Financial (1899) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 210—11

DATED 16-2-99

INDEXED. 16/17

R

C

[Despatch Abstract.]

Expenditure connected with the demarcation of boundaries

Furnishing the G of I with certain information in regard to the expenditure under 25-Pol incurred in excess of buget provision in connection with demarcation of boundaries between the Jeypore estate & the Central Provinces & between Kistna & the Nizam's dominions; [and stating ~~that orders~~ will issue in the Rev dept to ensure that ~~such~~ the expenditure is not incurred without previous budget provision.]

(Letter abst)

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.O. 661 663 } 99	336 A. 8.3.99						

Government (1899) of Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Recd. } 1899. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 210, 10th February 1899.

FEBRUARY.

Expenditure connected with the demarcation of boundaries.

Furnishing the Government of India with certain information in regard to the expenditure under 25. *Political* incurred in excess of budget provision in connection with demarcation of boundaries between the Jeypore estate and the Central Provinces and between Kistna and the Nizam's dominions; and stating that orders will issue in the Revenue Department to ensure that the expenditure is not incurred without previous budget provision.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following papers :—

E

G.O., No. 902, Financial, dated 19th October 1898.

ABSTRACT.—Directing that the Government of India be requested to sanction the necessary additional allotments to cover the expenditure already incurred, and which may hereafter be incurred in excess of the proportional grants under certain heads, and stating that as regards the excess under *Jails* the application of the Inspector-General of Prisons will be awaited.

7

G.O., No. 1226, Financial, dated 15th December 1898.

ABSTRACT.—Communicating to the Accountant-General letter from the Government of India sanctioning an additional grant of Rs. 22,000 under the head "29. SUPERANNUATIONS—*Pensions of the Madras Civil Fund*; and furnishing the Government of India with further information in regard to certain items of expenditure incurred during 1898-99 in excess of the sanctioned grants.

READ—also the following papers :—

Telephonic Message from the Chief Secretary to Government, to the Accountant-General, dated 6th January 1899.

In paragraph 3 of your letter No. 4426, dated 26th September 1898, it is stated that the excess expenditure under 25. *Political—Miscellaneous—Imperial* relates to the charges incurred in the demarcation of boundaries between Jeypore estate and the Central Provinces and between Kistna and the Nizam's Dominions. Please state the amount incurred in the former case and that incurred in the latter, as from paragraph 4 of the Vizagapatam Agent's letter recorded in G.O., No. 1226, Financial, dated 15th December 1898, it appears that Rs. 2,218-11-5 spent on the Jeypore-Central Provinces boundary demarcation out of an allotment of Rs. 3,000 sanctioned in G.O., No. 53, Financial, dated 20th January 1898, was incurred in 1897-98 and not in the current year.

Telephonic Message from the Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 10th January 1899.

Of the sum of Rs. 2,218-11-5 spent on the Jeypore-Central Provinces boundary demarcation stated by the Agent to the Governor, Vizagapatam, to have been incurred in 1897-98, Rs. 521-13-11 only was debited in the accounts for 1897-98, the remainder Rs. 1,696-13-6 being debited in accounts up to September 1898. The latter sum with the addition of Rs. 34 debited in December 1898 or Rs. 1,730-13-6 is the expenditure incurred in 1898-99. A sum of Rs. 1,062-14-5 on this account has been recovered and credited in accounts for December 1898. Rs. 1,384 has been incurred on account of demarcation of the boundaries between Kistna and the Nizam's Dominions—Rs. 1,320 in July 1898 and Rs. 64 in November 1898.

G.O., Mis. No. 203, Revenue, dated 12th January 1899.

Read—the following papers :—

G.O., R. No. 1607, Revenue, dated 17th November 1898.

