

16
500-12-0-29.

G.O., No. 859

DATED 29-7-99

INDEXED.

These Proceedings
have been subsequently printed.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing the A.G. that the presence of the D.G.
of Registration was required on duty at Ootacamund
throughout the period of eleven days that he halted
there in May-June last, and stating that an ex-
emption from the operation of art 1159 of the C.S.R.
should not be granted when the ten days' limit
is only slightly exceeded.

T
31-7-90

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Judicial (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2302



From

The Inspector-General of
Registration,
MADRAS.

Order

Endorsement

Transferred to the Jud. Dept.

G No. 4500

Arduapp

under scrutiny.

Dated 23 June 1899

Received 26. 6. 99

Registered 27. 6. 99.

Enclosures (nil)

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Requesting that Government will
be pleased to authorise the Account-
ant-General to pass the Inspector-
General's travelling allowance for
the whole period of eleven days, du-
ring which he was stayed at Ootaca-
mund.

27/6/99
Recd 28/6/99
Regd 29/6/99

CA

Please see list for order in G.O.

no 1167, Jud, of S. 12. 98.

27/6/99

To the Jud. Dept.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
h	1167	Final	5.12.88
-	505	—	8.6.98
R	103	—	26.1.98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

206—10-7-96.

REGISTRATION DEPARTMENT.

No. 4500

From

The Hon'ble
M.R. P. RAJARATNA MUDALIYAR, AYERGAJ,

DEWAN BAHADUR,

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF REGISTRATION,

Madras,

To

The Honourable Mr: G. Stokes, I.C.S.,

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Stonehouse Hill, Fort St. George.

Madras, Dated 23 June 1899.

189—

SIR,

Referring to Article 1225 of the Civil Service Regulations, I have the honour to report that I went to Ootacamund on the evening of the 26th May 1899, and remained there till the morning of the 7th June current, or in all eleven days. I was engaged in inspecting the Registrar's office, Nilgiris, and examining the progress made in re-writing the indexes of the district according to the Suburbs or divisions formed at the survey. This was ordered last year, with a view to facilitate the grant of certificates of encumbrances which were generally applied for for 35 years or from the time of the creation of the department, and an experienced man, recommended by the local Revenue Officers, was appointed as a temporary clerk to do the work. The Registrar reported in the beginning of May, that the re-writing of the old indexes according to survey necessitated local inspection in some cases, for the purpose of identifying the properties described in documents registered prior to the survey and settlement, and the question had to be enquired into on the spot.

(2.

(3)

2. I saw His Excellency on the 2nd June, and attended the Legislative Council Meeting on the 6th, and left Ootacamund on the morning of the 7th idem. Under the circumstances, I request that Government will be pleased to instruct the Accountant-General to pass my travelling allowance for the whole period of eleven days.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

M. J. Subramanian
Insp: Genl: of Regn:.



Subd to 17287 3rd July 1899.
Referred to the Accountant General for report.

G. H. M.
Chief Secretary

C. S. B.
30. VI. 99

TO BE RETURNED
WITH REPLY.

(4)

Financial (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2551

Order



From *Mr. A. M. Gopal*
Maddur

No. *4557*
4007

Dated *14-7-99*

Received *17*

Registered

Enclosures *C. No 2302 sub A*

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Stating the inability under act. 1225 G. S. R., to pass any travelling allowance to the Hon'ble P. Rajaratna Mudaliar Asst. Inspector General of Registration, under Govt. Officially intimates that his presence at Coorg was required at Coorg on duty.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT NO. 2551

Gazetted Auditor DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,

(5)
No. 9.557
5007

14 July 1899

From

T. HESKETH BIGGS ESQ.,

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government,

Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your Endorsement No. 1728, dated the 3rd of July 1899, referring to me for report letter from the Honourable P. Rajaratna Mudaliar, ⁹ Inspector General of Registration No. 4500 dated 23rd June 1899, I have the honour to state that under article 1225 Civil Service Regulations I am not authorised to pass any travelling allowance to that Officer, unless Government Officially intimates to me that his presence was required at Ootacamund on duty throughout the period of eleven days that he halted there. If such intimation is made to me, he would be entitled to travelling allowance including daily allowance only for ten days of the halt, unless Government is further satisfied that the case fulfils the conditions mentioned in article 1159 as necessary for making an exemption from the ten days rule and makes the exemption.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T. Hesketh Biggs
Accountant-General.

Encl. me

(6) Notes count in 90 889 Jul. 29/7/99

C. No. 2302 From the 24 of Registrars & No. 4500, dated 23. 6 1899.
 " " Jud. Dept. " " 27. 6 1899.
 " 2531 " Acct. G. ent. " 4557 " 14. 7 1899.
 " " " " " 4007 " " " " 1899.

Note for the W.D.

