILS,

To The a/
~~The~~ CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT.
Ootacamund

CHIEF SECRETARY
19 JUL 87
MADRAS

Dated 18th } July 1887.
Received 20th }

No. 262

Enclosure One

SUBJECT.

Forwards letter from Supdt D.J. Berhampore, requesting an additional No of Warders, owing to the Warders at present having hard work and suggests abolishing Protective Guards as a means to increase the No of Warder Guards of the

Encl. No. 1 to letter No. 296 of [illegible] 1887

Present states of the several Central and District Jails on the last day of July 1887

9

27

Jails	Convicts		Under Trials		Civil		Security		Insane		State		All classes		
	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	Total
Central Prisons															
× Rajahmundry	550	17	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	553	18	571
Vellore	660	30	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	670	30	700
× Trichinopoly	765	16	-	-	3	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	769	17	786
Coimbatore	507	12	-	-	16	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	524	12	536 +153 = 689
Cannanore	361	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	361	-	361
Salem	426	20	7	-	4	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	437	22	459
× Penitentiary	483	31	9	-	-	-	1	-	2	-	-	-	495	31	526
District Jails															
× Russellcondah	103	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	103	1	104
× Berhampore	70	2	17	-	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	90	2	92
× Vizagapatam	113	12	6	-	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	123	12	135
× Parvatipur	173	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	173	-	173
Guntoor	106	8	-	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	110	8	118
Nellore	73	4	1	-	4	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	83	4	87
Kurnool	57	-	-	-	2	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	61	-	61
Bellary	326	9	4	1	5	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	339	10	349
Cuddapah	90	10	2	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	95	10	105
Cuddalore	177	8	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	178	8	186
Tanjore	146	6	19	2	13	2	-	-	1	1	-	-	179	11	190
Madura	157	9	14	-	9	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	181	9	190
Tinnevelly	247	3	59	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	308	4	312
Cochin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Calicut	192	1	7	-	11	1	1	-	2	1	-	-	213	3	216
Mangalore	41	6	3	-	7	1	4	-	1	1	-	-	56	8	64
Coimbatore	120	5	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	148	5	153
Ootacamund	8	4	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	4	13
European Prison	11	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	12
Debtors Prison, Madras	-	-	-	-	10	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	1	11
Total	5962	214	179	3	94	7	20	-	22	6	3	-	6280	230	6510

× Present states on the 30th June 1887.

Ootacamund
14th August 1887

Henry P. Grimes
Inspector General of Jails.

~~88~~
CURRENT No. 1128 Jud

.29
a

No. 296 Office of Inspector Genl. of Jails
Ootacamund. 14th August 1887

From,

Henry. R. Grimes Esq
Inspector General of Jails

To,

The Hon'ble H. E. Stokes
Ag. Chief Secretary to Government
Ootacamund.

Sir,

Enclosure 1

With reference to your Official Memorandum No. 3462 dated 13th August 1887, received by me on the 13 idem at 9. P. M. I have the honor to forward a Statement showing the strength of convicts, male and female also prisoners of the other classes, in the several Central and District Jails, compiled from the most recent returns that have reached me -

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servt.
Henry R. Grimes.
Inspector General of Jails

Fwd 1

CURRENT NO. 1128

~~JUDICIAL.~~

Jail (1887,) Department.

FROM Henry R. Grimes Esq
~~The~~ INSPECTOR-GENERAL
OF JAILS,

To
as
THE CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT.
Ootacamund

Dated 14th
Received 15 August 1887.

No. 296

ENCLOSURE One

SUBJECT.

CHIEF SECRETARY
15 AUG 87
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Forwards present states of Jails.

E

---

[31]

5
33

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

With regard to the reduction proposed in paragraph 6, the average number of prisoners in 1886 has been deliberately adopted as a test in place of ~~the~~ any average based on the years preceding that. There are times when averages for a series of years are most fallacious, & it is so here –

The continuous decline year after year in almost every jail is most marked – See Statement B.

These paid warder establishments should, it is thought, be elastic. The ten per cent addition for emergencies is a fairly liberal one, & has been applied liberally in the draft. If the jail population increases, nothing easier to strengthen the in the ½ scale: the orders of Govt. could in such cases be anticipated.

J.F. 12/8

35

C. Nos. 1009 & 3056/87

Order:-

The proposition statement submitted by the Inspector General of Jails, with the first of his letters above recorded provides for ~~an~~ ~~xxxx~~ the following alterations in the Establishment:-

	Jail	Class	Increase Number	Increase Amount Rs. p. m.	Decrease Number	Decrease Amount Rs. p. m.
Central Jails	Rajahmundry	Warder	39	253..8	39	285
	Vellore	do	39	253..8	50	371
	Trichinopoly	do	39	253..8	39	285
	Coimbatore	do	38	247	38	290
	Cannanore	do	32	208	32	229
	Salem	do	30	195	30	216
			17	1410..8	228	1676
	H. M's Penitentiary	Jailor & Warder	21	136..8	23	262
			38	1547	251	1938
District Jails	Russellkonda	Warder	12	78	6	43
		Police	...	...	6	52
	Berhampore	Warder	15	97.8	7	51
		Police	...	...	10	79
	Vizagapatam	Warder	22	143	14	101
		Police	...	...	10	79
	Parvatipur	Warder	12	78	8	58
		Police	...	...	6	51
	Guntur	Warder	12	84	7	50
		Police	...	...	10	83
	Nellore	Warder	15	102.8	3	21
		Police			10	80
	Kurnool	Warder		nil		nil
		Police				
	Bellary	Warder	36	230	24	173
		Police			10	9

Cont'd.)

district jails

Jail	Class	Increase Number	Increase Amount Rs	Decrease Number	Decrease Amount Rs
Brought forward			2360		2951..8
Cuddapah	Warder	13	88	7	51
	Police			10	80.8
Cuddalore	Warder	15	106.8	3	24
	Police			12	103
Tanjore	Warder	14	91	14	101
	Police	...	...	...	...
Madura	Warder	24	159.8	16	115
	Police			10	90
Tinnevelly	Warder	21	136.8	21	151
	Police	...	...	...	...
Cochin	~~Warder~~ ~~Police~~	...	...	...	...
Calicut	Warder	20	133..8	10	72
	Police			10	80.8
Mangalore	Warder	11	75	4	29
	Police			10	80.8
Coimbatore	~~Warder~~ ~~Police~~	...	...	...	...
†Ootacamund	Police	...	...	8	93
Ootacamund European prison	...	...	...	...	...
Hill's Civil Jail	Jailor & Warder	...	...	...	18
			3,150		4,040
					3,150
				Net decrease monthly	Rs 890

(† since ~~abolished~~ done away with.)

