

Financial (1899) Department.

ORDINARY M.S.

G.O., No. 636

DATED 26-5-99

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Requesting the collr of Anantapur to furnish further information as regards the halts made by two Dy Tahsildars and a stationary sub-mag at Guntakal on acct of plague duty, and the necessity for the prolonged halts, and to resubmit the application for their exemption from the operation of article 1159 of the C.S.R. thro' the Bd of Rev. and the AG, and exempting Mr Gahan, Dy collr on Plague duty, and his clerk from the operation of that article for the entire period of their halt at maddikera.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
2455							

Revenue (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 2309

From

The Collector of
Anantapur

Cert.
Order

Transferred to the
Financial Dept.

Ref. No. 353 of 99

Sg. Under Secretary to Govt.
Fort St George
April 1899

Dated 30. 3. 99.

Received }
Registered } 5/4

Enclosures nil

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Requesting to obtain
the sanction of Govt.
for exempting certain
Stationary Sub-majors
-trials and by Jabs
who were on plague
duty at Guntakal
from the operation of
the Act of the C.S.R.
No. 1159.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

Dated 30th March

1899.

Ample No. 353 of 99.

ENCLOSURE.

FROM

Bannatyne Macleod Esq. M.A. Barr at Law

COLLECTOR OF

ANANTAPUR.

TO

THE

Secretary TO GOVERNMENT,
Revenue DEPARTMENTS,

Fort Saint George.

Madras.

SIR,

With reference to M.O. G. O. No. 406, dated 27th January 1899, I have the honour to state that the marginally noted Stationary Sub-Magistrates and Deputy Tahsildars were on plague duty at Guntakal, and that they had to maintain Camp equipage in their halts. I therefore request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government for exempting them from the operation of the Article 1159 of the G. S. R. under which daily allowance may not be drawn for more than ten days of a halt at one place.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

J. M. Hesterbury
for Collector.

31.3.99

15

24/3

99

No.

Date.

Go 406 Rev. Ample 27-1-99

G. 6 2212/96-16-96

No.

Date.

M. R. Ry. & Ragharendra Chakrav. Dy. Secy of Warakonda.
" B. Srinivasa Chakrav. S. S. Magistrate. Tadipatri
" M. Vasudeva Rao, Dy. Tahsildar. Gadikeri

Sta

not

mm

21.4.99

FROM

THE COLLECTOR OF

ANANTAPUR.

To

THE SECY. TO GOVERNMENT,

DEPT.

Fort Saint George.

Dated

Despatched

Received

189

No.

SUBJECT.

Revenue (189⁹) Department.

CURRENT No. 2408

From

The Board of Revenue, Land
Revenue, Madras.

A. No. 48 Ref.

Dated 14th March 1899

Received 9th April 1899

Registered 10th ..

Enclosures /

Spare copies —

SUBJECT.

Requesting sanction of Govern-
ment for the grant of daily allowance
to Mr. C. Gahan, Deputy Collector on
Plague duty in Kurnool and his clerk
for the period of their halts at
Maddikera in excess of the limit of
10 days.

Endorsement
Order

Transferred to the
Financial Department
with an expression of
concurrence in the Board's
remarks

as undersee to (out)

Note

The facts are concisely & fully
stated in the B.P. No. Separable
note is therefore put up.

mm
21-4-99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.O.	498	minis	5-2-96
"	299	"	19-1-99
"	608	"	10-2-99
"	762	"	18-2-99
"	284	"	18-1-99
"	34	Files	14-1-96

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

16 MAR 1899

OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE
(LAND REVENUE),

16 MAR 1899

Madras, 14th March 1899. 189

READ— the following :-

1. Letter from Muhammad Raza Khan Esquire, Collector of Kurnool to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras, C.No.32/10 of 1899, dated 4th January 1899, and Reference thereon No.69 dated 21st January 1899.
2. Also Endorsement of the Plague Commissioner Ref. on C.Nos.1547 & 1900 dated 22nd February 1899 on letter from the Collector of Kurnool, Ref. on C.No.32/10, dated 21st February '99.

Reference No. 48 dated 14th March '99, 1898.

