	For what purpose.					
Date taken out.	Department.	C. No.	Year.	Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.

Financial (1899) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 1299

DATED 27. XI. 99

INDEXED.

18/18

[Despatch Abstract.]

Budget Estimates

Accepting, with remark, the —— from the Venble. the Archdeacon of Madras ~~for~~ under Petty Construction & Repairs to Churches and ~~Cemeteries & for~~ under Cemetery Estabts. for 1900-1901

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
3867/00							

Government (1899) of Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Recd. } 1899. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1299, 27th November 1899.

NOVEMBER.

Budget Estimates.

Accepting, with remark, the — from the Venerable the Archdeacon of Madras, under "Petty Construction and Repairs" to churches and under CEMETERY ESTABLISHMENTS for 1900-1901.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

From the Venerable W. WESTON ELWES, M.A., Archdeacon of Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government (through the Accountant-General), dated 20th October 1899, No. 5425.

I have the honour to submit the following estimates for the year 1900–1901 :—

		RS.
(1)	Petty Construction and Repairs to churches	2,669
(2)	Do. do. to cemeteries	6,231
(3)	Cemetery establishments	5,406

2. The increase of Rs. 12 in cemetery establishments is owing to the Resident of Mysore having increased the pay of the malichowkidar of Hassan from Rs. 5 to Rs. 6 per mensem.

3. The increase in the budget for Petty Construction and Repairs to Cemeteries is owing to the Resident of Mysore and the Collectors of Ganjám and Tinnevelly having budgeted for large sums for repairs, &c.

Endt. No. 820—8218-Bud.

Forwarded to Chief Secretary to Government, Financial Department.

2. In the budget estimate, 23-B—Ecclesiastical—Cemetery Establishments, the revised estimate and the account columns against *Establishments* were not filled in, nor was the item "Office Expenses and Miscellaneous" included and budgeted for. These omissions have now been supplied.

3. Appendices to G.O., No. 580, Financial, dated 5th July 1898, do not require the submission of this budget through this office. The Venerable the Archdeacon should have submitted this direct to Government with the certificate regarding the agreement of the actuals booked in his office with those booked in this office.

Fort St. George,
26th October 1899.

(Signed) T. HESKETH BIGGS,
Accountant-General.

From the Venerable the Archdeacon, dated 26th October 1899, No. 5436.

Order—No. 1299, Financial, dated 27th November 1899.

The estimates are accepted.

2. The attention of the Venerable the Archdeacon will be drawn to the remarks of the Accountant-General, and he will be requested to submit future budget estimates direct to Government.

(True Extract.)

G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

To the Venerable the Archdeacon of Madras.
„ Ecclesiastical Department.
„ Accountant-General.
Editors' Table.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

[Appendix.

Detailed Account No. 23-A—ECCLESIASTICAL.—Ecclesiastical Establishments.

		Budget estimate, 1900–1901.	Revised estimate, 1899–1900.	Budget estimate, 1899–1900.	Accounts, 1898–99.
	IMPERIAL.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
	Church of England.				
Supplies and Services—	Petty Construction and Repairs of Churches ..	2,669	1,936	2,282	1,160

Detailed Account No. 23-B.—ECCLESIASTICAL—Cemetery Establishments.

	Numbers. 1899–1900.	Numbers. 1900–1901.		Budget estimate, 1900–1901.		Revised estimate, 1899–1900.		Budget estimate, 1899–1900.		Accounts, 1898–99.	
			IMPERIAL.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Establishment—	93	93	Servants 7 (7), 2 (6), 66 (5), 11 (4), 2 (3,) 1 (2½), 3 (2) 1 (1)	5,406	5,406	5,410	* 5,410	5,394	5,394	5,469	5,469
Supplies and Services—			Petty construction and repairs of cemeteries	6,231	6,231	4,064	4,064	3,718	3,718	4,280	4,280
Contingencies.			Miscellaneous and office expenses	163	163	126	126	88	88	133	133
			Total ..	..	11,800	..	9,600	..	9,200	..	9,882

---

* The increase of Rs. 12 is due to the Resident of Mysore having increased the pay of the Malichowkidar of Hassan from Rs. 5 to Rs. 6 per mensem.

