

Financial (1899) Department.

ORDINARY M.S.

G.O., No. 962

DATED 6-9-99

INDEXED.

8/8

[Despatch Abstract.]

Plague Estimates

Requesting the Govr. of Salem to state when the cost of the work at Jalarpet refd. to in go. no. 1057 P. mis. of 10 July 98 was paid, and under what head the expenditure has been shown in the —; and informing him that the rent of the disinfecting apparatus at Jalarpet should be debited to Provincial funds.

P.
9-9-99.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Cus. 3665/99							

Financial (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2838

DESPATCHED
10 AUG 1899

From The collector of
Sales

Order



Ref No. 1449
P.C

Dated 9th August 1899

Received }
Registered }

Enclosures -

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Reporting that the
reasons for
certain alterations
made in the plague
estimates for the
quarter ending 30th
September 1899 are
not understood.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
his	845	and	24-7-99
R	1004	"	12-7-99

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT NO. 2838

SALEM COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

9th August 1899.

Ref No. 1449

FROM

Robert B. Clegg Esq., J.B.S.

Collector and District Magistrate,

DESPATCHED Salem,

10 AUG 1899

To

Chief

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Financial

DEPARTMENT,

Madras.

SIR,

In Para 1 Clause (a) of G.O. No.

845 Financial dated 24th July 1899
 passing orders on the Klague estimate
 of this District for the quarter ending
 30th September 1899 the provision under
 "Petty Construction and Repairs" has been
 raised to Rs 13,750 with reference to
 G.O. No 1031 P his dated 5th July 1899
 and G.O. No 1057 P his dated 10th July 1899
 in which an estimate for Rs 300 for
 Constructing a Kitchen and two
 deviation Statements were sanctioned.
 The deviation Statements referred to
 works already executed and paid for
 and

G.O. 1031 P
5-7-99

G.O. 845 P
24-7-99

and no additional allotment was required therefor. I have the honor to respectfully point out therefore that the necessity for the increased provision is not understood.

2. The provision made against the disinfecting apparatus at Jalapet to meet the monthly rent of Rs 16 charged by the Superintendent P. W. Workshops has been secured only by Government. Am I correct in inferring from this that the rent will not have to be paid hereafter?

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant,

Samuel Chavara

10/8

For ag Collector

Epistley

9-8-88

C. No 2838 from the Collector Salem.

S. O. 845 in (Reg B)
24-7-88

S. O. 904 A File - D
12-7-88

Financial Department

Note

In the plague estimates of the Salem district for the quarter ending 30th September 88, only a provision of Rs 3750 was entered ^{by the collector} in account of Petty construction repairs under Provincial. At the time of reviewing these estimates, G.O. (Ld. A) No. 10578 His dated 10th July 88 sanctioning certain deviations in the works at Jalapet was received as well as another order sanctioning the construction of a kitchen for Rs 300. The cost of these estimates amounted to Rs 11447 + 300 or 11447. As these charges are Provincial a sum of Rs 13750 was provided ^{for petty construction} under that head. Subsequently two estimates for the Jalapet camp have been sanctioned by the Local Department & sent for C. I. to the Collector ^{through the Local Dept} has been asked to state from what source the cost can be met.

The Collector states that the cost of the work ^{refers to above} has already been ~~met~~ ^{met}.

It is not clear when the amount was paid and what head it was debited. No actual expenditure is shown under Perennial during the last quarter. The Collector may be asked to state when this amount was paid & when the actuals are shown in the plague estimates. In any case it is submitted that the provision made may remain to meet any further expenditure in connection with the repair or construction of plague sheds &c at Jalajpet.

2. A sum of Rs 48 for each of the current & succeeding quarters ^{was} ~~has~~ been provided by the Collector ^{under} ~~against~~ "Disinfecting ^{apparatus} ~~machines~~". No actuals were shown in the preceding quarter & no explanation was ^{given} ~~offered~~ for this item. Consequently the allotment of Rs 144 was struck out. It seems from the Collector's letter (para 2) that the item represents the rent charged by the P. W. workshops for the apparatus. This may be met from the sum of Rs 430 provided for each quarter under Miscellaneous.

Rs. 88

Apparently the expend^{re} was met in 1895-96

Cred

A

Note for the C. A.

f.o., L & L, ^{Sanctioning a} ~~per manum~~ rent of Rs 16 1/2 for the disinfecting apparatus at Jalajpet is not traceable. I have enquired the Nagpur.

I have put up a u.o. reference to the L & L department below.

