

FOR WHAT PURPOSE.

Date taken out.

Depart-
ment.

C. No.

Year.

Initials of
clerk taking
the paper or

Date returned.

Initials of
attender.[Finl.
16]
500-12-4-99.

Financial (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1040

DATED 25.9.88

INDEXED.

44/14

[Despatch Abstract.]

Agreeing with the folk. of Vizagapatam
in considering that there is no reason
for granting any fee to the medical
officer at Brinchipatam for inspecting
the vessels arriving at that Port.

CURRENT No. ^{973.} ~~1892 P.~~



To Marine Dept U.S. for favour
of remarks in continuation of
office note 29. 6. 98. Ref: Cno:
Pis cno: 4853 + Cno: 1278 P.

From

The Collector of
Vizagapatam

No. 4887

d. g. g.
 12/1/89
 Order
 600
 1527-99.
 2 203788 of 25-7-99

To the Presidency Post office
(unofficially)

Please state whether
the medical officer is
entitled to any special
fee for plague inspection
duties in connection with
Shipping -

Dated

Received 12 JUL 1899

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

1 Beehunter Forwarding letter from the
Secretary to G. H. Chairman Municipal Council
Marine Dept. Bimlipatan applying for the

sanction of Government to the
grant of a local allowance to
the Civil Apothecary in the Municipality
for doing additional plague work

$$\begin{array}{r} \text{No. } 949 \\ \hline 279 \end{array}$$
 Ref. No. 378 E, of 25th July 1899

At Bimlipatam The
trip

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

trip to vessels in the Road-
stead is a somewhat ar-
duous task and attended
with some risk owing to
the state of the sea; and I
think therefore that the
medical officer engaged
in plague inspection of
vessels should receive a
small fee as compensation
for his trouble. At Cocanada
a fee of Rs 5 has been fixed
for each vessel inspected.
See G.O. N^o 515, Marine, dated
17th June 1898. I would
propose that at Binli-
patam the fee be fixed
at Rs 5 the medical
officer to find his own
boat (the boathire for
1 trip being Rs 2-4-0).

W. B. J. J. J.

Deputy Port Officer,
Madras, } Commander, R. S. M.,
5th August 1899 } Deputy Port Officer

To
The Secretary to Govt
Marine Department
(Unofficially)

LC no 909 off

3

Local & Munl. (1899) Department.

LC no 909 off

CURRENT No.

Forwarded through the Collector, Vizagapatam

Vizagapatam }
20th June 1899. } W. S. S. S. S.

Order

Cur. No. 1007 of 1899
COLLECTOR'S OFFICE, VIZAGAPATAM.

From

W. H. B. Lydenham Esquire,
Chairman Delegate, M.C.
Bimlipatam.

No. 101
26 JUN 1899

Dated 24th June 1899.

Received

Registered

Enclosures /

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Regarding the Local -
allowance to the Civil Apothecary
in charge of the Municipal
Hospital, Bimlipatam for
inspecting vessels etc. coming
from Plague infected parts,
as per G.O. No 7 Public dated
3rd January 1899.

Forwarded
to the Local
Should be sent for Public
W. S. S. S. S.
By Collector

8-785

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

4

Copy of the resolution of the
Bimilipatam Municipal Council,
dated 15th June 1899.

Read Endorsement No 989 of the
District Medical and Sanitary Officer,
Vizagapatam on the letter of the Civil
Apothecary of this place recommending that
the allowance of the Medical Officer, attached
to this Hospital may be granted as per G.O.
No 7. Public dated 3rd January 1899.

Resolved that Government
sanction be first obtained and a copy of
G.O. No: 7 be written for.

(sd) W. H. B. Lydenham,
Chairman Delegate, M.C.

(True copy)

Municipal Officer,
Bimilipatam.
24th June 1899. } W. H. B. Lydenham
Chairman Delegate, M.C.

21/ 22/ 23/ 24/ 25/ 26/ 27/ 28/ 29/ 30/ 31/ 1899

C No 1892 P

No: 101

5

Municipal Office,
Pimlipatam.

24th June 1899.

From

W. H. B. Sydenham Esquire,
Chairman Delegate, M.C.,
Pimlipatam.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Local & Municipal Depart.,
Madras.

through The Principal Assistant Collector
Vizianagaram.

Sir

I have the honor to inform you that the Medical Officer of this place applied to the District Medical and Sanitary Officer, Vizagapatam, on the strength of the G.O. No. 7 Public, dated 3rd January 1899, to recommend him for a local allowance as he has been inspecting all vessels coming from Calcutta and direct from Bombay and granting passports to passengers landing from plague infected ports, &c. The District Medical and Sanitary Officer, Vizagapatam, forwarded the same to me recommending that the allowance claimed by the Medical Officer, may be granted. It was duly placed before the Council for sanction at its meeting held on 15th inst. and resolved that the sanction of the Government be first obtained. I therefore request you will be good enough to accord the sanction from the Government if the claim of the Civil Apothecary is justifiable and to fix the allowance he is entitled in every month, considering the financial circumstances of the Council. The copy of the Council's resolution is herewith forwarded.