Districts.	Churches. 1896–97.	Churches. 1897–98.	Churches. 1898–99.	Churches. Average.	Churches. Budget 1900–1901.	Cemeteries. 1896–97.	Cemeteries. 1897–98.	Cemeteries. 1898–99.	Cemeteries. Average.	Cemeteries. Budget, 1900–1901.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Anantapur	..	..	..	..	..	9	27	43	26	100
Arcot, North	66	133	79	93	300	240	674	353	422	305
Arcot, South	..	..	..	..	..	— 241	194	215	56	121
Bangalore and Mysore ..	300	..	154	151	100	2,068	707	847	1,207	1,200
Bellary	..	..	25	9	200	10	10	125	48	140
Chingleput	..	..	..	..	..	113	176	175	155	214
Coimbatore	120	60	..	60	100	..	78	141	73	55
Cuddapah	100	..	..	33	50	..	2	70	24	..
Gánjam	77	130	..	69	100	465	403	205	358	728
Gódávari	73	500	338	304	100	1,189	447	812	817	229
Kistna	500	100	..	200	75	46	542	290	293	175
Kurnool	..	..	..	..	100	21	33	70	41	70
Malabar	204	230	..	145	150	301	161	107	190	400
Madura	100	..	..	33	100	65	35	57	52	210
Nellore	13	..	..	4	..	..	..	7	2	52
Salem	— 15	50	71	35	..	31	313	123	156	100
South Canara	76	71	151	99	161	..	68	69	46	69
Tanjore	..	..	93	31	500	518	..	221	246	500
Trichinopoly	..	..	..	..	..	61	68	16	48	5
Trivandrum and Cochin ..	833	—108	226	317	200	..	48	3	17	150
Tinnevelly..	..	336	..	112	..	25	23	..	16	686
Vizagapatam	155	228	..	128	333	80	320	291	230	501
Nilgiris	..	..	..	..	100	..	55	40	32	221
Madras	..	..	23	8	..	..	..	..	..	..
Total ..	2,602	1,730	1,160	1,831	2,669	5,001	4,384	4,280	4,555	6,231

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 1299, FINANCIAL, DATED 27TH NOVEMBER 1899.

Letter from the Venerable the Archdeacon of Madras, No. 5425, dated 20th October 1899.

Endorsement of the Accountant-General, No. Budget 820—8218, dated 26th October 1899.

Note—

In paragraph 2 of his letter, the Archdeacon states that the increase of Rs. 12 in the budget estimate for 1900-1901 under Cemetery Establishment is due to the Resident of Mysore having increased the pay of the malichowkedar of Hassan by Re. 1. These ecclesiastical charges are Imperial, but are borne on the Madras accounts. It seems, therefore, open to question whether the Resident can sanction increases to Cemetery establishments.

2. He may probably have sanctioned the increase in the present case in exercise of the powers of a Local Government with which he is entrusted.

Will the Ecclesiastical Department please look into this matter?

(Intld.) T.H.R.
1-11-99.

(Intld.) C.M.S.
3-11-99.

Ecclesiastical Department u.o.

(Intld.) G.S.
4-11-99.

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT.

In its letter* No. 122, Ecclesiastical, dated 18th June 1880, India decided that the cost of maintenance of cemeteries in non-military stations in Mysore should be debited to Imperial Revenues, and that certain cemeteries in that State, including that at Hassan, should be placed under the control of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore.

2. In 1883 the Archdeacon asked this Government to sanction Rs. 5 per mensem for a malichowkedar for the Hassan cemetery, and though it formed a charge on Imperial, it was at once sanctioned by this Government, though it was cancelled a few weeks later on, on the representation of the Archdeacon that a sum of Rs. 6 per mensem for this purpose had been already drawn for some time through the Resident in Mysore and that his former letter was written under a misapprehension.

G.O., No. 171, Ecclesiastical, dated 14th August 1883.

G.O., No. 205, Ecclesiastical, dated 10th October 1883.

3. In 1888 the Archdeacon submitted to Government a statement of the cemeteries of Madras in which that at Hassan was shown, with provision for a malichowkedar at Rs. 6 per mensem. In the Government Order on this statement the Archdeacon was asked to report as to the control under which the Mysore cemeteries then existed and from what sources the expenses connected therewith were met. His reply was that the charges were met from Imperial Revenues, provision being made in the budgets of the Madras Presidency. The question of reducing the charge

G.O., No. 117, Ecclesiastical, dated 13th August 1888.

Paragraph 6 of the G.O.

See paragraph 2 of Archdeacon's letter, No. 280, in G.O., No. 16, Ecclesiastical, dated 2nd March 1889.

---

* Printed in G.O., No. 124, Ecclesiastical, dated 30th June 1880.

of Rs. 6 to one of Rs. 5, which was the real ground of the reference to the Archdeacon, was, therefore, dropped.* There is no subsequent correspondence showing how the reduction to Rs. 5 was effected, but attention is invited to paragraph 1 of G.O., No. 16, Ecclesiastical, dated 2nd March 1889, in which changes were made in the establishment of another Mysore cemetery. In passing this draft order Government raised the question whether India's sanction to the change should not be first obtained, but it was decided † that this was unnecessary and that a report on the revision in the quarterly statement would suffice.

On the report or suggestion of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore.
(Intld.) R.W.D'E. A.

4. It would be interesting to know when and by what authority the original Rs. 6 for the Hassan malichowkedar got reduced to Rs. 5 and under what orders the Resident has now raised it, again, to Rs. 6; but as it would appear from what is set out in the foregoing note that this Government is competent to pass the increase, perhaps it may be admitted? Or shall the matter be referred unofficially to the Accountant-General for elucidation? Orders are solicited.

(Intld.) F.W.G.
10-11-99.

