

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O.           | No.        | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|----------------|------------|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
| 1150/99 - 1000 | 17. 3. 99. |             |       |      |     |             |       |
| 3110/99        |            |             |       |      |     |             |       |

F. 23  
1,000-20-4-98.  
-21

# Financial (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 4

20. 8. 2. 1. 99

2 Judicial. w.o. for favour of opinion  
(Intro.) G.S.  
4.1.9

From

The Govt. of India  
Home Dept.

-Order-- Encl. No. 60, d/5-1-99.

No. 594

Referred to the Inspector-  
General of Prisons for report.

Dated. 10 } - 12 - 98  
Received 24 }

Registered 2 - 1 - 99

Enclosures

Spare copies. 7.

SUBJECT.

Re Travelling allowances of Jail  
warders on transfer.

And. a. b. c.

for Chief Secretary.



S.  
5.1.99

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |

[ F. 23 ]  
1,000-20-4-98.

# Financial (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 4

No. 20, dy 2-1-99.

To. Just. u. o. for favour of opinion

Cut  
2.1.99

*Handwritten signature*

From

Govt of India  
Home Dept

Order Encl. :- No. 60, dy 5-1-99

Referred to the L.G. of  
Prisons for report.

No. 594

Dated 9. 12. 98

Received 24. 12. 98

Registered 2. 1. 99

Enclosures

Spare copies. 7.

SUBJECT.

Re travelling allowances of  
Jail warden on transfer

To Secy. of Prisons. *Copy 5/1/99*  
*Dep. Secy. 6.1.99*

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No.      | Department. | Date. |
|------|----------|-------------|-------|
| 757  | 8:1-9-98 | 8 papers    |       |
|      |          |             |       |
|      |          |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |

FROM

No. 594.

R. NATHAN, Esq.,

Under Secretary to the Government of India,

TO

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF  
MADRAS.

Home Department.

Jails.

Calcutta, the 19<sup>th</sup> December 1898.

SIR,

R  
IN reply to your letter No. 758, dated the 1st September 1898, addressed to the Finance and Commerce Department, on the subject of the travelling allowances of Jail Warders on transfer, I am directed to state that the allowances laid down in Article 1205, Civil Service Regulations, are not admissible to Jail Warders or any other class of inferior servants. The Government of India do not think that it would be advisable to extend the provisions of that Article to any class of inferior servants, since it was meant to meet the case of a different description of persons. In view, however, of the fact that the necessity for the transfer of Jail Warders from one place to another has been recognized, the Governor General in Council is of opinion that the rates of travelling allowance admissible to them on such transfer might be raised. I am to invite the opinion of the Governor in Council on the following scale of allowances which the Governor General in Council is inclined to think would be suitable:—

(1) by railway or steamer—

- (a) a single fare of the lowest class for transfers within 200 miles;
- (b) a double fare of the lowest class when the distance exceeds 200 miles;

(2) by road or boat—

- (a) one anna a mile for transfers within 200 miles;
- (b) two annas a mile by road and one anna a mile by boat when the distance exceeds 200 miles.

I have the honour to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

*R. Nathan*

Under Secretary to the Government of India.

Financial  
**Judicial (189 ) Department.**

**CURRENT No. 529**

UNDER SECRETARY  
PUBLIC & DEPT.  
1 FEB 99

CHIEF SECRETARY  
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS  
1 FEB 1899

**CURRENT No. 52/**

**From**  
The Insptr: Genl of Prisons,  
Ootacamund.

**Endorsement:**  
**Order**

*Transferred to the Financial  
Department with an expression of concurrence  
in the remarks of the Insptr Genl.*

No. 160

**Dated** 27th January 1899

**Received** 1-2-99

**Registered** 1-2-99

**Enclosures one** (Financial Current No 4)

**Spare copies**

**SUBJECT.**

Returning with remarks the  
paper referred to him for report by  
Mr. Knapp's Endorsement No. 60, d/  
5th January 99 re: the grant of  
travelling allowance to warders transfer  
from one Jail to another.

WRP  
2-2-99

Recd 7/1/99  
Dy Secy  
En. No. 529 of 1899

To

The Finl Deptt.

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |

From

A. G. CARDEW, Esq., M.A., I.C.S.,

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF PRISONS,

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

SIR,

Enclosures. /.

In returning the paper referred to me for report by Mr. Knapp's Endorsement No. 60, dated 5th January 1899, I have the honour to state that the scale of travelling allowances suggested by the Government of India would not adequately meet the necessities of the case represented in my letter No. 3874, dated 19th July 1898.