Endorsement of the Judicial Department, No. 28, dated 3rd January 1899, transferring to the Revenue Department the following letter from the Agent to the Governor, Vizagapatam, R. C. No. 426-Agency, dated 13th December 1898 :—

With reference to G.O., No. 1607, Revenue, dated 17th November 1898, communicated with Government endorsement No. 2622, dated the 24th idem, and in continuation of my letter R. C. No. 426, dated 5th October 1898, I have the honour to report that the Commissioner of Chattisgarh division informs me that the Central Provinces Government agrees with me in thinking that the sum of Rs. 1,062-14-5 being the moiety of expenditure incurred in 1896-97 on preliminary demarcation is recoverable from the States concerned on the Central Provinces side inasmuch as the boundary Commissioner's report does not show that any of the Jeypore claims were wantonly and knowingly baseless, and as the fact of the preliminary demarcation being one-sided was not the fault of Jeypore, and has remitted the amount to me.

2. There is no dispute regarding the payment of a moiety of the expenditure incurred in 1897-98. The Commissioner is still in communication with me regarding its apportionment between the several States on his side and it will be settled shortly.

3. For easy reference I give hereunder an abstract account of receipts and charges for 1896-97 and 1897-98 showing their adjustment :—

Receipts.	RS.	A.	P.
Amount advanced by the Maharaja of Jeypore for expenses in 1896-7	2,200	0	0
Amount advanced by Government for expenses in 1897-98 —*vide* G.O., No. 53, Financial, dated 20th January 1898.	3,000	0	0
Total ..	5,200	0	0
Charges.			
Expenditure in 1896-97	2,125	12	10
" in 1897-98	2,218	11	5
Total ..	4,344	8	3
Balance ..	855	7	9

Of this balance, Rs. 781-4-7 is a portion of the Rs. 3,000 advanced by Government in 1897-98 and was not drawn. The remaining Rs. 74-3-2 is the unspent portion of the Rs. 2.200 advanced by the Maharaja of Jeypore and is still with the Special Assistant Agent.

4. Of the total expenditure of Rs. 4,344-8-3, Jeypore has to pay Rs. 2,172-4-2 and the Central Provinces Government Rs. 2,172-4-1. The amount payable by Jeypore has been adjusted from the amount of Rs. 2,200 advanced by the Maharaja in 1896-97, and the necessary orders have been issued to the Special Assistant Agent to refund to the Maharaja the balance of Rs. 27-11-10 from the sum of Rs. 74-3-2 with him and to forward to me the remaining Rs. 46-7-4 to be credited to Government in adjustment of the Rs. 3,000 advanced by Government for expenditure in 1897-98.

5. Of the sum of Rs. 2,172-4-1 payable by the Central Provinces Government, Rs. 1,062-14-5 have been remitted and this sum has been credited in part-adjustment of the Rs. 3,000 referred to above. The balance of Rs. 1,109-5-8 required to make up the sum of Rs. 2,218-11-5 which was drawn out of the advance of Rs. 3,000 will be credited as soon as it is received from the Commissioner, Raipur.

6. I may note here for the information of Government that the Commissioner, Raipur, has informed me that some expenditure was incurred on his side in 1897–98 for special establishments entertained and I have told him that I am prepared to pay half of the expenditure when ascertained.

Order—Mis. No. 203, Revenue, dated 12th January 1899.

Communicated to the Accountant-General.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General.
,, Financial Department.
,, Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

Telephonic Message from the Accountant-General, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 1st February 1899.

Your telephonic message of the 30th January about the cost of certain demarcations.

	RS.	A.	P.
The expenditure incurred in Vizagapatam on account of demarcation of boundaries between Jeypore State and Central Provinces in 1897–98	521	13	11
The expenditure incurred in Vizagapatam on account of demarcation of boundaries between Jeypore State and Central Provinces in 1898–99 (up to December 1898)	1,730	13	6
Total ..	2,252	11	5
Deduct—amount recovered in December 1898	1,062	14	5
Balance ..	1,189	13	0

Additional allotment is not necessary if the Commissioner, Raipur, pays the balance promised within the official year 1898–99.

Additional allotment to the extent of Rs. 2,000 will be necessary as regards the demarcation of the boundaries between Kistna and Nizam's dominions.

ORDER—No. 210, Financial, dated 10th February 1899.