Submitted that this Government has nothing to do with the matter, and that its previous interference seems to have been due to misapprehension. The cemeteries are in the charge of the Resident, presumably, as successor to the Chief Commissioner. The charges are met from Imperial, merely borne on Madras accounts, and it is for the Resident and the Government of India to sanction increase.

(Intld.) R.W.D'E.A.
11-11-99.

Financial Department—

Examine.
(Intld.) G.S.
11-11-99.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

For the Chief Secretary.

Submitted that the question at issue is the inclusion in an estimate which this Government accepts (or modifies) of an item sanctioned by the Resident regarding which this Government has no information.

The whole budget is *Imperial*, even the Madras items; but the Madras Government deals with it and controls the expense for budget purposes. It is thought that some arrangement should be arrived at with the Resident under which he would report sanctions for the information of this Government. Without some such arrangement, the present system is faulty and is likely to lead to misunderstanding.

Meanwhile it is submitted that the draft order on the estimate may issue.

(Intld.) C.M.S.
14-11-99.

H.M.—

The remedy seems to be to get rid of all connection with the matter. I cannot see any good reason why the Madras Government should have anything to do with it.

(Intld.) G.S.
15-11-99.

---

[*] See note in G.O., No. 46, Ecclesiastical, dated 21st May 1889.

[†] On the analogy of G.O., No. 134, Financial, dated 9th February 1888.

Note by the Hon'ble Mr. Arundel, C.S.I.

Ask the Accountant-General u.o. what his view of the matter is.

(Intld.) A.T.A.
16-11-99.

No. 2984, 17th November 1899.

Accountant-General u o.

(Intld.) G.S.
17-11-99.

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL'S OFFICE,

No. 128, 24th November 1899.

Prior to the rendition of Mysore certain receipts and charges within that Province were brought on to the Madras accounts. The practice has continued ever since, and the non-Imperial items are included in the Madras Provincial Contract, so that the question would involve an adjustment of the contract, which the Government of India would not consider until the time for renewal arrives. The question might be raised then.

(Intld.) T.H.B[IGGS].
24-11-99.

H.M.—

The question may lie over till the next contract no doubt, but India would revise the assignments probably without trouble.

(Intld.) G.S.
24-11-99.

(Intld.) A.T.A.
25-11-99.

55

2/12

Recd 4/12

Government (1899) of Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Checked
asdt
4/12/99

Recd. } 1899. *Enclosures*
Regd. *Spare copies*

strike off carrying
out corrections.

F.O.
6/12/99

5/12/99

G.O., No. 1299, 27th November 1899.

NOVEMBER.

T please see alteration in
docket

Seen & noted
6/12/99

5/12/99

from the Venerable the
Archdeacon of Madras

Budget Estimates.

Accepting, with remark, the — for petty construction and repairs to churches and cemeteries and for cemetery establishments for 1900–1901.

caps

under

under

caps

Cobs/

po.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

From the Venerable W. WESTON ELWES, M.A., Archdeacon of Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government (through the Accountant-General), dated 20th October 1899, No. 5425.

I have the honour to submit the following estimates for the year 1900–1901 :—

		RS.
(1) Petty construction and repairs to churches	.. .. ..	2,669
(2) Do. do. to cemeteries	.. .. ..	6,231
(3) Cemetery establishments	.. .. ..	5,406

2. The increase of Rs. 12 in cemetery establishments is owing to the Resident of Mysore having increased the pay of the malichowkidar of Hassan from Rs. 5 to Rs. 6 per mensem.

3. The increase in the budget for petty construction and repairs to cemeteries is owing to the Resident of Mysore and the Collectors of Ganjám and Tinnevelly having budgeted for large sums for repairs, &c.

Endt. No. 820—8218-Bud.

Forwarded to Chief Secretary to Government, Financial Department.

2. In the budget estimate, "23-B—Ecclesiastical/Cemetery Establishments," the revised estimate and the account columns against *Establishments* were not filled in, nor was the item "Office Expenses and Miscellaneous" included and budgeted for. These omissions have now been supplied.

3. Appendices to G.O., No. 580, Financial, dated 5th July 1898, do not require the submission of this budget through this office. The Venerable the Archdeacon should have submitted this direct to Government with the certificate regarding the agreement of the actuals booked in his office with those booked in this office.

Fort St. George,
26th October 1899.

(Signed) T. HESKETH BIGGS,
Accountant-General.

From the Venerable the Archdeacon, dated 26th October 1899, No. 5436.

Order—No. 1299, Financial, dated 27th November 1899.

The estimates are accepted.

2. The attention of the Venerable the Archdeacon will be drawn to the remarks of the Accountant-General, and he will be requested to submit future budget estimates direct to Government.

(True Extract.)

To the Venerable the Archdeacon. of Madras
" Ecclesiastical Department.
" Accountant-General.
Editors' Table.

for *Chief Secretary.*

Exd. Shaik Karim.

[Appendix.

Cobs

Detailed Account No. 23-A—ECCLESIASTICAL.—Ecclesiastical Establishments.