2. The chief feature of the Inspector General's scheme is the substitution in all district jails except Kurnool (proposals for closing which are shortly to be made) of warders for police protective guards, who are to be done away with entirely.

3. On a consideration of the question, the Government are not prepared to give their sanction to the proposals now before them.

A comparison of the cost per head of the jail population, and of the proportions borne to warders by prisoners,—according to

(1) the capacity of each jail;
(2) the average number of prisoners for the five years ending 1885;
(3) the average number of prisoners for 1886;—shows

that, both in the certain Central and district jails, the strength proposed for future adoption bears ~~hitherto~~ and the cost of it ~~reason~~ bears no reasonable ratio to the number of jail inmates to be guarded.

4 The annexed Statement exhibits the Inspector General's scheme in detail, and the tables at the foot of it show that the Subordinate Staff in the Central jails at Salem & Cannanore is ~~much~~ too costly. ~~The Inspector General would indeed appear to have based the~~ cost ~~strength of it on the capacity of the jails & disregarding entirely the average number of prisoners.~~ ~~[illegible]~~ In numbers, the staff of the same jails appears to be extravagant, if the large reduction in the ~~jail~~ prison population is taken into account.

5. Excluding the ~~[illegible]~~ Penitentiary

A.

139 Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following paper

and the Coimbatore Centra jail, where European warde are largely employed, both of which jails may be regarded as an a speci footing, it is observed that the proportion of prisoners to warders we ~~[illegible]~~ in the other Centra jails of Rajahmundry, Vellore, and Trichinopoly, averaged, in 1886, 7.3 agai 5.35 at Cannanore and 5.2 at Salem.

6. Allowing, as was pro-posed by the Inspector General himself in 188 one jail warder to each seven convicts, with a addition of ten per cent for casualties ~~[illegible]~~ & for other du there ~~is an~~ are twe

Note.

This deals with the strength of the establishment, but the further question of cost will afterwards have to be considered. There should be some fixed scale for regulating the numbers in the several classes of warders. ~~[illegible]~~ The proportion of warders to men in [illegible] may be right but the cost may be too [illegible] to the number of warders [illegible] warders ~~be many~~ in excess at Cannanore & fewer at Salem. Similarly in the district jails, there are the following excesses:-

Jail	Warders in excess
Bellary ...	5
Russellkonda	2
Berhampore	7
Vizagapatam	15
Paumatipur	1
Guntur	6
Nellore	16
~~Kurnool~~	
Cuddapah	9
Cuddalore	7
Calicut	4
Mangalore	7

Tanjore requires an addition of one warder; while the strength proposed at Madura and Tinnevelly is in accordance with the correct scale. The proposition statement must be revised accordingly; meanwhile the Inspector General will be permitted to

Note.

Cannanore. 407 prisoners in 1886, ~~giving~~ requiring 58 + 6 = 64 warders against 76 in the proposition statement. Salem. 369 prisoners requiring 53 + 5 = 58 warders against 65.

So with district jails

Jail	No. of prisoners in 1886	Requirements +10 p.c.	No. in prop. statement
Bellary	7) 350	50+5=55	60
Russellkonda	7) 132	19+2=21	23
Berhampore	7) 120	17+2=19	26
Vizagapatam	7) 144	~~20~~ 21+2=23	38
Paumatipur	7) 139	20+2=22	23
Guntur	7) 128	18+2=20	26
Nellore	7) 67	~~9~~ 10+1=11	27
Kurnool			
Cuddapah	7) 100	14+1=15	24
Cuddalore	7) 207	30+3=33	40
Calicut	7) 203	29+3=32	36
Mangalore	7) 88	13+1=14	21
Tanjore	7) 229	33+3=36	35
Madura	7) 253	36+4=40	40
Tinnevelly	7) 266	38+4=42	42

The average for 1885 & 1886 was much higher than in years before

1/41 Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper

Note
This will dispose of the Berhampore reference, No. 3056/87

the [illegible]

substitute warders for police protection guards in whatever jails he may think fit.

8. With reference to the 12th Section of the Jail Code, the Inspector General will report why Bellary is considered a first class jail. ~~the capacity of which is fixed at~~ [illegible] A saving of Rs. 50 in the pay of the Medical officer would result from the ~~reduct~~ relegation of this jail to the second class, in which its stated capacity of 392 would appear to place it. The classification of Mangalore & Cuddalore jails also seems to be incorrect. The arrangement under which European warders

are employed at Cainikalne
will not be disturbed at
present.

With reference to the above, I submit that the Jail population of 1886 which is about $\frac{2}{3}$ of the full capacity may fairly be taken as the standard; but that whatever is taken it will be best to make a portion of the establishment temporary so as to provide for elasticity, the I.G. having power to sanction or supp.^t to entertain temporary estab.^t in excess of the fixed ~~[illegible]~~ scale so as to bring the total of head warders & warders up to 1 to 7 of strength, plus 10 per cent.

Or fixed estab.^t up to 80 per cent of the due proportion on the average strength in 5 years might be allowed, the balance being made temporary, the Jail officers having power to increase, &c as above.

If this principle is approved the proposition statement could be revised here. The proposals for clerks, jailors & Deputy Jailors chief & European warders seem such as might be accepted. The proper number of warders & head warders might be assigned to each Jail. As to their distribution into classes, the I.G. does seem to have proceeded on some system. He has 3 grades of head warders in Central Jails on 15, 12, & 10, the proportions of strength being roughly $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{4}$ & $\frac{1}{2}$. In district Jails there are two grades on Rs 12 & 10, in the proportion of $\frac{2}{5}$ & $\frac{3}{5}$. In Central Jails he has one Head warder to 6.58 warders & in district Jails 1 to 8.80. Warders are in four grades on Rs 9, 8, 7 & 6½ & the I.G. has evidently tried to distribute them in the proportions of $\frac{1}{8}$, $\frac{1}{8}$, $\frac{1}{4}$ & $\frac{1}{2}$. I would allow 1 H^d warder to 6 warders in Central Jails, & 1 to 8 in District.

