

4/2/29

Financial (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 170

Dated 1.2.99

INDEXED.

7/7

[Despatch Abstract.]

Travelling Allowances

Referring the S.R. of Police, to with regard to his request for permission to sanction exceptions to the rule laid down in Pt 1158(a) C.S.R., to the correspondence ending with G.O. 1224, Dml, of 4.6.84, and informing him that ^{Sgt considers} no case has been made out for addressing the Sgt of India on the subject.

Hills.

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Judicial (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3434



From H.A. Stuart Esq. I.C.S.

Ag. Inspector-General of Police
Madras.

CURRENT NO. 3434

Nº 2603

Order endorsement 23-11-98.

Transferred to the
Financial Department

No. 4/70

Dated 5 November 1898.

Received 18-11-98

Registered 18-11-98

Enclosures nil

Spare copies.

A. V. Knapp.

Ag. Under Secretary.

17/11/98

Recd 23.11.98
Reg 23.11.98

BC
21/11/98

SUBJECT.

Suggesting that the
Inspector-General of Police should
be permitted to sanction exceptions
to the rule laid down in Article
1158(a) of the Civil Service Regu-
lations.

The Financial Department.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No. 4791

No. 0-48.

DEPARTMENT.

No. 4170.

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,


Madras, 5th, November 1898.

From H. A. Stidart Esq. I.C. S.

~~M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,~~

Ag. INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

Madras,



The Chief Secretary to Government

Judicial Department.

Through the Accountant-General, Fort St. George.

SIR,

Enclosures.

I have the honour to bring to the notice of Government the hardship caused by the rule in Article II58(a) of the Civil Service Regulations under which no travelling allowance is admissible to a District Superintendent or an Assistant Superintendent of Police for visiting a Police station, otherwise than in the course of a tour, unless he travels at least 20 miles in one day.

2. Recently the Superintendent of Police, Coimbatore, went to inspect the Bolampatty station which is 12 miles from Coimbatore. The station is situated at the head of the Bolampatty valley; the road stops there, and no other station can be reached therefrom except by passing through Coimbatore again. Not having travelled 20 miles in one day or visited two or more Police stations or posts the Superintendent is entitled to no allowance for the 5 days' absence (including the days of journey to and fro) from his head-quarters.

3. Other instances of the same kind have come to my notice.

4. These isolated stations have to be inspected, and, as it is unfair that officers should be deprived of travelling allowance for visiting them, I have the honour to request sanction for the payment of travelling allowance to Mr. Sultt-
-van and I further beg to suggest that the Inspector-General of Police should be permitted to sanction exceptions being

made

made to the rule laid down in Article

would be rare and allowances would not

bona fide exceptions.

1158 (a). Such exceptions

be sanctioned except for

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Douglas Robertson

frAG. Inspector-General of Police.

Exd. RSK

St. 5040

1/1

Fort St. George,

Accountant General's Office,

17th November 1898.

Forwarded to Government.

Under the exception (a) to Article 277 Civil Account Code, the sanction of the Government of India is necessary to the grant of the concession referred to in para 4 of the Inspector General's letter under reference.

W. H. B. P.
Accountant General.

To The Secy to Government,
Judicial Department.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
7th January 1899.

The attention of Government is drawn
to Madras Government Order No. 1224, Insurance
dated 4th June 1884 on a similar representation
from the Inspector General of Police.
The same rule applies to the Police in
all Provinces when no permanent
Travelling allowance is drawn.

W. H. B. P.

Accountant General.

W. H. B. P.



C 26.1-99
230.1-99

Financial Department.