In the first letter read above, the Collector of Kurnool reports that, under his orders, Mr. C. Gahan, Deputy Collector on Plague duty, halted at Maddikera from 7th to 30th December 1898 and that he claims daily allowance for the full period of 34 days for himself and his clerk. It is stated that the Deputy Collector maintained camp equipage during his halt at Maddikera in excess of the limit of 10 days and that his duty is such as to bring him frequently under clause (a) of article 1159 Civil Service Regulations. Mr. Muhammad Raza Khan accordingly recommends that Mr. C. Gahan and his clerk may be exempted from the operation of article 1159 Civil Service Regulations in view to enable them to draw the daily allowance for the period of 14 days from 17th to 30th December 1898. The Plague Commissioner agrees with the Collector so far as the Deputy Collector is concerned. As regards the clerk, no detailed account of the extra expenditure incurred by him has been furnished by the Collector. In its Reference No. 406, Forest, dated 26th October 1898 and No. 1391 dated 6th December 1898, the Board has assigned reasons for the view that the expression "extra expense" occurring in article 1159 Civil Service Regulations should be held to include the ordinary daily charges of an officer on tour apart from the cost of locomotion and, in its opinion, every prolonged halt by an officer on plague duty involves such expense. The Board accordingly

recommends that both the Deputy Collector and his clerk may be granted daily allowances for the period of their halts at Maddikera from 17th to 30th December 1898.

2. In this connection, the Collector suggests that the Plague Deputy Collector and his establishment may be exempted from the operation of article 1159 Civil Service Regulations by a general rule on the ground that these officers will frequently be required to remain for more than 10 days in plague affected villages and that unnecessary correspondence and delay will be caused by obtaining the sanction of Government on each ^{occasion} ~~sanction~~. The case of the Deputy Collector's clerk falls under clause (b) of article 1159 Civil Service Regulations and there is no rule under which a general exemption can be granted under that article. A separate reference to Government will thus in any case be necessary on every occasion when the Deputy Collector is accompanied by his clerk. The Collector's proposal is thus unlikely to save much correspondence. For this reason and also because the concession proposed is liable to abuse, the Board is not prepared to support the Collector's recommendation.

Lonell Davidson

Acting Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department

through the Accountant-General, Madras.

Md. R. I.

No. ~~G. 114~~
121

Fort St. George,
Accountant General's Office,
8th April 1899.

Forwarded to Government.

There is no account objection to Mr. C. Gahan, Deputy Collector on plague duty and his clerk being exempted from the operation of Article 1159 Civil Service Regulations provided Government is satisfied that the conditions laid down in that article have been fulfilled and with reference to the Board's remarks on this subject reference is invited to letter No. 5677 Ex. dated 6th December 1895 from the Government of India, ^{and commerce} ~~Finance~~ Department printed in G.O. No. 34 Financial dated 14th January 1896.

D

W. M. B.
Accountant General.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department.

2/8
8/4

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

C. No. 2309 and 2408

From the Coll^r of Anantapur
& the Board of Rev: L.R.
(tho' the A.G.)

Dated 14.8.99 and 30.3.1899.

FOR ORDERS.

To Under Secy

In G.O. no 118. Dtd
dy 18th January 1899, the
Government sanctioned the
exemption of the Dy Tahsildar
of Unavakonda in Anantapur
from the operation of Art
1159 of the C.S.R. re: halting
allowance, during his em-
ployment on plague duty —
on the ground that he had
to maintain camp equipage in
his halt. Before the order
was issued, the Acct Genl
(us) was consulted as to whether
there was any objection to
its issue and that officer at
the time expressed the ~~following~~
opinion that there was no
objection.

objection except that "if the
"concession be granted in the
"present case there seems to
"be no reason why every officer
"deputed on plague duty away
"from his former headquarters
"should not obtain the same
"concession and other applica-
"tions will follow."

2 As anticipated

by the Act General the Coll.
of Anantapur now makes another

application for exemption from
the operation of the article in
question three additional

officers — two Deputy Tahsildars
and 1 Sub Magistrate — and on

the same ground. The Board
of Revenue also without quoting

the order above referred to
makes a similar application

on behalf of Mr C. Gokhan,
some time plague Dy Coll. Mr.

wol, and his clerk — but only
for a specific period viz from

the 7th to 30th Dec. when they
had in connexion with their

plague duty to halt at Maddur

in

FOR ORDERS.

C No 2309

C No. 2428

17?

in excess of the ten days for
which alone daily allowance
is allowed by Art 1159 of the
CSA. A proposal of the
Collector that the Dy Coll.
and his establishment should
be permanently exempted, the
Board has negatived. As the
appointment of ^{the} Dy Coll. has
already been abolished it is
thought unnecessary to dis-
cuss the merits or demerits
of the proposal.