The Government of India will be addressed.

2. Orders will issue in the Revenue Department to ensure that expenditure is not incurred in the demarcation of boundaries, &c., without previous budget provision. The balance due by the Commissioner of Raipur on account of the expenses connected with the demarcation of the Jeypore-Central Provinces boundary should be recovered and credited within the current official year.

[Here enter letter No. 211, Financial, dated 10th February 1899.]

(True Extract.)

G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.
,, Accountant-General.

Exd. A. Rungaswamy Iyer.

Government (1899) of Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Recd. } 1899. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

Letter, No. 211, 10th February 1899.

FEBRUARY.

Expenditure connected with the demarcation of boundaries.

Furnishing certain information in regard to the expenditure under 25. POLITICAL incurred in excess of budget provision in connection with demarcation of boundaries between the Jeypore estate and the Central Provinces and between Kistna and the Nizam's dominions.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Letter—from

The CHIEF SECRETARY to the GOVERNMENT of
FORT ST. GEORGE,

To

The SECRETARY to the GOVERNMENT of INDIA,
Finance and Commerce Department.

Sir,

With reference to paragraph 2 (i) of Under Secretary Mr. Brunyate's letter, No. 4912-A, dated the 8th November 1898, and in continuation of paragraph 2 of my No. 1227, Financial, dated 15th December 1898, I am directed to furnish the following information in regard to the expenditure under the head 25. Political incurred in connection with the demarcation of boundaries between the Jeypore estate and the Central Provinces, and between Kistna and the Nizam's dominions.

2. Finance and Commerce Department letter, No. 1231-A, dated 12th March 1898, communicated the sanction of the Government of India to an additional grant in 1897–98 of Rs. 5,500 under the head 25. Political—*Miscellaneous Demarcation of boundaries between British Territories and Native State.* This allotment, which had been applied for in my predecessor's No. 188, Financial, dated the 25th February 1898, was intended partly to meet the expenditure expected to be incurred in 1897–98 on the settlement of the boundary dispute between Jeypore and the Central Provinces; but of this expenditure a sum of Rs. 522 only was debited in the accounts of 1897–98, Rs. 1,731 having been adjusted in the current year. The charges in this connection are, however, recoverable from the Jeypore estate and the Central Provinces administration in equal proportions. Out of a total expenditure of Rs. 4,344 (including that for 1896–97 but excluding a sum of Rs. 34 reported by the Accountant-General to have been debited in the accounts of last December), the Jeypore estate has already paid its share, while Rs. 1,063 have been recovered from the Central Provinces and credited in the accounts for December last. The balance due will be recovered and credited during the current official year and no additional allotment will be required in this case.

3. As regards the charges connected with the demarcation of the boundary between Hyderabad territory and the Kistna district, the Board of Revenue in February 1898 applied for an allotment of Rs. 815 which was stated to be required in addition to a sum of Rs. 3,000 sanctioned by this Government in April 1895 for expenditure in this connection. Further enquiries elicited the information that a sum of Rs. 2,388 had been paid on this account in 1897-98 and that Rs. 1,320 were awaiting payment, making a total of Rs. 3,708 to be debited in the accounts of that year. The Government of India was thereupon requested in my predecessor's telegram of the 28th March last to make a further allotment of this amount, but your reply telegram of the 2nd April 1898 intimated that the application arrived too late for action before the end of the year and that the proceedings of this Government would be awaited. The latter were forwarded with Mr. Arundel's endorsement, No. 355, dated 4th April 1898, from which it will be seen that the authorities concerned were called upon to explain the long delay that had occurred in the completion of the work as well as the reason for so large a sum as Rs. 3,708 being debited in the accounts of 1897–98 without previous budget provision or sanction. From reports subsequently received it appears that while the delay was due to the impossibility of inducing local workmen to undertake the demarcation and to the time taken up in consequent negotiations with a European firm, the fact that the original allotment had lapsed with the official year 1895–96 had been overlooked. It further appears that the sum of Rs. 2,388 only was actually spent in 1897–98 and that outstanding bills to the amount of Rs. 1,320 still remain unadjusted. A sum of Rupees 586 is also required to meet the cost of a temporary establishment sanctioned for clearing the boundary line between Hyderabad territory and the Kistna district.