		Budget estimate, 1900-1901.	Revised estimate, 1899-1900.	Budget estimate, 1899-1900.	Accounts, 1898-99.
	IMPERIAL.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Supplies and Services—	Church of England—Petty Construction and Repairs of Churches	2,669	1,936	2,282	1,160

Cobs

Detailed Account No. 23-B.—ECCLESIASTICAL—Cemetery Establishments.

Supplies Services

Contingencies

	Numbers. 1899-1900.	Numbers. 1900-1901.		Budget estimate, 1900-1901.		Revised estimate, 1899-1900.		Budget estimate, 1899-1900.		Accounts, 1898-99.	
			IMPERIAL.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Establishment—	93	93	Servants 7 (7), 2 (6), 66 (5), 11 (4), 2 (3,) 1 (2½), 3 (2) 1 (1)	5,406	5,406	5,410	* 5,410	5,394	5,394	5,469	5,469
			Petty construction and repairs of cemeteries	6,231	6,231	4,064	4,064	3,718	3,718	4,280	4,280
			Miscellaneous and office expenses	163	163	126	126	88	88	133	133
			Total ..	..	11,800	..	9,600	..	9,200	..	9,882

* The increase of Rs. 12 is due to the Resident of Mysore having increased the pay of the Malichowkidar of Hassan from Rs. 5 to Rs. 6 per mensem.

Districts.	Churches. 1896-97.	Churches. 1897-98.	Churches. 1898-99.	Churches. Average.	Churches. Budget 1900-1901	Cemeteries. 1896-97.	Cemeteries. 1897-98.	Cemeteries. 1898-99.	Cemeteries. Average.	Cemeteries. Budget, 1900-1901.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Anantapur	..	..	..	..	..	9	27	43	26	100
North Arcot	66	133	79	93	300	240	674	353	422	305
South Arcot	..	..	..	..	..	— 241	194	215	56	121
Bangalore and Mysore ..	300	..	154	151	100	2,068	707	847	1,207	1,200
Bellary	..	..	25	9	200	10	10	125	48	140
Chingleput	..	..	..	..	..	113	176	175	155	214
Coimbatore	120	60	..	60	100	..	78	141	73	55
Cuddapah	100	..	..	3 3	50	..	2	70	24	..
Ganjam	77	130	..	69	100	465	403	205	358	728
Gódávari	73	500	338	304	100	1,189	447	812	817	229
Kistna	500	100	..	200	75	46	542	290	293	175
Kurnool	..	..	..	..	100	21	33	70	41	70
Malabar	204	230	..	145	150	301	161	107	190	400
Madura	100	..	..	33	100	65	35	57	52	210
Nellore	13	..	..	4	..	..	..	7	2	52
Salem	— 15	50	71	35	..	31	313	123	156	100
South Canara	76	71	151	99	161	..	68	69	46	69
Tanjore	..	..	93	31	500	518	..	221	246	500
Trichinopoly	..	..	..	..	..	61	68	16	48	5
Trivandrum and Cochin ..	833	—108	226	317	200	..	48	3	17	150
Tinnevelly	..	336	..	112	..	25	23	..	16	686
Vizagapatam	155	228	..	128	333	80	320	291	230	501
Nilgiris	..	..	..	..	100	..	55	40	32	221
Madras	..	..	23	8	..	..	..	..	..	..
Total ..	2.602	1,730	1,160	1,831	2,669	5,001	4,384	4,280	4,555	6,231

a/

l

2/12

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Letter from the Venerable the Archdeacon of Madras, No. 5425, dated 20th October 1899.

Endorsement of the Accountant-General, No. Budget 820—8218, dated 26th October 1899.

Note ~~for the Ecclesiastical Department.~~

In letter

In paragraph 2 of ~~the current~~, the Archdeacon states that the increase of Rs. 12 in the budget estimate for 1900–1901 under Cemetery Establishment is due to the Resident of Mysore having increased the pay of the malichowkedar of Hassan by Re. 1. These ecclesiastical charges are Imperial, but are borne on the Madras accounts. It seems, therefore, open to question whether the Resident can sanction increases to Cemetery Establishment.

2. He may probably have sanctioned the increase in the present case in exercise of the powers of a Local Government with which he is entrusted.

Will the Ecclesiastical Department please look into this matter?

(Intld.) T.H.R.
1-11-99.

(Intld.) C.M.S.
3-11-99.

Ecclesiastical Department u.o.

(Intld.) G.S.
4-11-99.

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT.

In its letter* No. 122, Ecclesiastical, dated 18th June 1880, India decided that the cost of maintenance of cemeteries in non-military stations in Mysore should be debited to Imperial Revenues, and that certain cemeteries in that State, including that at Hassan, should be placed under the control of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore.

2. In 1883 the Archdeacon asked this Government to sanction Rs. 5 per mensem for a malichowkedar for the Hassan cemetery, and though it formed a charge on Imperial, it was at once sanctioned by this Government, though it was cancelled a few weeks later on, on the representation of the Archdeacon that a sum of Rs. 6 per mensem for this purpose had been already drawn for some time through the Resident in Mysore and that his former letter was written under a misapprehension.

