

17-10-39 III
15/10/39

M.R.O. 1-77,004-8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 12

(2) Date of repair 14 - 8 - 46

(3) Initials of the Referencer U

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Finance DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 764

Press
MIS.

Dated 1st May 1876.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

5.7.47.

Municipal—Tolls.

No. 3. Read the following letter from W. LOGAN, Esq., Acting President of the Municipal Commission, Cochin, to the Hon. W. HUDLESTON, Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Chetwayi, 3rd February 1876, No. 33 :—

Referring to G.O., Financial Department, No. 2330, dated 29th November 1875, I have the honor to observe that as the traffic on the canal, which the Cochin Municipal Commissioners wish to toll, is confined to British Cochin, and as the canal does not (as Mr. MacGregor thought it did) form the boundary between British and Native Cochin, the Cochin Government would have no claim to any portion of the toll proceeds.

2. It is true, however, that the toll would fall most heavily on the smaller firms whose warehouses and places of business adjoin the canal, but it must also be remembered that at the present time this canal is a reeking sink, receiving the filth of the most crowded, and (as shown by recent experience in the visitation of cholera) the least healthy portion of the town. In fact, from what I have seen of the town during my recent visit, I should say that until this canal is solidly embanked and its foreshores freed from the garbage with which they are at present covered, that portion of the town is incapable of being put in a satisfactorily sanitary state. It may seem hard to be obliged to levy a toll on the goods of the smaller firms, and if I saw any hope of the Commissioners being able, with their ordinary funds, to accomplish the task of embanking the canal, I would certainly not recommend it, but it is certain that the Commissioners are not in a position financially to effect this improvement at present.

3. I am disposed therefore to recommend the application during a term of years, say ten, of Madras Act I of 1870, in the absence of suitable provisions in the Municipal Act. The toll would be under the management of the Revenue Department, and its proceeds would have to be made over to the Municipal Commissioners as a contribution from the "Canal and Ferry Fund" of the Presidency for expenditure on the canal alone, in accordance with Section 29 of the Act. This contribution would have to be shown separately among the items of Municipal revenue, and a separate annual account would have to be prepared showing expenditure and balance, if any.

4. The expenditure of the funds should be undertaken on some well considered plan for providing landing ghauts and facilities for lading boats, storing timber, &c., and I think that no expenditure should be allowed until the Commissioners, with the help of the Department of Public Works, have submitted their plans for the approval of Government.

No. 4. ORDER THEREON, 1st May 1876, No. 764.

Before finally passing orders on this subject, the Governor in Council desires to know whether the Calvetty channel is an artificial canal, what its length is within Municipal limits, and whether the traffic from the backwater up the channel extends beyond those limits. He desires further to have an estimate of the cost of putting the channel in thorough repair and subsequent conservancy.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
1st May 1876.*

2. The papers will be communicated to the Resident in Travancore and Cochin, who will inform Government whether there is any objection to the proposal on the part of the Cochin Sirkar.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the President of the Municipal Commission, Malabar.
.. Resident in Travancore and Cochin.

Malabar President's Office
on circuit

Chetwayi 3rd February 1876

N^o 33.

From,
W. Logan, Esq.,
As: President of the Municipal
Commission - Cochin

To,
The Honble W. Audleston
Chief Secretary to Government.
St George.

Sir, Referring to G.O. Financial Department
N^o 2320, dated 29th November 1875, I have
the honor to observe that as the traffic
on the canal, which the Cochin Municipal
Commissioners wish to toll, is confined to
British Cochin and as the canal does not
(as Mr Macgregor thought it did) form
the boundary between British and Native
Cochin, the Cochin Government would have
no claim to any portion of the toll proceeds

2. It is true, however, that the toll
would fall most heavily on the smaller
firms whose warehouses and places of business

Govt. of Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To
THE PRESIDENT OF THE
MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,
Malabar.
Ac., Ac., Ac.

Dated May, 1st.
Issued
Recd.
G.O., 1st May 1876, No. 764.

MAY.
Nos. 3-4.

Municipal—Tolls.—Certain information regard-
ing the Calvety Channel called for before passing
orders on the subject of tolling the Cochin Channel.

adjoin the canal, but it must also be remembered that at the present time this canal is a reeking sink receiving the filth of the most crowded, and (as shown by recent experience in the visitation of cholera) the least healthy portion of the Town. In fact, from what I have seen of the Town during my recent visit, I should say that until this canal is solidly embanked and its shores freed from the garbage with which they are at present covered, that portion of the Town is incapable of being put in a satisfactory sanitary state. It may seem hard to be obliged to levy a toll on the goods of the smaller firms, and if I saw any hope of the Commissioners being able, with their ordinary funds, to accomplish the task of embanking the canal, I would certainly not recommend

7
it, but it is certain that the Commissioners are not in a position financially to effect this improvement at present. 3. I am ^{disposed} therefore to recommend the application during a term of years, say ten, of Madras Act of 1 of 1870 in the absence of suitable provisions in the Municipal Act. The toll would be under the management of the Revenue Department and its proceeds would have to be made over to the Municipal Commissioners as a contribution from the "Canal and Ferry Fund" of the Presidency for expenditure on the canal alone in accordance with Section 29 of the Act. This contribution would have to be shown separately among the items of Municipal revenue, and a separate annual account would have to be prepared showing expenditure and balance, if any.

The expenditure of the funds
should be undertaken on some well
considered plan for providing landing
ghauts and facilities for loading boats,
storing timber &c. &c. I think that
no expenditure should be allowed as
until the Commissioners, with the help
of the D.S.D., have submitted their
plans for the approval of Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

W. C. Cogan

As. President.

29 Nov 1875. Mt. 246-47
 19 April 186-47
 13 June 1874 - 100-105

From an circ. 1876/1877
 From the same
 The President. Mount Com.
 Malabar

2. the chief secy: to Govt.
 Maon.

Recd 3 { February 1856

2933.
 29/1/1876 10/1/1876

Reporting with reference to
 G.O. of 19th November 1855
 No 2330 on the subject of
 tolling the Cochin Canal.

+ 10/1/1876
 C.B. 4

Oct 1st May 1876 No 764
 [The proposed in question is the
 proposed ship & fuel for the
 principally depending on the fact. It is
 known that the Calcutty Channel
 is an artificial canal. That the
 depth is within limits. It is
 the traffic from the fresh water of the channel
 & that beyond these limits. L.H. 2.0

the drain for the & from the
 the cost of putting to ground
 is known & again & frequent
 expenses.
 the proposed & which be ground
 of the drainage 3.4. & while in form
 of 2. & which is a
 & the proposed & the
 the part of the & the

26. 10/1/1876
 Malabar 6
 Rest in 2 & 1/2

1st May 1876, No. 764

Nos. 3-4

municipal - Tolls Certain
information regarding the
Galveston Channel called
for before passing orders
on the subject of tolling
the Cochin Channel.