Rs 144-8-88

unsuccessful
See para 2 of the draft
Cred

B.

PA.

Draft as at A. Rs. 144. Where is the order as the monthly rent for the disinfecting apparatus? I shall mail have it. Consult local Dept.

Cred
14-8-88

Indament Dept.



No doubt the expenditure was incurred long ago when the sheds were built at Jalajpet - No harm in asking for details tho, if further facts necessary.

Cred

x nil. f.o. 769 P. dy 8-8-88.

This section correct

24-11-92

NOTE FOR THE Secy. & M.

Fri Cus 2838/88

Para 1 of the draft order

In his estimate for March 1899, the Coltr of Salem showed an expenditure of Rs 16,045 up to Feb 1899 under Local. Petty Construction & Repairs. Most of this money must have been spent on the Jalajpet camp & it is probable that the greater portion, if not the whole, of Rs 11,147 referred to in J. P. 1057 P. dy 10-7-88 is included therein. The reference to f.o. No 1031 P. dy 5-7-88 in the Fri Draft order seems a mistake. The entire expenditure has now become provincial under para 6 of f.o. No 479 Fri dy 4-4-88.

Para 2 of the draft order

The charge referred to here is the rent of a boiler which belongs to Govt. & which has been ~~let~~. Under the old rules, the charges for disinfection were local & therefore the rent was recovered from local funds. The

first

work having since declared that
the whole cost of the inspection
stations should be provincial,
no further rent can be demanded,
the rent already recovered from
local funds should be repaid
under para 6 of G.O. No 479
of 4-4-88. The C.M.'s presumption
that no rent has to be paid
hereafter is correct.

These remarks apply apply
also also to the disinfecting
apparatus at Tumbhadr.

23/8/89

[Signature]

P.W.C. No. C-2
Record at Ootacamund 31-8-89

Financial Department

Note for the C.S.

~~Ref~~
Note on the final draft on C-2838
sent to do for C.C.

Submitted that para 1 of
the draft order below may now issue.
The local department state a note of
'the money must have been spent on the
'Jalapeet camp & it is probable that
'the greater portion, if not the whole, of
'Rs 11147 referred to in G.O. 1057 P.D. 10-7-88
'is included therein'. It is advisable
to have definite information as to
when the amount was paid under
what head it was included.

G.O. 1634 D of 31-8-89

The presumption is correct
and in respect of local fund
are concerned. The rent will
have to be recovered of the
workshop as well as charges.
I believe, to Provincial Direct
under G.O. No. 615 Final of 17-5-81

[Signature]

With the concurrence of the he was asked -

P.W. Dept:-

31-8-89

2. Para 2 of the draft order
may be omitted & the following
may, under the circumstances,
be explained in the Lock up,
The ~~Collection~~ presumption
in the concluding sentence of
of the (P.W. Dept)
para 2 is correct.



[Handwritten notes]
Explained to all
that the order
was not issued
and
T.W.

25/8/89

For Comm. to

AND G.O. No 1276
29-8-89

[Signature]

Wm. L. L. L.

C. No. 2333 From the Collector of Salem, No. 1449, dated 9th Aug: 1899.

P Type
 22
 RC + TS x
 Rec'd for
 comping of
 212
 6-5-58
 5/9/58
 v. m. for 9. 7

C. S.

ORDER No 962 dated 6th Sept 22
Mis.

The Collector of Salem is requested to state when the cost of the works at Jalarpet referred to in G.O.No. ¹⁰⁵⁷~~1031~~ P. is, d/¹⁰⁰~~4th~~ July 1899, was paid and under what head the ~~total~~ expenditure has been shown in the plague estimates.

2. It is observed from para 2 of the Collector's letter that the sum of Rs. 44 ~~45~~ entered ~~in each quarter~~ ^{two} in the recent plague estimates against Disinfecting apparatus represents the ~~quarterly~~ ^{two} rent charged by the P.W. Workshops for the apparatus. The Collector will be requested to report further in regard to the charge. Meanwhile it will be met from the provision under Miscellaneous ²² ~~for the current and succeeding quarters.~~

(With reference to para 2
of this letter the Gov. will
be informed that ^{the} Govt
in the disinfecting apparatus
at Talaspet, which should
^{be paid} continue (should be paid
from Provincial funds

To
The Collector of Salem

^{2nd}
 Dublin (at) (Egan)
 - London (at)

Chris
7/8/99
Deep
6/9/99

118287.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED _____
 189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DIET.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.