It seems to be for Jud.
 to ~~make~~ make the in-
 -struction required under §
 1225 of the C.S. and referred to
 in the A's letter.

As regards the exemption
 from article 1159, it is
 against the practice to grant
 exemption when the period
 of halt exceeds 10 days
 limit by short periods - in
 this case only one day. If
 Judicial considers that this
 claim should be entertained
 it can transfer this portion
 of the application to Jud.

to Jud. 40.

C.W.D.
29

(7)

The 1st presence in the
 11 days was apparently
 due to his attendance at
 the Regt. Council meeting.
 Judicial is not aware how
 far the attendance of official
 members at Council meetings
 is compulsory. As far as his
 duties under this Dept. are
 concerned the 1st only stayed
 ten days. As this position may
 frequently arise in connection
 with Regt. Council meetings
 held at Ooty - it seems
 desirable to obtain a ruling
 from Regt. that officers
 who are staying there ten days
 for the purpose of attending
 a meeting have been required
 to stay on duty. As far as
 Judicial is concerned in the
 present case the 1st's presence
 seems to have been required on
 duty during the first ten days.

It is not quite plain why this
 practice should be to refuse
 allowances if the cases are
~~stayed~~ the ten days limit.

The principle is that TA
 should be taken as a whole
 18-2-99

hot night
 //
 17/4

(8)

is only a short period. If
 the heat was necessary the
 principle is the same that
 the amount which an officer
 is called upon to pay out
 of his own pocket is Rs 5
 or Rs 50.

18-7-99

To Inaail (us)
 For the C.B.

In order whether
 Legislative may be consulted
 as proposed at A

18-7-99

18-7-99

Ly - 18-7-99

17/4

NOTE FOR THE A.S.

It is submitted that a general ruling to the effect that officers who overstay their ten days halt for the purpose of attending a meeting of the Legislative Council may be considered to have been required to stay on duty cannot be granted. Each case has to be dealt with on its own merits.

In the present case the L. C. of Registration was at Ottacumund in connection with the duties of his own department till the 5th June and he could not well have left the place without attending the meeting of the Council on the 6th at which an important for Bill was expected to be passed. So far as the Legislative Deptt is concerned therefore he has to be considered to have ^{stayed} on duty on the 6th.

Sec⁴ With reference to the note in Public Deptt. There is, so far as is known in the office no ruling that the attendance of official members at Council meetings ^{is} compulsory, but they are considered on duty when they attend such meetings & can claim T.A for attending them. There seems to be no objection to Leg Deptt. passing a general ruling that officers who overstay their ten

The circumstances of each case may be different. Suppose the overstay was for more than 4 or 5 days. The question will arise why the officer could not have so arranged his official tour as to have required no longer stay on the whole than 11 or 12 days.

Pro
22/7/99

Why not?
A.S.

days limit by one day, (as in the present case) for the purpose of attending Leg Council meetings may be considered to have been required to stay on duty, but it seems doubtful whether this Dept. can go further than this. If an officer overstayed his limit of ten days by a week & then attended a Leg Council meeting, this Dept. could ^{probably} not rule that the officer was required to stay on duty for the whole of the week. Such cases may be considered on their merits. Under the ^{Gat. of India Reg. 1890} ~~existing~~ ^{quoted} in para 2 of according to para 2 of the Gat. of India letter no. 2721. S.R. d. 15-6-99 read in G.O. no. 755 Fin. d. 3-7-99 this Gat. has the power to deal with such cases.

Ab I agree with the above.

24/7/99 An officer brought over bag

the 10 days & wait for a Council Meeting
entirely to suit his own convenience.
or on the other hand he might be
required to stay ^{abroad} in connection
with a Bill or Question in Leg.
Council, & therefore each case must
be dealt with on its merits & all
that Leg. Depart. can say is

8000

252-
31-7-99 (12)

30

(1) C. No. 2552 From the D. G. of Registration, No. 4500, dated 23. 6. 1899. (a)
 127 " " Judicial Dept. " " 27. 6. 1899.
 31 " 2557 " Act Encl. " 557 " 14. 7. 1899. (a)
 " " " " 4007 " " " " 1899.

Order W. 859 of 28-7-99.

The A.G. will be informed that the presence of the D.G. of Registration was required on duty at Cotacambur throughout the period of eleven days that he halted there.

2. The Govt. does not consider that an exemption from the operation of article 1159 of the C.S.R. ^{should be granted} ~~is required~~ when the period of halt exceeds the 10 days limit ^{is only slightly} ~~by one day only~~ and exceeds

28. 7. 99

19. 7. 99

127 Reg. (1=9 2=9 3=a)
 Act Encl. (1=a 2=9 3=9)
 Leg. Dept. (1=a 2=9 3=a)

Desp.
18. 99.

copied 23-7-99
Hd

Financial

18

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED _____
189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only