4. In these circumstances I am directed to request that an additional allotment of Rs. 2,000 under 25. Political—Miscellaneous—may be sanctioned.

5. I am to add that instructions are being issued to ensure that expenditure of this description is not incurred in the future without due provision in the estimates.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

Fort St. George,
10th February 1899.

Exd. Md. Phailwan.

Financial (1899) Department.

BC
what about [illegible] full information for 1898?
Acct. General
AW
DC

CURRENT No. 262

From

The Revenue Dept.

Order

No. 203 Mis.

Dated 12th Jany. '99.

Received 18 JAN 1899

Registered 18—1—99.

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Commg. to the Acctt.-Genl. letter from the Agent to the Governor Vizagapatam re: the cost incurred in the demarcation of the Jeypore Central Provinces boundary

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
1226/7 :	15-12-98	SC	
1902/3 :	19-10-98	„	
367 :	13-4-98	Mis	
354/5 :	4-4-98	„	
187/8 :	25-2-98	„	
109 :	5-2-98	„	
53 :	20-1-98	„	
954-5 :	18-11-97	SC	
847 :	11-10-97	Mis	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[F. / 23]
1 —20-4-98.

Financial (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 437

Telephonic message

From
The Accountant-General
Madras.

Order

No.

Dated 1·2·99
Received —do—
Registered —do—
Enclosures
Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Giving an account of the expenditure incurred in Vizagapatam on account of demarcation of boundaries between Jeypore State and Central Provinces.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Copy of letter from the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, No. 4912-A, dated 8th November 1898:-

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 903, dated the 19th October 1898, requesting sanction to additional grants amounting to Rupees 64,000 to meet excess expenditure under certain heads during 1898-99.

2. I am to convey sanction to the additional grant of Rupees 28,000 under the head "29-Superannuations"-"pensions of the Madras Civil Fund" and to request that, with the permission of His Excellency the Governor in Council, further information may be supplied as indicated below in regard to the other items of expenditure mentioned in your letter.

(1) If the expenditure under the head "25-Political", connected with the demarcation of boundaries, was not provided for in the Estimates, it should be explained why it was incurred without specific re-appropriation.

(2) As regards expenditure under "28-Civil Furlough and Absentee Allowances" it is understood that the appointment of Mr. W.A.Willock, I.C.S., as Collector of the Vizianagram Zemindari, is in foreign service, and that the charge to be met is the Government share of privilege leave allowances under Civil Service Regulations 835. If so, the extra grant is sanctioned.

(3) It should be reported whether the charges for remittance of treasure under "32-Miscellaneous" can not be met by re-appropriation.

C.O.
24.11.98.

[G./124]

1,000—11-98.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 3290 From the Govt of India (Ft Commerce) No. 4912-A dated 8th Novbr 1898.

(Copy attached)

W | 21

With reference to para 2(1) of India's letter attached, will the Revenue Dept. please furnish informati as to the expenditure said to have been incurred in the demarcation of the boundary between Jeypore Estate and the Central Provinces. It is observ that the information regard the charges incurred in the demarcation of the boundary betw Kistna and the Nizam's Dominions ~~can be obtained~~ is contained in the papers read in G.O. No. 9 Finl, d 19th October 1898.

Fisk 1/12/98

Cms 4.12.98

1/12/98.

Office keep copy of this with the file on India's letter

To The Rev. Dt. u.o. with copy of Cl.

No. 2750 Cms, d/7-12-98

No. 3290

30.1.97

Rev: Dept

To the Under Secretary

Out of the sum of Rs 3,000 placed at the disposal of the Agent to the Governor, Vizagapatam, to meet the cost of the demarcation of the Jeypore - Central Provinces boundary, a sum of Rs 2218 - 11 - 5 has been expended - vide para 4 of Agent's letter d/ 5-10-98, recorded in G. O. no. 1607 R. 17-11-98

vide G.O. no 53 20-1-98

D in linked file

L 75 C in linked file

G.O. 1226-7 Publ 15-12-98

Secy

10-12-98

RS 10-12-98

10/12/98

CHIEF SECRETARIAT 12 DEC 1898 MADRAS

[G. / 118.]