G.O., No. 171, Ecclesiastical, dated 14th August 1883.

G.O., No. 205, Ecclesiastical, dated 10th October 1883.

3. In 1888 the Archdeacon submitted to Government a statement of the cemeteries of Madras in which that at Hassan was shown, with provision for a malichowkedar at Rs. 6 per mensem. In the Government Order on this statement the Archdeacon was asked to report as to the control under which the Mysore cemeteries then existed and from what sources the expenses connected therewith were met. His reply was that the charges were met from Imperial Revenues, provision being made in the budgets of the Madras Presidency. The question of reducing the charge

G.O., No. 117, Ecclesiastical, dated 13th August 1888.

Paragraph 6 of the G.O.

See paragraph 2 of Archdeacon's letter, No. 280, in G.O., No. 16, Ecclesiastical, dated 2nd March 1889.

---

* Printed in G.O., No 124, Ecclesiastical, dated 30th June 1880.

For favouring approval before striking off

KG
6/12/55

A.r. Correct issue & see that copies of the G.O. with yellow notes are sent into budget files & also into Proof. Contract file

[illegible]

of Rs. 6 to one of Rs. 5, which was the real ground of the reference to the Archdeacon, was, therefore, dropped.* There is no subsequent correspondence showing how the reduction to Rs. 5 was effected, but attention is invited to paragraph 1 of G.O., No. 16, Ecclesiastical, dated 2nd March 1889, in which changes were made in the establishment of another Mysore cemetery. In passing this draft order Government raised the question whether India's sanction to the change should not be first obtained, but it was decided † that this was unnecessary and that a report on the revision in the quarterly statement would suffice.

On the report or suggestion of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore.
(Intld.) R.W.D'E. A[SHE].

4. It would be interesting to know when and by what authority the original Rs. 6 for the Hassan malichowkedar got reduced to Rs. 5 and under what orders the Resident has now raised it, again, to Rs. 6; but as it would appear from what is set out in the foregoing note that this Government is competent to pass the increase, perhaps it may be admitted? Or shall the matter be referred unofficially to the Accountant-General for elucidation? Orders are solicited.

(Intld.) F.W.G.
10-11-99.

---

* See note in G.O., No. 46, Ecclesiastical, dated 21st May 1889.

† On the analogy of G.O., No. 134, Financial, dated 9th February 1888.

Submitted that this Government has nothing to do with the matter, and that its previous interference seems to have been due to misapprehension. The cemeteries are in the charge of the Resident, presumably, as successor to the Chief Commissioner. The charges are met from Imperial, merely borne on Madras accounts, and it is for the Resident and the Government of India to sanction increase.

(Intld.) R.W.D'E.A[SHE].
11-11-99.

Financial Dept.
Examine (Intd) G.S. 11-11-99

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

For the Chief Secretary.

Submitted that the question at issue is the inclusion in an estimate which this Government accepts (or modifies) of an item sanctioned by the Resident regarding which this Government has no information.

The whole budget is *Imperial*, even the Madras items; but the Madras Government deals with it and controls the expense for budget purposes. It is thought that some arrangement should be arrived at with the Resident under which he would report sanctions for the information of this Government. Without some such arrangement, the present system is faulty and is likely to lead to misunderstanding.

Meanwhile it is submitted that the draft order on the estimate may issue.

(Intld.) C.M.S.
14-11-99.

H.M.—

The remedy seems to be to get rid of all connection with the matter. I cannot see any good reason why the Madras Government should have anything to do with it.

(Intld.) G.S.
15-11-99.

Ask the Accountant-General u.o. what his view of the matter is.

(Intld.) A.T.A.
16-11-99.

[Note by the Hon'ble Mr. Arundel C.S.]

No. 2984, 17th November 1899.

Accountant-General u o.

(Intld.) G.S.
17-11-99.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE.

No. 128, 24th November 1899.

Prior to the rendition of Mysore certain receipts and charges within that Province were brought on to the Madras accounts. The practice has continued ever since, and the non-Imperial items are included in the Madras Provincial Contract, so that the question would involve an adjustment of the contract, which the Government of India would not consider until the time for renewal arrives. The question might be raised then.

(Intld.) T.H.B[IGGS].
24-11-99.

H.M.— no/ 91

The question may lie over till the next contract. No doubt but India would revise the assignments probably without trouble.

(Intld.) G.S.
24-11-99.

(Intld.) A.T.A.
25-11-99.

[F./23 1,000—20-4-98.

Financial (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 3797

From The Ven'ble the Archdeacon of Madras

Order

No. 5425

Dated 20th october 1899

Received
Registered } 27. 10 - 99.

Enclosures one.

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding Budget Estimate for 1900 - 1901 under

(1) Petty Construction & repairs to churches

(2) .. .. cemeteries

(3) Cemetery Establishments

9

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
mis	1218	Finl	13. 12. 98
ord	1062	"	15. 11-98
S.C.	580	"	5. 7-98.