C. No. 3434.

H. M.

FOR ORDERS

+
"No Travelling Allowance, other
"than a permanent monthly
"allowance is admissible to a
"District Superintendent or
"Assistant Dist. Supt. of Police
"in visiting a Police Station, other
"wise than in the course of a
"tour unless he travels at least
"twenty miles in a day -
"1. 'Tour' means a journey which
"involves the absence of the Officer
"from his headquarters for two or
"more consecutive nights, and the
"visitation of two or more police
"stations or posts"

The Inspector General of Police
brings to notice the hardship entailed
by the rule in Article 1158 (a) of the
Civil Service Regulations, ¹¹⁴ instances
the case of the Superintendent of Police,
Coimbatore, who proceeded to inspect the
Balampatty station which is 12 miles
from Coimbatore, and ~~was~~ ^{was} debarred from
claiming travelling allowance for the 5
days' absence (including the days of ^{journey} ~~going~~
~~ing~~ to and fro) from his head quarters,
since he did not travel 20 miles in one
day ^{and} ~~or~~ visit two or more police stations
as required by that article. This, the
Inspector General states, was not pos-
sible ^{as} ~~on~~ the ^{the} ~~station~~ station
^{is situated} at the head of the valley where the road
stops and ~~there is~~ ^{there is} no other station
can be reached ^{from it} ~~without the Superintendent~~
~~passing through the~~ ^{the} head quarters
(Coimbatore) again. The Inspector General's request
that ^{an} ~~an~~ exception may be made in this
case and that he may be permitted to
sanction exceptions in similar cases.
^{appear} ~~would~~ as pointed ^{out} ~~by~~ the Accountant Gener-
al ^{to} ~~require~~ the sanction of the Government
of India under exception (a) to article
277 of the Civil Account Code. On the
other hand it is not clear how the rule
in article 1158 (a) can be said to apply

to the case of Superintendents and Assistant Superintendents of Police in Madras who are not granted, as in Bombay, a permanent monthly travelling allowance which may be said to cover the expenses of short journeys like the present - vide appendix ²⁴ of the Civil Service Regulations, page 57.

~~This fact~~
~~may, it is submitted, be~~
~~represented to the Government of India.~~

pointed out to the Accountant General and his further remarks invited u.o.

Handwritten: Cms. No 21, d/2-1-99.
19.12.98 L. A. S. - u. o.

In favour of u.o.

Handwritten: 12-1-99.

Note for the C.S.

Please see the Aho reply to the u.o reference - flagged. He refers to correspondence of 1884, when a similar request made by the then D. S. was refused by Govt. As regards the applicability of § 1158(a) to Supds and Asst. Supds ~~and~~ of Police in Madras, he says "the

G.O. No 569
14.3.84 - 1224
4.6.84 Int

The difference in the treatment of the same class of officers in different provinces remains, however, the words may be interpreted

I do not see how it can apply or why they should be permitted changing daily allowance to mileage.

I don't see that a case is made out for addressing India. The rule seems quite clear. It is an understood principle that the expenses of travelling are to be met by travelling allowances in the lump, not by a set off of T.A. against each journey.

"same rule applies to the Police in all Provinces when no permanent monthly travelling allowance is drawn". This does not appear to explain why article 1158(a) should be held to apply when no

permanent monthly T. A. is drawn. The same fact as is noticed here in these rules was noted in the officers' pencil notes & flagged in the original of G.O. 1224 Int: 74. June 1884, but no action was taken then.

If it is held that article 1158(a) does apply to Supds. & Asst. Supds. in Madras, there appears to be no case upon which to go to India in face of the opinion expressed by Govt. in 1884, & it will suffice to refer the S. S. to that correspondence.

Handwritten: Cms. For order whether India is to be addressed. 11-1-99.

(1) C. No. 124 From the J. S. Police, No. 4170, dated 5. 11. 1898.
 (2) " " " Acct. Genl. " 5040, " 17. 11. 1898.
 (3) " " " Ins. Dept. " 2603, " 23. 11. 1898.
 (4) " " " Acct. Genl. " —, " 7. 1. 1899.

Order No 170 of 1.2.99
 The J. S. of Police will
 be referred to the correspondence
 ending with No. 1224 Feb.
 14. 6. 84 and will be informed
 that the fut does not consider
 that a case has been made
 out for addressing the G.O. J.
 in the question raised in his
 letter

From Order,
 31. 3. 99

J. S. Police (129 2:9 3:9, 40)
 Acct. Genl. (129 2:9, 40)

Dec'd
 3/2/99

on given for copying
 on copied 2/2
 on examined
 on signed

1899

IN AGAIN G.O., No.
 12)

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DIETS

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BRING READY FOR DEPOSITION
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.