If these principles are adopted, the

N.S.P.
17/8/87

Jail Establishments.

I entirely approve of these suggestions. With a fluctuating Jail population we must have a sliding scale for guards & warders. That was the only way I was able to meet the difficulty in regard to the Jud.^l Process Estab.^t w.^h is in some respects analogous. Indeed I proposed some measure of this kind some days ago when talking to Mr Grimes. 90% of the strength in '86 would be a very liberal minimum to allow. The other suggestions are also good. The St.^t may be revised here, provided Mr Grimes is consulted & approves of the system. Or it may be sent back to him for revision, whichever is most convenient. — Quære if the temporary men sh.^d not have 7/-.

13 Aug

* On further consideration, I think permanent estab.^t might be allowed for 90 per cent of the strength in 1886. In some Jails 80% of the average strength is in excess of the strength in 1886.

In Bellary we have.
Av.^e Strength 374 gives at 1 in 7, plus 10%, 58 warders.
These distributed at 1 to 8.

Head Warders 1.^st cl. 3
" 2.^nd cl. 4
7

51. Warders Perm.^t 1.^st grade. 8
2.^nd 8
3.^rd 16.
4.^th 7 — 39 — 46 or 80% of 58.
Warders Temp.^y 4.^th 12 — 12
58.

I have allowed 1.^st & 2.^nd grade warders at $\frac{1}{6}$ of the normal ~~[illegible]~~ staff, a slight excess so as to provide for the perm.^t

[31.]

Jail Establishments

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

(1) Before revising the statement here, there is one point to be noticed —

Numbers of prisoners were liberated on the occasion of the Jubilee, & the present population is probably & largely below ~~that~~ of 1886, in consequence.

A good proportion of the men may be trusted to find their way back to gaol before long, but even after

Prisoners have been called for to give exact figures

43

allowing for that, the
gaols will be emptie
for some time -
Should any alteratio
be made on this accou

It is submitted that no
need be made. The scal
of permanent establishme
~~will~~ may last for yea
and if it were base
on, ~~the~~ say, 90 % of
the average of the first
six months of this
year, the proportion
of temporary to permanent
establishment might
become ~~too~~ larger than
is advisable, in the cour

---

[31]

~~NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.~~

of two years or so. a/45

2. Perhaps Mr Master should see the proposals?

A.P. 13/8

Send the accompanying Order first.
Meanwhile let Chinnasawmy work out scale of warders on 90% of the strength of /86. Then I'll ask Mr. Grimes to come over. H.S.

of Establishments now proposed.

tiary and Central jails.
g the five District jails amalgamated with them). 47

containing less than 1000 prisoners

		First class to con or more.		a in 1000 prisoners		Second Class		
te of pay.	Penitentiary Madras	Rajahmundry	Vellore	Trichinopoly	Coimbatore	Cannanore	Salem	Total.
Rs.	N°	N°	N°	N°	N°	N°	N°	N°
to 60	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	1.
to 45.	..	1	1	1	1	1	1	6.
5 to 40	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	1.
0 to 30	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	1.
0 to 25	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7.
25	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	1.
Number	4	2	2	2	3	2	2	17
imum Cost	140	70	70	70	110	70	70	600 Rs.
200	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	1.
150 to 200	..	1	1	1	1	..	..	4
125 to 175.	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	1.
100 to 150	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	1.
80	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	1.
75	..	1	1	1	1	..	..	4.
60	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	1.
50	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	1.
35.	1	1	1	1	1	..	1	6.
Number.	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	20
imum cost.	315	310.	310	310	310	235.	235.	2025 Rs.
60	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	1.
40	..	1	1	1	1	..	..	4
35	..	..	..	..	..	1	1	2.
50	4	..	..	..	..	..	..	4
40	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	1.
20	..	..	..	..	10	..	..	10.
25	..	2	2	2	2	1	1	10.
		2	2	2	..	1	1	8.

Cost 510 465 620 900 [illegible] 1540 2957½ 200

A

Scale of Establishments now proposed.

Penitentiary and Central jails.

(Including the five District jails amalgamated with them).

Establishments	Rate of pay.	Penitentiary Madras	First class to contain 1000 prisoners or more				Second Class containing less than 1000 prisoners		Total.
			Rajahmundry	Vellore	Trichinopoly	Coimbatore	Cannanore	Salem	
	Rs.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Clerical and other Establishments.									
Head Clerk	35 to 60	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	1.
Do	35 to 45.	..	1	1	1	1	1	1	6.
Do	35 to 40	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	1.
Second Clerk	20 to 30	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	1.
Third Clerk	20 to 25	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7.
Manufacturing Clerk & Schoolmaster	25	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	1.
Total	Number	4	2	2	2	3	2	2	17
	Maximum cost	140	70	70	70	110	70	70	600 Rs.
Jailors and Deputy jailors & Matrons.									
Jailor	200	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	1.
Do	150 to 200	..	1	1	1	1	..	..	4
Do	125 to 175.	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	1.
Do	100 to 150	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	1.
Deputy jailor	80	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	1.
Do	75	..	1	1	1	1	..	..	4.
Do	60	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	1.
Do	50	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	1.
Matron	35.	1	1	1	1	1	..	1	6.
Total.	Number.	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	20
	Maximum cost.	315	310.	310	310	310	235.	235.	2025 Rs.
Warder Establishments.									
Chief Warder	60	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	1.
Do	40	..	1	1	1	1	..	..	4
Do	35	..	..	..	..	..	1	1	2.
European Warder	50	4	..	..	..	..	..	..	4
Do	40	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	1.
Do	20	..	..	..	..	10	..	..	10.
Deputy Chief warder	25	..	2	2	2	2	1	1	10.
~~Assistant~~	20		2	2	2	..	1	1	8.
Head Warder 1st gr.	15	1	4	4	4	3	2	2	20.
Do 2nd	12	2	4	4	4	4	2	2	22.
Do 3rd	10	3	6	6	6	7	4	4	36.
Warders 1st class	9	2	12	12	12	12	6	6	62
Do 2nd	8	6	12	12	12	12	9	6	69
Do 3rd	7	12	24	24	24	30	18	12	144.
Do 4th	6½	21	39.	39	39	38.	32	30.	238.
Warder guards. Total	Number	53	106	10	106	119	76	65	631
	Maximum cost Rs.	655½	923½	923	923½	1114	634	555	5729 Rs.
Grand Total of Establts Number		60	111	111	111	125	80	70	668.
cost.	Maximum Rupees	1,110½	1,303½	1,30	1,303½	1534	939	860	8354 Rs.
Capacity of each jail on 31st December 1886		797	1099	117	1149	*1473	925	747	7,115
Average population during 5 years ending 1885		767	897	103	800	900	556	479	5,437
Do Do the year 1886		617	666	87	783.	791.	407	369	4,510
Do Do in the middle of 1887.		526	571	70	786	689	361.	459	4092
90 p.c. of strength in 1886		555	599	78	705	712.	366	332	4059
Cost per head of jail population acc. to capacity		1.39	1.19	1.11	1.13	1.04	1.01	1.15	1.17
Do Do 5 years' average		1.45	1.45	1.2	1.63	1.70	1.69	1.80	1.54
Do Do strength in 1886.		1.80.	1.96	1.1	1.66	1.94	2.31.	2.33	1.85.
Numbers of prisoners to each Warder acc. to capacity		15.03	10.37	11.[illegible]	10.84	12.38	12.22	11.49	11.28
Do Do Do 5 years' average		14.47	8.46	9.7	7.55	7.56	7.32	7.37	8.61
Do Do Do strength in 1886		11.64.	6.28	8.	7.39	6.65	5.35	5.27	7.15.
Average cost per Warder guard		12.37	8.71	8.7	8.71	9.36	8.34	8.54	9.08.
Permanent No. of Warders for 90 p.c. of strength in 1886 at 1 to 7 and 10 p.c.		87	94	124	111	112	58	52.	