200-16-12-98.

~~Financial~~ Department.

C. No.

TELEPHONE MESSAGE.

From

The Chief Secretary

~~Chief Secretariat~~,

To

The Accountant General

Dated 30th January 1899.

Please see your telephone message of the 10th instant and G.O. mis no 203 Revenue, dated the 12th instant. The Maharajah of Jeypore having paid the whole and the Commissioner, Raipur, being prepared to pay the balance due from the Central Provinces, of the cost of demarcation between the two tracts, will you ~~kindly~~ please ~~inform~~ say me if there is still any necessity to address the Govt. of India for an additional allotment in this case & if so, for how much

Q Please return to R.C. After despatch.

MA 30-1-99

30/1/99

30.1.99

31/1

16.2

30.1.99

Revenue Department

Fil'd Draft G.O & letter to India dy 3-2-99
for counter initials

To the Undersecretary.

~~The~~ The facts of the case are as stated in the draft letter which may, it is submitted, be counter-initialled.

Uu
6.2.99

RS
6-2-99

6/2/99

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
7-FEB.1899
MADRAS

Circ

Sufi Finl 153 to.
9.2.99.

[G./24]
1,000—15-12-98.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

Read again G.O. No. 902 Finl., d/ 19th Octr 1898 (p) &
G.O. No. ~~123-37~~ 1226 Finl, dated 15th Decr.1898 (p)
C. No. " From the Revenue Dept., No. ...., dated 11-12 - 1898. (g)
Telephonic message to the Acctt. General d/ 6th January 1899 - (a)
" 153 from the Accountant-General, " ...., " 10- 1 - 1899. (a)
" 262 " " Revenue Department, " 203 Mis, " 12 -1 - 1899. (a)
" .... " " ...., " ...., " .... 189 .

ORDER

P.S.

To the Rev. Dt.
" Acctt. Genl.

The Govt.of India will be addressed.

2 Orders will issue in the Revenue Dept. to ensure that expend. is not incurred in the demarcation of boundaries etc without previous budget provision.

LETTER

NB
Issue in ms. to India

To

The Secy.to the Govt.of India,

Finance & Commerce Dept.

Sir,

G.O. No. 1226 Finl. d/ 15 Decr/98. page 2.

L

B

A

Vide Letter No. 902 Finl. d/ 19 Oct. 98

With reference to para 2(i) of Mr. Under Secy Brunyate's letter No.4912 A.,dated the 8th Novr.1898 and in continuation of of para 2 of my ~~letter~~ No.1227 Finl.,d/15-12-98, ~~in regard to the~~ I am directed to furnish the following information in regard to the expenditure under the head 25 POLITICAL ~~connected with~~ incurred in connection with the demarcation of boundaries between Jeypore estate and the

Central

Central Provinces and between Kistna and the Nizam's dominions, ~~I am directed to furnish the following explanation as to how the expenditure was incurred in the current year without specific reappropriation.~~

2. In October 1897 this Govt. sanctioned an advance of Rs.2500 in connection with the boundary dispute between Mysore and the Nilgiri-Wynaad and directed on the advice of the then Acctt.Genl. that the question of re-appropriation be deferred for consideration in February following in connection with the memoranda of altered grants for that year which all heads of depts were directed to submit in view to the necessary re-appropriations being made. In January following a further advance of Rs.3000 was sanctioned in connection with the settlement of the boundary dispute between Jeypore and certain States in the Central Provinces. Upon this the Accountant-General requested that the sanction of the Govt. of India may be applied to an additional allotment of Rs.5500 under 25 POLITICAL to cover the excess expenditure involved in connection with the advances made. As this Govt. considered that the amount was small and could be included among other similar items in the consolidated statements submitted annually to the Govt. of India for sanction under art. 296 of the C.A.Code, the Acctt.Genl.

was

G.O. No 827 Fil. d 11th Octr/97. C

I don't see the need of all this
We are concerned only with
1897-98 expendre only

G.O. No 53 Fil.
d 20th Jany/98.