Genl Record pp: 8/11/99

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Finl
1299
27-11-99

9
Finl
1
3797

District	Churches 1896-97	Churches 1897-98	Churches 1898-99	Churches Average	Churches Budget 1900-1901	Cemeteries 1896-97	Cemeteries 1897-98	Cemeteries 1898-99	Cemeteries Average	Cemeteries Budget 1900-1901	Remarks
Anantapur	"	"	"	"	"	[illegible]	27	43	26	100	
North Arcot	66	133	79	93	300	[illegible]	674	353	422	305	
South Arcot	"	"	"	"	"	[illegible]	194	215	56	121	
Bangalore and Mysore	300	"	154	151	100	[illegible]	707	847	1207	1200	
Bellary	"	"	25	~~[illegible]~~ 89	200	[illegible]	10	125	48	140	
Chingleput	"	"	"	"	"	[illegible]	176	175	155	214	
Coimbatore	120	60	"	60	100	[illegible]	78	141 ~~142~~	73	55	
Cuddapah	100	"	"	33	50	[illegible]	-2	70	24	—	
Ganjam	77	130	"	69	100	[illegible]	403	205	358	728	
Godavari	73	500	338	304	100	118	447	812	876	229	
Kistna	500	100	"	200	75	46	542	290	293	175	
Kurnool	"	"	"	"	100	21	33	70	41	70	
Malabar	204	230	"	145	150	301	161	107	190	400	
Madura	100	"	"	33	100	65	35	57	52	210	
Nellore	13	"	"	4	"	"	"	7	2	52	
Salem	-15	50	71	35	"	31	313	123	156	100	
South Canara	76	71	151	99	161	"	68	69	46	69	
Tanjore	"	"	93	31	500	578	"	221	246	500	
Trichinopoly	"	"	"	"	"	61	68	16	48	5	
Trevandrum and Cochin	833	-108	226	317	200	"	48	3	17	150	
Tinnevelly	"	336	"	112	"	25	23	"	16	686	
Vizagapatam	155	228	"	128	333	80	320	291	230	501	
Nilgiris	"	"	"	"	100	"	55	-40	32	221	
Madras	"	"	23	8	"	"	"	~~23~~ "	~~8~~ "	"	
	2602	1730	1160 ~~1137~~	1831 ~~1830~~	2669	5001	4384	4280 ~~4304~~	~~64~~ ~~[illegible]~~ 4555	6231	

Madras }
20. October 1899 }

Totals checked
C.N.B
30.x.99

[illegible] Elwes
Archdeacon of Madras

9
Fil
1
3797

3797 3

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
27 OCT 1899
MADRAS

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT,
TEYNAMPET,
Madras, 20th October 1899.

No. 5425 29/10

From

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
27 OCT. 1899
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

THE VENERABLE W. WESTON ELWES, M.A.,
Archdeacon of Madras.

To

The Honorable
The Chief Secretary
to Government
(Through the Accountant General).

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the following Estimates for the year 1900–1901.

(1) Petty Construction and repairs to Churches Rs 2669
(2) " " " " Cemeteries " 6231
(3) Cemetery Establishments " 5406.

2. The increase of Rupees 12 in Cemetery Establishments is owing to the Resident of Mysore having increased the pay of the Malichowkidar of Hassan from Rupees 5 to Rupees 6 per mensem.

3. The increase in the Budget for Petty Construction & repairs to Cemeteries is owing to the Resident of Mysore and the Collectors of Ganjam and Tinnevelly having budgetted for large sums for repairs &c.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
W. Weston Elwes
Archdeacon of Madras

P.T.O.

22.11.99

1899
Jul
1
3797

3797 3

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
21 OCT 1899

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT,
TEYNAMPET,

clesiastical Establishments

Budget Estimate 1899 - 1900	Accounts 1898 - 1899.		Remarks
2282	1160 ~~1137~~		

l, Cemetery Establishments

Revised Estimate 1899 - 1900		Budget Estimate 1899 - 1900		Accounts 1898 - 18		Remarks
5410	5410	5394	5394	5469	546	The increase of Rupees 12 is due to the Resident of Mysore having increased the pay of the Malichowkidar of Hassan from Rupees 5 to Rupees 6 per mensem.
4064	4064	3718	3718	4280 ~~4304~~	42 ~~430~~	
126	126		88		13	
	9600		9200		98	

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
W. Welch Elwes
Archdeacon of Madras

P.T.O.

~~Supplied~~

Detailed Account No. 23. A. Ecclesiastical

		Budget Estimate 1900-1901	Revised Estimate 1899-1900
Supplies and Services	Imperial Church of England Petty Construction and Repairs	2669 ✓	1936

CHIEF SECRETARY
27 OCT. 1899
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Detailed Account No. 23 B. Ecclesiastical

Fuel 1299
27-11-99

	Numbers		Imperial	Budget Estimate 1900-1901	
	1899-1900	1900-1901			
Establishment	93	93	Servants 7(7), 2(6), 66(5), 11(4), 2(3), 1(2½), 3(2), 1(1).	5406	5406
			Petty Construction and repairs of Cemeteries	6231	6231
			Miscellaneous and office expenses	163	163
			Total.		11800

9
Fuel
1
3797

3797 3

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
2? OCT 1899
MADRAS

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT,

TEYNAMPET,

Madras, 20th October 1899.