* Including the accommodation in the District jail.

District jails { 2 class 500 & more prisoners — Pay o
3" — 300 & more " —
4" — 150 & more " —
— less than 150 " —

Establishments

R

Clerical and other Establishment.

Head Clerk —
Second Clerk —
Executioner —

Total { Ma

Jailors and Deputy jailors.

Jailor —
D° —
D° —
Deputy jailor —
D° —

Total {

Warder guard Establishment.

European Warder —
Chief Warder —
D° —
Warder 1st grade
D° — 2" — do —
Warders 1st Class
D° — 2" —
D° — 3" —
D° — 4" —

Total {

Grand Total Establishments {

90 p.c. of strength in 1886
N° of warders at 1 to 7 + 10 p.c.
Number of prisoners each jail will accommodate on
Average jail population during 5 years ending 1885
D° — D° — 1886.
D° — D° — middle of 1887.
Number of prisoners to each Warder accg. to capacity
D° — D° — D° to average strength 5 yrs to 1885.
D° — D° — D° — actual — 1886
Cost for guarding each head of jail population qua Capacity
D° — D° — 5 years' average strength to 1885.
D° — D° — actual strength in 1886.
Average cost per warder guard.

x 2/1 S. D.

Medl supdt Rs 150
" " —— Rs 100
" " —— Rs 75
" " —— Rs 50

District jails.

Jails appointed for the co[illegible]ment of European British subjects sentenced to imprisonment.

ates of pay.	I class Bellary	Russelkondah	3rd class Berhampore	2nd class Vizagapatam	Parvatipur	3rd class Guntur	2nd cl Nellore	4th cl Kurnool	3rd cl Cuddapah	2nd cl Cuddalore	Special Tanjore	2nd cl Madura	Special Tinnevelly	3rd class Calicut	4th cl Mangalore	Special jails Ootacamund	European Prison Ootacamund	Civil Debtor's jail	No 9 Cochin jail	Total District jails	Grand Total all jails As per prop. Statement.
Rs																					
5 to 40.	1	*1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	"	"	"	"	15	"
0 to 25.	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1 on 14	"	2	"
5¼.	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	5 menial	"	1	"
Number	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	"	1	6 servants	"	24	47
nimum cost	70¼	45	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	"	7	35	"	6[illegible]7¼	1487¼.
75 to 100	1	1	1	1	"	1	1	"	1	1	"	1	"	"	"	"	§1	"	"	10	
50 to 75.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	1	1	"	"	"	"	3	
75	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	1	"	"	1 on 65.	"	1	"	5	
30	1	1	1	1	"	1	1	1	1	1	"	1	"	1	1	"	"	"	"	12	
25	"	"	"	0	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1 on 20	2	
Number	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	1	§1	1	1	32	46
Cost.	130	130	130	130.	100	130	130	105.	130	130	75	130	75	105.	105	65.	11	75	20	2005	3820.
50	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	1	"	"	1 on 20	5 on 56	"	"	8	
18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	1	"	"	1 on 16	"	"	"	3	
15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1 on 14	"	"	"	16	
12	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1+2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2 on 12	"	1	"	22	
10	4	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	2	3	1	3	1	3	2	3 on 10	"	"	"	32	
9	5	2	2	3	2	3	2‡	1	2	3	4	3	4	3	1	9 on 9	"	2	"	51	
8	5	2	2	3	2	3	4	2+2	2	3	4	3	4	3	2	"	"	2	"	48	
7	10	4	4	6	4	6	4	6+2	4	6	8	6	8	6	4	"	"	"	"	88	
6½	32	12	15	22	12	10	13	"	12	23	14	23	21	19	10	"	"	"	"	238	
Number	60	23	26	38	23	26	27	*12+6	24	40	35	40	42	36	21	17	5	5	"	506	1137
Cost.	454	177	196½	283	177	205	209½	158	187	299½	320	299½	365½	273½	165	185	28	46	"	4281.	10,010
Number	65	26	29	41	26	29	30	21	27	43	37	43	44	39	24	18	7	12	1	562	1,230
cost	654¼	352.	366½	453	317.	375	379½	303	357.	69½	435.	469½	480½	418½	310.	250.	3	156	20	6,963¾	15,317¼.
	315	118	108	130	125	115	60	53	90	6	206	228	239	183	79	37		20			
	50	19	17	20	20	18	9	8	14	9	32	36	38.	29	12.						
31st Dec 1886	392	157	211.	344	178.	198.	273	132	197	21.	3[illegible]2	489	335.	258.	291.	209	4	32	4	4323	11,438
	374.	104	116.	217	110.	146	124	81.	125	191.	276.	268.	203.	261.	107	105		20	1.	2819	8,286
	350	132	120	144	139	128	67	59	100	207	229	253	266	203	88	43	1	22	3	25[illegible]1.	7,071.
	349.	104	92	135	173.	118.	87.	61.	105	186.	190.	190.	312.	216.	64.	13.	1	11.	"	2418	
	6.53	6.83	8.11.	9.05.	7.74	7.61	10.11	7.33	8.20	5.52	10.34	12.22	7.98.	7.17.	13.86	12.29	8.[illegible]	6.40	4	8.53.	10.06
	6.23	4.52	4.46	5.71.	4.78.	5.61.	4.59	4.50	5.21.	4.77	7.89.	6.70.	4.83	7.25	5.10	6.18.	4.0	4.00	1	5.62.	7.28.
	5.83.	5.74.	4.61.	3.79.	6.04.	4.92.	2.48	3.28.	4.17.	5.17.	6.54	6.32.	6.33.	5.64.	4.10.	2.53	2[illegible]0.	4.40.	.3	5.05.	6.21.
Rupees.	1.67.	2.24.	1.74	1.32.	1.78.	1.89	1.39	2.30	1.81.	2.12	1.20	0.96	1.43.	1.62	1.07	1.20.	9[illegible]2	4.87	5.00.	1.61.	1.34.
Rupees	1.75	3.38.	3.16.	2.09	2.88.	2.57	3.06.	3.74.	2.86	2.46	1.58.	1.75	2.37.	1.60	2.90.	2.38.	19[illegible]5	7.80	20.00	2.44.	1.85
Rupees.	1.87.	2.67.	3.05	3.14.	2.28.	2.93.	5.66	5.14.	3.59	2.27.	1.90.	1.86.	1.81	2.06	3.52	5.81.	3[illegible]9	7.09	66.67	2.72.	2.16.
Rupees.	7.57	7.70.	7.56	7.45.	7.70	7.88.	7.76.	8.78.	7.79.	7.49.	9.14.	7.49.	8.70.	7.60.	7.86.	10.88.	5[illegible]0	9.20.	20.00.	8.44	8.81.