Vide Mr Arundel's letter No.
188 Finl d/ the 25th February 1898

Mr Under Secy. Brunyate's letter No 1231. A d/12 March 1898 communicated the sanction of the G. of I. to an additional grant in 1897-98 of Rs 5,500 under the head 25 Political. Miscellaneous. Demarcation of boundaries between British territories & Native States.

Vide G.O. No 153 F

Vide G.O. No 262

This ~~[illegible]~~ allotment, which had been applied for in my predecessor's No. 188 Finl d/ the 25th February 1898, was intended partly to cover the ~~[illegible]~~ ~~(Rs.3000)~~ expected expenditure incurred in ~~[illegible]~~ 1897-98

was requested to state why he considered that the sanction of the Govt. of India to a specific allotment in this case was required, seeing that he had included a larger sum (Rs.5895) under ECCLESIASTICAL-IMPERIAL in the statement forwarded with my Endorsement No. 955 Finl., d/13-11-97, and had remarked against it that the amount was trifling. On the Acctt.Genl. however, urging that compared with the respective grants the amount in question was large the necessary application to the Govt. of India for funds was made in Mr. Arundel's letter No.188 d/25-2-98; and Mr. Under Secy. Brunyate's letter No.1231 A., ~~intimated the sanction of the~~ dated 12th March 1898, the additional grant of Rs.5500 required was sanctioned.

2. ~~Of the sum of Rs.3000 sanctioned in January 1898~~ in connection with the settlement of the boundary dispute between Jeypore and the Central Provinces; but of this ~~[illegible]~~ amount, a sum of ~~and referred to in the previous paragraph~~ Rs.522 only was debited in the accounts of 1897-98, Rs.1751 having been adjusted in the current year. The charges in this connection are however recoverable from the Jeypore estate and the Central Provinces ~~Govt~~ administration in equal proportions. Out of a total expenditure of Rs.4544 (including that for 1896-97 but excluding a sum of Rs.54 reported by the Accountant-General to have been debited in the accounts of last month) the Jeypore estate

estate

estate has already paid its share while Rs.1065 have been recovered from the Central Provinces and credited in the accounts for last month.

3 As regards the charges connected with the demarcation of the boundary between Hyderabad territory and the Kistna District, the Board of Revenue in Febry.1898 applied for an allotment of Rs.815, which was stated to be required in addition to a sum of Rs.3000 sanctioned by this Govt. in April 1895 for expenditure in this connection ~~from savings under 5 LAND REVENUE - SURVEY AND SETTLEMENT~~. As it was doubtful whether the sum of Rs.815 only or any portion of the sum of Rs.3000 sanctioned in April 1895 would be charged in the accounts of 1897-98 further inquiries ~~were made and it was then reported~~

Further inquiries elicited the information

that a sum of Rs.2588 had been paid in ~~that year~~ 1897-98 on this account and that Rs.1520 were awaiting payment, making a total of Rs.3708 to be debited in the accounts of that account. ~~this~~ The G of I was requested in my telegram ~~dated~~ of the 28th March last ~~requesting that~~ to make a further allotment of this amount ~~might be made, was despatched~~, but in reply telegram of ~~and it was explained that reappropriation in this case was not applied for earlier as it was understood that the charges would be debitable to 5 LAND REVENUE-SURVEY AND SETTLEMENT. In reply your telegram~~ of the 2nd April 1898 ~~was received, stating~~ intimated that the application arrived too late for action

before

RC

What add! allotment is required then? If Central Provinces have to pay only [illegible] need we give an add! allotment?