No. 5425.

From

THE VENERABLE W. WESTON ELWES, M.A.,
Archdeacon of Madras.

CHIEF SECRETARY
27 OCT. 1899
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

To

The Honorable
The Chief Secretary
to Government
(Through the Accountant General).

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the following Estimates for the year 1900-1901.

(1) Petty Construction and repairs to Churches Rs 2669
(2) " " " " " Cemeteries " 6231
(3) Cemetery Establishments " 5406.

2. The increase of Rupees 12 in Cemetery Establishments is owing to the Resident of Mysore having increased the pay of the Malichowkidar of Hassan from Rupees 5 to Rupees 6 per mensem.

3. The increase in the Budget for Petty Construction + repairs to Cemeteries is owing to the Resident of Mysore and the Collectors of Ganjam and Tinnevelly having budgetted for large sums for repairs &c.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
W. Weston Elwes
Archdeacon of Madras

P.T.O.

Fort. St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
26th October 1899.

No. Bud 820
8218

Forwarded to Chief Secretary to Government, Financial Department.

2. In the Budget estimate, "23 – B Ecclesiastical – Cemetery Establishments", the Revised Estimate and the Account columns against Establishments were not filled in, nor was the item "Office Expenses and Miscellaneous" included and budgetted for. These omissions have now been supplied.

3. Appendices to Government Order No. 580 Financial, dated 5-7-98 do not require the submission of this budget through this office. The Venerable the Archdeacon should have submitted this direct to Government with the certificate regarding the agreement of the actuals booked in his office with those booked in this office.

Accountant-General.

From the V'ble the Archdeacon 8 26-10-99
No 5436

4

~~Financial~~

~~Ecclesiastical~~ (1899.) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~399~~ 3817

CHIEF SECRETARY GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS 26 OCT. 1899

From
The Venerable Wm. Weston Elwes, M.A.
Archdeacon of Madras.

Order

No. 5436.

Dated 26th October 1899.
Received 26 } Oct 99
Registered 27/30
Enclosures nil.
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that the Archdeacon's Budget for petty works & repairs to Churches & Cemeteries & for Cemetery Establishments was sent to Govt. through ~~the Archdeacon~~ Acct Genl with letter No 5426 dated Oct 20 '99.

Nil

This is nil please

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Fils;
Encl

381

CURRENT No. 3~~99~~

ECCLESIASTICAL DEPARTMENT,
TEYNAMPET,
Madras, 26th October 1899.

No. 5436.

From

THE VENERABLE W. WESTON ELWES, M.A.,
Archdeacon of Madras.

To

The Honorable
The Chief Secretary
to Government.

Sir,

With reference to your Memorandum in the Financial Department dated 25th October 1899, I have the honor to state that I forwarded to you through the Accountant General with my letter No. 5426 dated 20th October 1899, my Budget for Petty Construction and Repairs of Churches and Cemeteries and Cemetery Establishments.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
W. Weston Elwes
Archdeacon of Madras.

[F./20]
500-25-9-99.

C 25/11/99
R. 27-11-99.

C 15/11/99
R 17-11-99.

1

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT. 6

1 P

C. No 3797.

NOTE FOR THE Eccl Dept.

In paragraph 2 of the current, the Archdeacon states that the increase of Rs 12 in the ~~[illegible]~~ budget estimate for 1900-1901 under Cemetery Establishment is due to the Resident of Mysore having increased the pay of the mali-chowkedar of Hassan by one Rupee. These ecclesiastical charges are Imperial but are borne on the Madras Accounts. It seems therefore open to question whether the Resident can sanction increases to Cemetery Establishment.

2 He may probably have sanctioned the increase in the present case in

exercise

exercise of the powers of a Local Government with which he is entrusted.

Will the Ecclesiastical Dept. please look into this matter?

CMS 3.X'99

Sd 6-11-99

Eccles. Dept. u.o.

Ecclesiastical Dept

In its letter No 122 Eccl d/ 18-6-80 India decided that the Cost of maintenance of cemeteries in non-Mily Stations in Mysore shd be debited to Imperial Revenues & that certain Cemeteries in that State, including that @ Hassan should be placed under the control of the Chief Commr. of Mysore.

Ptd in G.O 124 Eccl / 30-6-80. C

Please type in rough note. Sharp

2 In 1883 the Archdeacon asked this Govt. to sanction Rs.5 per mensem for a Malichowkedar for the Hassan Cemetery and ~~through~~ though it formed a charge on Imperial it was at once sanctioned by this Govt. - though it was cancelled a few weeks later on, on the representation of the Archdeacon that a sum of Rs.6 per mensem for this purpose had been already drawn for some time through the Resident in Mysore and that his former letter was written under a misapprehension.