* Draws 40 to 45.

§ Draws also a horse allowance of Rs 10.

‡ Includes 2 men for subsidiary jails transferred to Dt. jail in Nellore.

* Includes Police Force.

51

Average Jail population during six last 5 years.

Jails		Capacity of Jail	1881	1882	1883	1884	1885	Total	Average 5 years ending 1885	1886
Central Jails										
Rajahmundry	Dist.	106	161.6	157.6	126.1	115.7	109.4	670.4	134.1	110.9
	Cent.	1099	958.5	895.8	752.6	632.8	575.4	3815.1	763.0	555.6
Vellore	Ch Kom	320	159.0	156.5	171.9	146.8	144.1	778.3	155.6	150.7
	Central	1177	975.5	929.4	967.1	811.4	729.0	4412.4	882.5	726.4
Trichinopoly	Central	1149	1004.1	880.3	435.2	576.9	594.6	3431.1	686.2	679.2
	Dist.	196	145.0	124.4	93.0	80.4	126.0	568.8	113.7	104.2
Coimbatore	Central	1221	1055.1	816.2	645.4	641.2	655.3	3813.2	762.6	647.7
	Dist.	252	137.1	119.8	131.0	150.9	149.7	688.5	137.7	142.9
Cannanore		925	689.9	603.4	576.7	490.0	419.6	2779.6	555.9	407.1
Salem		747 ~~1284~~	599.7	570.7	463.1	426.4	396.9	2396.8	479.3	368.7
Penitentiary		797	729.9	689.0	615.4	591.0	616.0	3241.3	648.3	617.4
(b) Chingleput Dist. Jail		220	135.7	166.3	121.5	87.3	84.1	594.9	118.9	"
Russellkonda		159	125.9	88.9	106.2	96.0	105.0	522.0	104.4	131.8
Berhampore		211	131.0	122.4	113.4	94.3	118.5	579.6	115.9	120.3
Vizagapatam		~~179~~ 219 ~~263~~ ~~187~~ 344	263.0	250.6	181.4	193.9	197.8	1086.7	217.3	144.4
Parvatipur		~~193~~ ~~105~~ 178	105.0	93.9	104.6	120.8	125.0	549.3	109.8	139.3
Guntur		198	198.0	128.9	111.0	158.3	135.6	731.8	146.3	127.9
Nellore		273 ~~257~~	~~0.68~~ 220.3	115.2	124.3	79.0	83.8	622.6	124.5	67.4
Kurnool		132	84.4	95.6	78.7	68.0	76.2	402.9	80.6	59.4
Bellary		392 478 ~~480~~	573.0	414.8	322.0	308.8	309.9	1868.5	373.7	350.2
Cuddapah		197 ~~203~~	163.4	130.7	130.6	100.4	99.4	624.5	124.9	100.2
Cuddalore		~~197~~ 221 364 ~~357~~	256.8	175.2	176.4	169.0	177.0	954.4	190.9	206.5
Tranquebar		136	142.3	126.7	110.7	85.0	"	464.7	116.2	229.
Tanjore		~~216~~ 361 ~~230~~	144.5	147.9	115.6	131.5	260.3	799.8	159.9	
Madura		489 ~~434~~	461.4	262.9	197.9	178.7	241.4	1342.3	268.4	252.
Tinnevelly		335 ~~186~~ ~~278~~	192.2	185.5	207.8	191.0	237.6	1014.1	202.8	266.
Calicut		~~266~~ ~~258~~ 186 ~~230~~	285.5	222.4	163.8	170.8	175.9	968.4	193.7	208.
Tellicherry (b)		180	100.7	68.9	66.3	58.1	49.4	336.4	67.3	
Palghat		399	216.9	"	"	"	"	–		
Mangalore		291	145.0	115.3	134.3	74.4	94.1	533.1	106.6	8
Ootacamund		209	54.8	101.8	136.8	172.7 27	57.0	523.1 478	104.6 95	43
European Prison		40	23.7	26.2	16.8	21.8	11.0	99.5	19.9	11
Civil debtors Prison Madras		~~34~~ 32	19.5	19.2	13.9	21.6	27.2	101.4	20.3	22