SPK

Yes

3. The other pro-
posals appear to be on all
four with that disposed of
in the Financial Order of
January last already quoted
orders have to be passed in
the P.O.

MM
27.11.99

Secy

28/4/81

SPK

Spec

File

1775

For Spl No 154 of 3.5.29.

Result in final
found to be
with 100%
and 374 M



Let 325.99

Rev C. No 2309.

The [Mr. gives in particulars of the halts or reasons for their necessity. It is sub. that further information is necessary

Encl Rev C. No 2408

Financial department
C. No 1770
note, at the end of the
the Collector of Amrit
Lahore recommends the extension
to two other Dy. Tahsil Dars
are Stationary Sub-magistrate, of
the exemption granted in G.O.
A No 118 Dated 21.12.98. Dated 18.9.99 to
Dy. Tahsil Dar Abbas and
from the operation of article 1159.
C.O.R. He reports that these three
officers also had during their
halt as plague duty at Gu-
taket to maintain camp equi-
page. Submitted that the ex-
emption was granted.
2. The Board of Revenue for-
wards the recommendation of
the Collector of Kurmool for ex-
emption from the article of Mr
C. Gahani, Dy. Collector on
Plague duty and his clerk,
for the period of 14 days (17.12
to 31. Dec 98) during which
they overstayed at Indraddi

on Plaque duty. The Dy Collector is reported to have maintained his camp equipage all through the halt. Under art 1159(a) the Local Govt has the power to grant the exemption so as to enable the Dy Collector to draw halting allowance for all the days of his halt.

As regards the clerk, the Board of Rev. states that no detailed account of the extra expenditure incurred by him has been furnished by the Collector. It may, however, be presumed that the clerk was put to extra expense by having had to stay at another place for so long a period as 24 days beyond the ten days limit and the exemption ^{may} be granted to him under article 1159(b) C.S.R.

3. The Board of Revenue bases its recommendation for exemption in the case of the Dy Collector and his clerk on the view it holds that the ~~question~~ "Extra expense" in article

The exemptions in these cases appear to be permissible.

Cmb

article 1159. C.S.R. should be held to include the ordinary daily charges of an officer on tour apart from the cost of locomotion and, that every prolonged halt by an officer ~~there~~ involves such expense. It explains its reasons for holding this view in para 4 of its reference no 406 Inst. dated 26th Oct 1898 and in para 2 of its reference no 1391 dated 6th Dec 1898, disposed of in fo no 172 & 173 Inst dated 2nd July 1899. No order was, however, passed on the view held by the Board of Rev. In this connection reference is solicited to notes flagged by in fo. no 172 Inst dated 2nd July 1899.

Recd
12/3/99

For the C.S.

Please send draft below subd.

Cmb for approval.
15757re

17.5.99
26.5.99

- (1) From the Colly of Anantapur, Ref. No. 353, dated 30-3-1899.
 (2) " 2408 (3), " Bo. of Rev. (Land Rev) Ref. A., " 48, " 14-3-1899.
 (3) " (3), " A. G. endt. thereon, " $\frac{5.14}{121}$, " 8-4-1899.
 (4) tail 1775 (4), " Rev. cept., " 154, " 3-5-1899 (B)

17.5.99

ORDER:- Nr 636 of 26-5-99
 is.

H. M. *Wm. Marshall*

M. R. R. C. Raghavendra Charlu
 (Dy. Tahsildar, Uravakonda)
 " C. Srinivasa Charlu
 (Staty. Sub Magte., Tadpatri)
 " M. Vasudeva Row
 (Dy. Tahsildar, Tadiki)

HA
25.5.99

With reference to his letter read above the Collector of Anantapur should furnish further information as regards the halts made by the marginal in whose cases exemption from the provision of halts officers and the necessity for the same. The application should be resubmitted through the Board and the Accountant-General.
 2. Mr. C. Gahan and his clerk will be exempted from the operation of article 1189 of the G.S.R. for the entire period of their halt at Maddikera.

operation of S. 1189 the C.S.R. is surplus

CMB
15.5.99.

To
 The Revenue Dept. (C of 1, 2 & 3)
 " Accountant-General (P of 1, 2 & C of 3)
 " Board of Reve. (L.R.) (A of 1, C of 2 & 3)
 " Collector of Anantapur (C of 1 and para. 1 of 0)

Depd 29.5.99.

Wm. Marshall

When given for copying }
 When copied } 1897
 When examined }
 When signed }

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
 189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.