Please see G.O. No. 437 from A.G. [illegible] 4/7/99

Cml 29 Aug

Vide G.O. No. 354 Fin. d/4 April 1898

before the end of the year and that the proceedings of this Govt. would be awaited. The latter were forwarded to Mr. Amors with endorsment No.355, d/4th April 1898, from which it will be seen that the authorities concerned were called upon to explain the long delay that had occurred in the completion of the work as well as the necessity for so large a sum as Rs.3708 being debited to the accounts of 1897-98, without previous budget provision or sanction. From reports since received it appears that, while the delay was due to the impossibility of inducing local workmen to undertake the demarcation and to the time taken up in consequence of negotiations with a European firm, the fact that the original allotment had lapsed with the official year 1895-96 had been overlooked. It further appears that the sum of Rs.2588 was only actually spent in 1897-98 and that the amount of Rs.1320 ~~still remains unadjusted and has to be provided for in the current year to meet~~ bills outstanding ~~to that extent. It was subsequently reported~~ still remain unadjusted. ~~that~~ A sum of Rs.586 is also be required in connection with a temporary establishment sanctioned for clearing the boundary line between Hyderabad territory and the Kistna District, ~~the Govt. of India was then addressed in my letter No.903 Finl, of the 19th October~~

Financial

Vide G.O. No. 902 Finl., d/ 19 Octr/98.

Page 4 of do

RC

What is the total required here?

Cml

Rs 1906.

~~October last~~. The Acctt.Genl. has since reported that the amount (Rs.1330) reported to be outstanding was adjusted in July last and a further sum of Rs.64 in Novr.1898.

5. In these circumstances I am directed to request that the additional allotment under 35 POLITICAL-MISCELLANEOUS applied for in my letter No.903 Finl., d/19th Octr.1898 may be sanctioned.

Specify amount?

A

Vide C. No. 153

25/1/99

Urgent

B.C.

See my notes above. This is going to be worked up more. If necessary telephone to the A.G. Meanwhile have draft ready.

K
30/1/99

28/1

G. 24

C 9.2.99
R 9.2.99

No 78
10/2/99

Financial Department.

108

K
L

Read again G.O.No.902,Finl.,d/ 19th Oct. 1898 (P) & ✓
,, ,, ,, 1226,Finl,d/ 15th Dec.1898 (P) ✓
Telephonic message to the Accountant-General d/6th January 1899 (A) ✓

C. No. 153	From the Accountant-General	No. –	dated 10-1-1899. (a)
,, 262	,, Revenue Department	,, 203 Mis	,, 12-1-1899. (a)
,, 437	,, Accountant General	,, –	,, 1-2-1899. (a)
,,	,,	,,	,, 189 .

H.M.
U.S. the Province

Revenue Proce

ORDER No 210 d/10-2-99
P.S.

The Govt. of India will be addressed.

2. Orders will issue in the Rev. Dept. to ensure that expenditure is not incurred in the demarcation of boundaries &c without previous budget provision. The balance due by the Commissioner of Raipur on account of the expenses connected with the demarcation of the Jeypore-Central Provinces boundary should be recovered & credited within the current official year.

A.A.
Senior
9.2.99

To

The Revenue Department.

,, Accountant-General (all yet) in mss

Desp'd 18.2.99

Cms.
2/2/99

Copd + exd
11/2/99

38 Desp'd 18.2.99

N.B. Issue in Ms. to India

Urgent issue.

10/2/99

B.C. See Admin queries read up & dispatch

10/2/99

G.O. No. 1226, Finl., d/15-12-98 page 2

B

LETTER No 211 d/10-2-99 Issue in mss

Copd + exd
10/2/99

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of India,
Finance and Commerce Dept.

Desp'd 11/2/99

Sir,

With reference to para 2(i) of Under Secy. Mr. Brunyate's letter No. 4912 A, dated the 8th Novr. 1898 and in continuation of para 2 of my No.1227

Financial

Finl.,d/15-12-98, I am directed to furnish the following information in regard to the expenditure under the head 25 POLITICAL incurred in connection with the demarcation of the boundaries between the Jeypore estate and the Central Provinces and between Kistna and the Nizam's dominitions.

