

Financial (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1125

DATED 13. 10. 98

INDEXED.

4/4

[Despatch Abstract.]

Declining to exempt certain officers ^{of the P.W.D.} who
were required to attend at Masulipatam
to give evidence in the Bapatla taluk
Cutcherry Case from the ten days limit
in art. 1154 of the C.S. R.

T
19.10.98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[F.]
23
1,000-20-7-96.

Financial (1899) Department

CURRENT No. 3

CA.

The limit has been exceeded by only one day only. Such claims are usually rejected. Please see ~~addition put up of~~ ^{XX} ~~Rs. 20 629, Feb, 1895~~ ^{IV} ~~Rs. 165, Feb, 1895~~ ¹² ~~Rs. 17 267.99~~ ¹⁸ ~~Rs. 70 1167, Feb, 1895~~

28.9.99.

From The P. W. D.

CS

Order No 1125 of 13-X-99.
mis

P.W.D. for C.

No. 124 4-D

The government does not consider that the case is one for exemption from the operation of § 1159 of the C. S. R.

(From order below)

16.10.99

17.10.99

Dated 26 Sept. 99

Received 26

Registered

Enclosures -

Spare copies. -

SUBJECT.

Transferring letter from the Superintending Engineer II Circle No 426. of 7.9.99 requesting to apply for the sanction of Rs. under C. S. R. 1159 (a+b) to exempt certain officers who attend at Manipal to give evidence in the Bapatla Taluk Cutcherry Case from the 10 day limit & permit the drawing of travelling allowance for the back of the 11th day.

P. W. D. (with order below) - 8

17.10.99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Mr.	850	Public	26-7-89
Mr.	1167	—	5-12-88

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 12439

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

MEMORANDUM.

Dated 26 September 1899.

Letter from the Superintending Engineer, II Circle,
No. 426 W, dated 7th September, 1899.

Note thereon by the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., No. d/

Approved and recommended.

(Signed) W.B. deWinton.

Chief Engineer.

Endorsement thereon No. 12440/26-9-1899.

Transferred to the Financial department.

Secretary to Government.

To

The Financial Department.

NOTE-

The officers in this case, whose exemption from the ten days limit in art. 1159 of the C.S.A. is sought, were required to attend at Masulipatam to give evidence on behalf of Govt. in the Bapatla taluk cutcherry case. Two of them are shown as having maintained camp equipage at a total cost of Rs. 1-5-0 and they all hired conveyances to take them to and from court at a cost of Rs. 1-5-0.

In this case the ten days limit has been exceeded by one day only and it is submitted that the exemption is inadmissible.

*Cust
3/24
Yr. 11/2/21*

C.No. 5406.

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.

189

, DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only