(a) Closed in May 1885. (b) " 1885

Cochin	x 4	6.0	~~11~~	.18	.21	.25	6.64	1.33	

153

Raj. Brit.
1st Cent.
Dist. & Offler
Villan Central
Trinity Conflict
Dist.
Gen.
Cath. Dist.
Caius
Sidem
Rainty

	1873	1874	1875	~~Total Average~~
Raj {	82.5	83.3	100.5	
	829.3	859.2	950.4	
Clifton {	183.9	238.5	163.5	
Villan	957.2	958.1	930.1	
Trinity {	933.8	957.8	955.5	
	70.0	82.6	147.3	
Caius {	974.0	1007.9	970.2	
	144.9	201.7	195.8	
Camm	582.6	626.7	687.5	
Sal	544.7	561.0	527.5	

	average 5 years ending 1876	Total 5 years 1876
806	{ 123.9	619.7
	682.4	3412.2
987	{ 154.0	770.0
	832.6	4163.3
727	{ 621.2	3106.2
	105.6	528.0
820	{ 681.1	3405.8
	138.8	694.3
499	{ 499.3	2496.8
433	{ 433.1	2165.8
717.5	{ 625.~~7~~	3128.8
	~~114.8~~ 91.8	5) 459.2
	105.6	527.9
	113.8	568.9
	193.6	968.1
	116.7	583.6
	132.3	661.7
	93.9	469.7
	75.6	377.9
	341.1	1705.7
	112.3	561.3
	180.8	904.1
	107.4	3) 322.4
	176.9	884.6
	226.7	1133.7
	217.6	1088.3
	187.2	936.
	58.9	4) 235.
	95.2	476.
	102.3	571.4
	17.4	87.0
	20.8	104.0

Jail warders at 1 to 7 prisoners + 10 per cent reserve.

or 55

Jails	Jail population: Average of 1886	90 percent of do	on 31st July 1887	No of warders ×: Proportional staff	on 90% of strength in 1886	on strength in 31-7-87		Excluding Chief, Deputy & [illegible] warders (on 1886 strength) 90 p.c. as compared with Propn staff
Penitentiary	617	555	526	53	87	83		+34 ×
Rajahmundry	666	599	571	106	94	90		−12
Vellore	877	789	700	106	124	110 ×		+18
Trichinopoly	783	705	786	106	111	124		+ 5
Coimbatore	791	712	689	119	112	108		− 7
Cannanore	407	366	361	76	7 58	57		− 18
Salem	369	332	459	65	52	72	· Transfer [illegible] to Vellore.	−13 −27
Bellary	350	315	349	60	50	55		−10
Russelkonda	132	118	104	23	19	16		− 4
Berhampore	120	108	92	26	17	14		− 9
Vizagapatam	144	130	135	38	2[illegible]	21	20	−18
Parvatipur	139	125	173	23	20	27		− 3
Guntur	128	115	118	26	9 18	18	18	− 8
Nellore	67	60	87	27	10 8	14	9	−18
Kurnool	59	53	61	18	~~8~~ 9	9	8	−10
Cuddapah	100	90	105	24	14	16		−10
Cuddalore	207	186	186	40	30 ~~29~~	29	29	−11
Tanjore	229	206	190	38	33	30	32	− 3
Madura	253	228	190	40	36	30		− 4
Tinnevelly	266	239	312	42	7 38	49		− 4
Calicut	203	183	216	36	29	34		− 7
Mangalore	88	79	64	21	12	10		− 9
								−128

(increase no doubt due to transfer of prisoners from some of the districts abolished i.e. Trichinopoly & Chittoor

The 7 G's proposed strength was perhaps hardly sufficient [illegible]

4441

4073

× Please see remark on next page — the Penitentiary should be excluded from the statement

7115
392

16/[illegible]

Distribution into grades of permanent warders – (157 + 10 p.c. on 90 permanent strength [illegible]), or 57

Jails.	Chief Deputy & Asst. Warders	Head Warders				Warders					Total Warders Overall	
		Rs 15	Rs 12	Rs 10	Total	Rs 9	Rs 8	Rs 7	Rs 6½	Total		
Penitentiary	6	1	2	3	6	2	6	12	21	41	53 87	Prop.d scale
Rajahmundry	5	4	4	6	14	12	12	24	39	87	106	I.G.
	5	4	4	5	13	10	10	20	36	76	94	Govt.
Vellore	5	4	4	6	14	12	12	24	39	87	106	I.G.
	5	4	4	7	15	12	12	24	42	90	124 ~~110~~	on strength of 1887.
Trichinopoly	5	4	4	6	14	12	12	24	39	87	106	I.G.
	5	4	4	7	15	12	12	24	43	91	111	Govt.
Coimbatore	13	3	4	7	14	12	12	30	38	92	109	I.G.
	13	3	4	7	14	12	12	24	37	85	112	Govt.
Cannanore	3	2	2	4	8	6	9	18	32	65	76	I.G.
	3	2	2	4	8	6	9	12	26	47	58	Govt.
Salem	3	2	2	4	8	6	6	12	30	54	65	I.G.
	3	2	2	3	7	6	6	10	20	42	52	Govt.
Bellary	"	1	3	4	8	5	5	10	32	52	60	I.G.
	"	1	2	3	6	5	5	10	24	44	50	Govt.
Russelkonda	"	1	1	1	3	2	2	4	12	20	23	
	"	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	10	17	19	
Berhampore	"	1	1	1	3	2	2	4	15	23	26	
	"	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	8	15	17	
Vizagapatam	"	1	1	2	4	3	3	6	22	34	38	
	"	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	11	18	20	
Parvatipur	"	1	1	1	3	2	2	4	12	20	23	
	"	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	12	18	20	

The Penitentiary stands by itself – I think it is the intention [illegible] increase [illegible]

Prop.d scale increase by 64% in this case. H.P.

Should chief warder on Rs 15 be excluded in Dist. jails?

I am not certain what the intended this distribution into classes but it was meant [illegible]
H.P. 10/8

I am not sure if this mode of working was meant to be applied. In Central Jails head warders are 1/7 of the whole & in Dist. jails 1/9.