2. The Government of India has now declared that Article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations does not apply to Jail warders, because it was meant to meet the case of a different class of officers. Whatever the intention of the framers of Article 1205 may have been, it is obvious that a Warder on Rs 7, 8, 9, or 10 per mensem needs assistance in moving his family on transfer much more than a clerk on Rs 15, 20 or 25. Both warder & clerk must travel in ninety percent of the transfers, by rail, and the warder cannot find a class lower than the 3rd, which the clerk also uses. While the clerk on Rs 25 can leave his family behind and hire a servant at his destination, the warder on Rs 9 cannot afford a servant, and even if he messes in a family, he has not enough to keep himself in one place and his family in another. The necessity of taking his family with him, is therefore, stronger on the warder than on the clerk, while the cost of doing so is proportionately heavier. From both points of view it is plain that what is needed is more liberal, and not less liberal, treatment for the warder.

not

not adequately meet the necessities of the case.

2. The Government of India has ~~been~~ <sup>been</sup>

laid down in the letter under reply that article 120 of the Civil Ser-

vice Regulations does not apply to jail warders or any other class of in-

ferior servants. It appears, however,

to be obvious that the expenses inci-

dental to a transfer must fall, propor-

tionately, far more heavily on a warder

in receipt of a salary of Rs. 7, 8, 9 or

10 per mensem than on a clerk drawing

Rs. 15, 20 or 25. Both warder and clerk

must travel, in <sup>the great majority</sup> ~~90 per cent~~ of the

transfers, by railway, and the warder

cannot find a class lower than the

third, which the clerk also uses.

Further, whereas the clerk can ~~his~~

leave his family behind him and hire

a servant at the station to which he is

transferred, the warder cannot afford

to take a servant, and even if he

arranges for his board at his new

station he cannot afford to support

himself at one place and ~~at the same~~

~~time~~ maintain his family at another.

The necessity of taking his family

with him is therefore stronger <sup>in the case of</sup>

the warder than of the clerk, while

the cost of doing so is proportionate-

ly heavier.

3. As to the rates proposed I am

to forward the following <sup>abstract</sup> ~~on~~ the point

from a letter from the Inspector-General

of Prisons which shows the inadequacy

of

Insotr: Genl of Prisons.

of the proposals of the Government of India:-

"5. The Govt. of India proposes

"that upto 200 miles a warder should on

"transfer receive only a single fare by

"rail. It is not explained in what way

"it is proposed that the family of the

"~~warder~~ man transferred 200 miles,

"say from Tinnevely to Trichinopoly,

"is to travel. Taking <sup>the</sup> 3rd class fare

"at 2 pies a mile, a journey of 200

"miles by rail will cost Rs. 2-1-4. A

"warder with a wife and <sup>four</sup> children

"under 13, -not at all an out-of-the

"way allowance, - will have to spend Rs.

"6-4-0 on the train fares of his fami-

"ly, and as his pay may be Rs. 7 per

"mensem and cannot be more than Rs. 10

"per mensem, the hardship that will be

"inflicted by such a transfer under the

"Government of India's proposed scale

"is evident. If the journey exceeds

"200 miles, it is proposed to allow a

"single extra 3rd class fare, but

"unless the warder is accompanied by a

"single number of his family, this

allowance will also be insufficient.

"3. The practical effect of the

"present state of the rules, and of the

"adoption of such a scale as that pro-

"posed by the Govt. of India, is to

"cause a transfer to be equivalent to a

"punishment. x x x "

4. The foregoing considerations

<sup>as well as</sup> those set forth in paras. 7 and 8

of my letter No. 758, dated 1st September 1898 convince the Governor in Council that the interests of Jail administration require that a more liberal treatment should be meted out to warders <sup>in this respect</sup> ~~than~~ <sup>with respect</sup> ~~than~~ that proposed by the Govt. of India.

I am therefore to suggest that in any transfer for a journey over 25 miles a warder may be allowed to draw up to 4 <sup>third</sup> ~~last~~ class fares by railway or steamer for the expense of moving any of the following relatives actually living with him, viz. wife, child, mother, father (if over 60), sister or sister-in-law. For journeys by road a scale of 3 annas per mile throughout might be permitted.

*conc'd*  
22.2.99

*SR*  
13/4/99

Note This draft follows the 24<sup>th</sup> arguments which appear to be solved and have been accepted in full. It is subd. that no action is required in para 5 of the 24<sup>th</sup> letter 327.1.99, as there is no necessity to suggest any cutting of the P. A rules to India

*conc'd*

To *SR*

THE Governor in return

*SR*

6.2.99  
R 9-1-99  
R

*SR*

*no*  
*MA*

When given for copying \_\_\_\_\_  
When copied \_\_\_\_\_  
When examined \_\_\_\_\_  
When signed \_\_\_\_\_

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DUTY

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.  
B = Not to be copied.  
P = Copy abstract only.  
Q = Copy heading only

*25*

[ Finl. ]  
6.  
100-24-4-97.

# Financial (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., Nos 364, 365

DATED 13 - 3 - 99

INDEXED.

8/9

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Addressing The Government of India on  
The subject of The travelling allowances of  
Jail warders on transfer.*

PRINTED IN G.O., dated

8-9-1899, No 974 *Final* 13-3-99