G.O.171 Eccl./14-8-83. H

G.O.205 Eccl.d/10-10-83 E

3. In 1888 the Archdeacon submitted to Govt. a ~~list~~ statement of the cemeteries of Madras in which that at Hassan was shown, with provision for a Malichowkedar at Rs.6 per mensem. In the G.O. on this statement the Archdeacon was asked to report as to the control under which the Mysore cemeteries then existed and from what sources the expenses connected therewith were met. His reply was that the charges were met from Imperial Revenues, provision being made in the budgets of the Madras Presidency. The question of reducing the charge of Rs.6 to one of Rs.5, which was the real ground of the reference to the Archdeacon, was, therefore, † dropped. There is no subsequent correspondence showing how the reduction to Rs.5 was effected, but attention is invited to para 1 of G.O. No.16 Eccl. d/2nd March 1889, in which changes were made in the establishment of another Mysore Cemetery. In passing this Draft Order Govt. raised the question whether India's sanction to the change should not be first obtained but it * was decided that this was unnecessary and that a report on the revision in the quarterly statement would suffice.

G.O.117 Eccl./13-8-88 F

Para 6 of the G.O. F

See para 2 of Archdeacon's letter No.280 in G.O.16 Eccl. ~~21.5.89~~ 2-3-89 G.

† See ~~H.M.'s~~ Note in G.O.No. 46 Eccl.d/21-5-89 J

on the ~~sugges~~ report or suggestion of the Dep Commissioner Mysore.

G

* On the analogy of G.O.134 ~~Eccl~~ Fin. 9-2-88 K

4.

49

4. It would be interesting to know when and by what authority the original Rs.6 for the Hassan Malichowkedar got reduced to Rs.5 and under what orders the Resident has now raised it, again, to Rs.6 but as it would appear from what is set out in the foregoing note that this Govt. is competent to pass the increase perhaps it may be admitted? Or shall the matter be referred u.o. to the Acctt. Genl. for elucidation ? Orders are solicited.

J.W.S.
10/xi/99

Submitted that this Govt has nothing to do with the matter, and that its previous interference seems to have been due to misapprehension. The cemeteries are in the charge of the Resident, presumably, as successor to the Chief Commissioner. The charges are met from Imperial, merely borne on Madras accounts, and it is for the Resdt. & the Govt of India to sanction increase.

G.G.
11.11.99.

Exam

~~Endorsement~~ Fnl. Dept

For the CS

~~The~~ Subd. that the question at issue is the inclusion in an estimate which this Govt accepts (or modifies) of an item sanctioned by the Resdt. regarding which this Govt has no information —

H.M.

Ask the A.G. u.o. what his view of the matter is.

16.11.99.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
18 NOV 1899
MADRAS

18/11

5 10 30

The whole budget is Imperial, even the Madras items; but the Madras Government deals with it and [redacted] the expenditure entirely for budget purposes. It is thought that some arrangement should be arrived at with the Resident under which he would report sanctions for the information of this Government. Without some such arrangement, the present system is faulty and is likely to lead to misunderstanding .

C.W.S.
14.11.99.

Meanwhile, it is ordered that the draft below on the estimate may issue.

The remedy seems to be to get rid of all connection with the matter. I can not see any good reason why the Madras Govt. should have anything to do with ~~[illegible]~~ it.

15.11.

A.G. u.o. No 2984 of 17-11-99.

17.11.

No. 1288/24-11-99.

Prior to the creation of Mysore certain receipts & charges within

11

that Province are brought on to the Madras accounts. The practice has continued ever since, & the non-Imperial items are included in the Madras Provincial contract, so that the question would involve an adjustment of the contract, which the Govt. of India would not consider until the time for renewal arrives. The question might be raised then.

24/11

The question may lie over till the next Contract. No doubt but India w. raise the arrangements probably without trouble.

A.M.

25.11.99.

12

[G/24]
500—17-10-9

Financial DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 3797 From the Ven'ble the Archdeacon, No. 5425, dated 20 Octr 1899. (1) (without encl)
„ Endt „ „ Accountant General, „ B.820/8218, „ 26 „ 1899. (1)
„ 3817 „ „ Ven'ble the Archdeacon, „ 5436, „ 26 „ 1899. (9)
„ „ „ „ „ „ 189 .

38

Order No. 1299 of 27.11.99.
P.S.

The estimates are accepted.

2. The attention of the Ven'ble the Archdeacon will be drawn to the remarks of the Acct. Genl and he will be requested to submit ~~the~~ future budget estimates direct to Govt ~~with the omissions pointed out duly attended to~~

~~Eccl. Dept for c.i~~

PRESS, Print ~~heading of~~ Current ~~only~~ as marked in proceedings and treat the Estimate as an appendix to the order.

Cmd 14/11

31-10-99
30/10/99

To the Ven'ble the Archdeacon
„ „ Eccl. Dept
„ „ Acct. Genl
Editors' Table.
— copy given

Despd 8.12.99

35

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despa[illegible]print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED
- Order complete.
- Letter only.
- Order complete with Letter

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED 180 .

CURRENT No.

Print & show proof
7/XI/92

Show proof
M
27/11

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. ..

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.