[illegible] increase [illegible] population [illegible]

Ootacamund -
22. Augt. 89 -

Dear Mr. Stokes - I send for your perusal a letter I received on Saturday from the Supt. of the Jail at Guntoor - regarding the hard work the Guards have to do - and asking for 6 temporary Warders - I also send my draft in reply to the above, copy of a telegram I dispatched, and the original of one I have just received in reply to mine -

I hope I shall soon receive

orders - on the revision of the Warder guards - as complaints from Warders of overwork - are coming in - I send you one from Berhampore last month -

Yrs sincerely -

Henry R. Grimes -

CHIEF SECRETARY
22 AUG 37
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

When the draft in circn. comes back it should go in U.S. from here to the I.G. of Jails, sharp.

U.S.

[illegible]

23rd Augt

[0]

DEPARTMENT.

D.O. No. 367

From H. R. Grimes Esqre.

No.

Dated 22nd August /87

Received 22nd do.

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Asking for early orders on revision of the warder guards.

10P

Jail Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

a/85

READ the following

C. Nos. 1009 & 3056 (full), &
1128 /87

A

Order: – 23 Augt /87 No. 934.

This draft is the result of my discussion with Mr. Grimes

22/8

With the first of the foregoing letters the Inspector General of Jails submits a final proposition statement for the Jails ~~finale~~ establt ~~of Jails warders~~ under the new warder guard system. Mr. Grimes proposes to abolish the Police protection guards in all district jails except Kurnool, & thus effects reductions in the scale of pay ~~& others~~ & strength which yield a saving of Rs 890 per mensem, or Rs 10680 per annum.

2. The whole question has now been ~~personally~~ discussed with the Inspector General in

person, and the conclusions arrived at which will be embodied in this order, will it is hoped prove to be a final settlement of the ~~[illegible]~~ matter.

3. The chief fault in the arrangements hitherto made has been the attempt to arrive at a fixed scale of strength without any provision for increase or decrease in proportion to the strength of the Jail population, or ~~the~~ special circumstances. The obvious remedy is to frame a scheme of permanent establishment ~~[illegible]~~ sufficient for what may be regarded as minimum strength, investing the Inspector General with power to sanction temporary ~~establis~~ warders in a fixed ratio to strength. ~~above that minimum~~

^ The Established proportion of guard (head warden & warders) to strength of prisoners will be one to seven, with 10 per cent added for casualties escort, and other duty.

In addition to this Mr. Grimes considers it necessary that Jail Superintendents should have power to entertain temporary men when ~~condemned~~ prisoners ~~have to~~ condemned to death have to be guarded. For each such prisoner a headwarder and three warders have to be detailed and even in the larger Jails this is found a heavy tax on the Establishment. The Govt. accept this ~~principle.~~ recom- ~~[illegible] the~~ mendation; & in future ~~the~~ charges for temporary Estabt. for the guard of condemned prisoners may be passed on the countersignature of the I. G. of Jails. The scale will be that above

^ as these officers now have in the case of lunatics states except that when there are several condemned men one head warder will suffice.

3/ On full consideration the Govt. have decided to organize the permanent staff of each jail at the rate of one warder to every seven convicts in 90 per cent of the strength ~~of each~~ at the close of 1886, which was low. This is slightly ~~above the p~~ below strength according to the most recent returns; ~~in central jails, but below present strength in district jails~~ but at present the jail population is abnormally low owing to the jubilee releases. The Govt. accept the rates of pay proposed by the I.G. viz. Rs 15, Rs 12, & Rs 10 for Head warders, and Rs 9, Rs 8, Rs 7 & Rs 6½ for warders. Temporary warders will be employed at the lowest rate. The pro-

	Strength of 1886 ×·9.	Present Strength
Central	4059	4092
District	2305	2418
	6364	6510

69

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

portion of head warders to warders will be one in seven in Central, & one in nine in district Jails; and the proportion on the several rates of pay, as near as can be, for head warders ¼, ¼ & ½; and for warders ⅛, ⅛, ¼ & ½. Temporary head warders may be entertained in the due proportion when the strength of the warder guard requires it. The I.G. considers that every district Jail must have a head warder on the highest pay, Rs 15; and that on account of day & night duty, no jail, however small the strength of the warder guard can do without less than two head warders.

~~4~~ 5. The scale of warder guards ~~arranged~~ calculated on these principles is shown in the appended statement for each Jail except the Penitentiary, the Ootacamund European Prison & District Jails, the Civil Debtors Prison, & the Cochin Jail. The District Jail of Ootacamund has been abolished and the others are of a special character. Separate orders will be passed regarding the European Prison, and the I. G.'s proposals for the others are sanctioned, except that one European warder on Rs 50 proposed to be retained in the Penitentiary may be dispensed with. The Kurnool Jail is included in the new arrangement, as the strength is again increasing.

5. With regard to the European warders at Coimbatore 10 in number on Rs 20 each the Govt. have retained the present staff and counted them in the calculated strength of the guard; but vacancies should not be filled up by Europeans, nor should temporary head warders be employed while any of these men remain.

6. The other proposals of the Insp. Genl., not noticed above are sanctioned. In working the system now ordered the I. G. will be careful to see whether the necessity for the employment of temporary men applied for in any jail cannot be obviated by transferring convicts to Jails where the Estabt. is in excess, a course which would often be more economical; and he should refuse to

I/24/8

C20/8
R23/8

sanction temporary warders when it appears probable with reference to prospective releases & normal rates of admission that they will be required for a short time only. Provision should of course be made in the budget for temporary establishment in excess of the permanent staff now sanctioned.

7. The cost of the permanent jail Estabt ~~staff~~ now sanctioned is Rs against that of existing staff Rs 1,94,487 Rs 183,807 in Nos given proposition statement. Adding ten per cent of the cost of warders, for temporary men, there will be a saving on present cost of Rs 16,974

Shew proof of this soon please
[illegible]
23/8

(2) Hon. Mr Master
(1) Hon Mr Hutchins

I. G. Jails with proposition statement ackd Sept 19/9

Acct General [illegible] Sept 19/9

169,40 437 per annum

8. With reference to the 12th Section of the Jail Code the I. G. will report why Bellary ranks as a first class jail, whereas its stated capacity (392) would place it in the second class. Cuddalore & Nellore are wrongly placed in the ~~first~~ second class & Mangalore in the fourth. A correct classification of allowances with reference to capacity would result in a saving of Rs 75 per mensem.