A Vide Letter No. 903 Finl d/19-10-98

2. Fin. & Com. Dept. letter No.1231 A, dated 12th March 1898 communicated the sanction of the G. of I. to an additional grant in 1897-98 of Rs.5,500 under the head 25 POLITICAL -Miscellaneous Demarcation of boundaries between British Territories and Native State. This allotment, which had been applied for in my predecessor's No.188,Finl,d/ the 25th Feb. 1898, was intended partly to meet the ~~(——)~~ expenditure ~~([illegible])~~ expected to be incurred in 1897-98 ~~in connection with~~ on the settlement of the boundary dispute between Jeypore and the Central Provinces; but of this ~~amount~~ Expendre ~~a~~ sum of Rs.522 only was debited in the accounts of 1897-98,Rs.1731 having been adjusted in the current year. The charges in this connection are, however, recoverable from the Jeypore estate and the Central Provinces administration in equal proportions. Out of a total expenditure of Rs.4344 (including that for 1896-97 but excluding a sum of Rs.34 reported by the Accountant-General to have been debited in the accounts of last ~~(month)~~ December the Jeypore estate has already paid its share, while Rs.1063 have been recovered from the Central Provinces and credited in the accounts for December & last ~~month~~. The balance due will be recovered & credited in the current official year and [illegible] will be required in this case.

H

G

P Vide G. No. 152

Q Vide G. No. 862

Dec?

Say Dec
Last month
now is Jany.
MA

× name the month
MA

3

First copy sent to Press.

11 P

J Vide [illegible] 354 Finl d/ 26-4-98

J

M

J

3. As regards the charges connected with the demarcation of the boundary between Hyderabad territory and the Kistna District, the Board of Revenue in Feby. 1898 applied for an allotment of Rs.815 which was stated to be required in addition to a sum of Rs.3,000 sanctioned by this Govt. in April 1895 for expenditure in this connection. Further enquiries elicited the information that a sum of Rs.2388 had been paid on this account in 1897-98 and that Rs.1320 were awaiting payment, making a total of Rs.3708 to be debited in the accounts of that year. The G. of I. was thereupon requested in my predecessor's telegram of the 28th March last to make a further allotment of this amount, but your reply telegram of the 2nd April 1898 intimated that the application arrived too late for action before the end of the year and that the proceedings of this Govt. would be awaited. The latter were forwarded with Mr. Arundel's endorsement No.355, d/4th April 1898, from which it will be seen that the authorities concerned were called upon to explain the long delay that had occurred in the completion of the work as well as the ~~necessity~~ reason for so large a sum as Rs.3708 being debited ~~to~~ in the accounts of 1897-98, without previous budget provision or sanction. From ~~subsequent~~ reports subsequently received it appears, that while the del due to th

of inducing local workmen to undertake the demarcation and to the time taken up in consequent negotiations with a European firm, the fact that the original allotment had lapsed with the official year 1895-96 had been overlooked. It further appears that the sum of Rs.2,388 only was actually spent in 1897-98, and that outstanding bills to the amount of Rs.1320 still remain unadjusted. A sum of Rs.586 is also required ~~for~~ to meet the cost of ~~connection with~~ a temporary establishment sanctioned for clearing the boundary line between Hyderabad territory and the Kistna District.

Vide G.O. No 902 Finl. d/ 19-10-98. page 3.

K

1324
586
1910

~~5.~~ 4. In these circumstances I am directed to request that ~~the~~ an additional allotment of Rs. 2000 under 25 POLITICAL-MISCELLANEOUS ~~applied for in my letter No.903 Finl.,d/ 19th Oct. 1898~~ may be sanctioned.

A

~~6.~~ 5. I am to add that instructions are being issued to ensure that expendre of this description is not incurred in the future without due provision in the estimates

Fwd 2/2/99

Cond 2.2.99

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.
Order complete. 40
Letter only. 30
Order complete with Letter.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189 , DATED

CURRENT No. Print as marked.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.