Part [illegible] of the Jail Code [illegible] shows that the jails have been classed with reference to average [illegible] to strength of 1866 - present strength & as 5 years the jail under medical officer would be classed as follows.

	Capacity	1866	Present strength	5 yrs	as now classed
1st	1	"	"	"	1
2nd	2	1	1	1	4
3rd	7	3	3	3	4
4th	1	7	7	7	2
	11	11	11	11	11

[illegible] on 86, 5 years or present strength would save R[illegible]

Statement showing the sanctioned scale of warders/guards in each jail excepting the Penitentiary, & the special jails - (Para 5 of 8.D.)

14

Jails	Headwarders Rs 15	Rs 12	Rs 10	Warders Rs 9	Rs 8	Rs 7	Rs 6½	Emer. Warders Rs 20	Total	⅛	warders/guards	Annual cost Rs
Central jails												
Rajamandry	3 ~~2~~	3 ~~2~~	7 ~~6~~	10 ~~7~~	10 ~~9~~	21 ~~18~~	40 ~~36~~		94	13	81	
Vellore	4 ~~3~~	5 ~~4~~	9 ~~8~~	13 ~~13~~	14 ~~14~~	26 ~~27~~	53 ~~55~~		124	18	106	
Trichy	4 ~~3~~	4 ~~4~~	8 ~~7~~	12	12	24	47 ~~49~~		111	16	95	
Coimb	4	4	8	12	12	24	38	10	112	16	96	
Cannanore	2	2	4	6	6	13	24		57	8	49	
Salem	2	2	3	5	6	12	22		52	7	45	
Sanctioned scale No	19	20	39	58	60	120	224	10	550	78	472	
Cost	285	240	390	522	480	840	1456	200	4413	915	3498	52,956
Proposition statement No	19	20	33	60	63	132	247	10	554	72	482	
Cost	285	240	330	540	504	924	1410½	200	4433½	855	3578½	53,202
District jails												
Bellary	1	2	~~4~~ 3	5	6	11	22		50	6	44	
Russellkonda	1	1	X"	2	2	5	8		19	2	17	
Berhampore	1	1	X"	2	2	4	7		17	2	15	
Vizag	1	1	X"	2	3	5	9		21	2	19	
Parvatipur	1	1	X"	2	3	4	9		20	2	18	
Guntur	1	1	X"	2	2	4	8		18	2	16	
Nellore	1	X"	~~X"~~	1	2	2	4		10	1	9	
Kurnool	1	X"	"	1	1	2	4		9	1	8	
Cuddapah	1	1	X"	1	2	3	6		14	2	12	
Cuddalore	1	1	2	3	4	7	13		30	3	27	
Tanjore	1	1	2	3	4	8	14		32	3	29	
Madura	1	2	2	4	4	8	16		36	4	32	
Tinnevelly	1	2	2	4	4	9	16		37	4	33	
Calicut	1	2	2	3	3	7	13		29	4	25	
Mangalore	1	X"	..	2	2	3	5		12	1	11	
Dist. jails Sanctioned scale No.	15	13	10	36	44	82	154	-	354	39	315	
Cost	225	156	100	324	352	574	1001½ ~~994½~~	-	2735½	481	2254½	32784
Proposition statement No	15	19	29	40	46	88	238	-	475	63	412	
Cost	225	228	290	360	368	616	1547	-	3634	743	2891	43,608
Total Sanctioned scale No	34	33	49	94	104	202	378	10	904	116	788	
Cost	510	396	490	846	832	1414	2457	200	7147	1406	5742½	85,740
Total Proposition statement No	34	39	62	100	109	220	485	10	1029	135	894	
Cost	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	1540	2457½	200	8067½	1598	[illegible]	[illegible]

Appendix 14

Chinnasamy
Check this &
fill up blanks
in the Govt figures of
the draft.

Done
19/8

To the C.S.
The point raised in
para 8 of the
original draft
might be noted.
N.P.
19/

15317¼
922½
14394¾
250
14144¾
12
169,737
69

a/15

Proposed Scale	G.O.	Details of establishment.	Proposed Scale	G.O.
No	No		Rs	Rs.
1029	904	Head warders and warders as per the statement	8067½	7148½
		Unaffected items.		
47	47	Clerical establishments	1487¼	1487¼
46	46	Jailors Dy Jailors & Matrons	3820	3820
41	40	European Chief, Deputy & Asst warders	1392	1342
47	47	warders & Head warders in the Penitentiary	355½	355½
20	20	& other special jails	195	195
1230	1104	Total. cost p.m.	15317¼	14348¼
	126	Saving. ~~[illegible]~~ p.m.		969. per mensem = Rs 11,628
	18	Deduct establishment from abolished men on Rs 250/pm =		3,000
	144	Total saving per annum		14,628 Rs

Sanctioned scale including minor prisons & Europ. prisons.

14848¼ − 250 = 14,098¼ Rs.
12
169,179 per annum
−42
169,137

8574
169,137
177,711
194,487
16,776

is called on (in No. 2062 of 7.9.87) to send in.

The order passed on the statement will be in the Genl Dept.

A. P.
23/9

Read again Order of Government, dated
188 , No.

–79 a/

Enclosures.

Two

Current No.

1009
3036
1128 } a

Statement with ditto.

Order, &c. of Government—A.

Papers kept out of File for despatch in original.
(not paged.)

Numbers of copies required.
- Order complete — 70
- Letter only.
- Order complete with Letter.

N.B.—
- A = Print in full.
- B = Not to be printed.
- P = Print abstract only.
- Q = Print heading only.
- R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

1353 and
30-12-86
60
11-1-84
15-9-72 1-1-73

ORDINARY, PRINTED.

Jail DEPARTMENT.

G.O., DATED 23 Aug. /87

No. 934

First copy sent to Press. 26/8

Returned for signature. 3/9

Despatched in print. 10/9

[Despatch Abstract.]

Jail Establishments – Passing orders on proposals in connection with the ——— under the new warder guard system.

This paper has been ret. B.B.
[illegible]