I have the honor to be, Sir
Your most obedient servant,
W. H. B. Sydenham
Chairman Delegate, M.C.

1892
27/6/99
1693

Revenue No 2332 of 4-9-99

U.O No 109 of 19-8-99

C No 973 Marine

A fee of Rs 5 is paid to the Assistant Health Officer, Cocanada, for the ^{plague} inspection of each vessel arriving at that port - Rs 15 ~~marine~~ dated 17th June 99. The Presidency Port Officer should propose that a fee of Rs 5 be ~~sanctioned to~~ ^{sanctioned to} the Medical Officer at Brimlipatan for the inspection of each vessel arriving at that port, the Medical Officer to find his own boat.

2. The vessels at Brimlipatan lie within a short distance of the shore, while at Cocanada the distance ~~from~~ ^{between} the shore and the vessels is very great. The fee proposed by the Presidency Port Officer ~~to the Medical Officer at Brimlipatan~~ ^{for} appears, therefore, to be excessive.

The Collector of Nagapatan is requested to state whether any & if so what fee should be paid

his opinion is to

Cml to the Medical Officer for
L 99

P. T. Y. K.
R. N. T. S. N.
4/11/99

~~the vessel arriving at~~
~~disposal.~~

To The (Munir) Visagapatam (Pro)

Desp 9
4/9/99

Financial
Political (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3263



Recd 16.6.99
1 Min

From

The Collector, Visagapatam

Order

Stn No. 42-P

Dated 12 September 1899

Received

Registered

Enclosures —

Spare copies —

SUBJECT.

Stating that he sees no reason why the medical
officer at Bimlipatam should receive a fee of Rs 5
for the Plague inspection of each vessel arriving
there.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT NO. 3263

Re. C. No. 628
Dis.

8

VIZAGAPATAM COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

Dated 12 September 1899.

FROM

W. O. HORN, Esq.,

Ag. Collector,

Vizagapatam Dist.ict.

TO

The Honorable Mr. G. Stokes,
Chief SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Financial DEPARTMENT,

Madras.

Sir,

With reference to para 2 of your Memorandum No. 2232 dated 4th September 99, I have the honor to report that I do not see why the Medical officer at Bimlipatam should get any fee at all for what is an ordinary part of his duty. He pays nothing for boat hire as the Post boat takes him on board.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

R. Govindarao
for Collector.

RM

NOTE FOR THE Marine Dept

Ref m

P. C. Current No 4853 a

C. No 1278 P.

Under G.O. No 515 Marine d/ 17-6-90, the Assistant Port Health Officer of Cocanada is allowed a fee of Rs 5 from Port Funds for each vessel inspected by him under the Plague Regulations. It is not clear whether this order is affected in any way by G.O. No 80 d/ 25-1-99; but from para 2 of G.O. No 479 d/ 21-4-99, it appears that the Cost of inspection and observation of travellers by sea should be charged to ^{State} ~~General~~ funds. G.O. No 515 Marine d/ 17-6-90 seems therefore to require reconsideration, and the issue of a general order applicable to plague inspection at all ports is suggested.

Decd
M. S.
10/6

Resn.
5/6/91

15
9

N^o 4253 of 15-8-99.
Marine Department.

Endorsement

The President's Pat
office's Note No: 949/279, G. date
5 August 1899, is transferred to
the Local and Municipal
Department with reference
to Unofficial Reference from that
Department to — d/15 July 1899,
and in continuation of Marine
Department No: 3772 d/25 July 99,
and Sp. 704 Marine d/27 July 99.

Marine d/17 July 99 to Marine
1453 d/278P. Sent to 1005.



Secretary to Government

* note for the Secy, d/10

The cost of inspection of
vessels is Provincial & it is
submitted that these papers may
be sent to the Dept for disposal.
In a recent note, I suggested that the
Dept may be moved to prescribe
a uniform fee for all ports.

UO N^o 1092 19. 8. 99



To Financial U.O. for
favor of opinion -

M 19. viii 99
James Douglas
17/8/99

To
The Local and Municipal Department,
unofficially, with papers in original
and file returned.

U.O. No 109 dated 19th August 1898.

2

Financial Department

Note

It has been decided that the expenditure incurred in connection with the plague at Port should be Provincial; and in G.O. No. 704 dated 27th July 1898 the Collector of Godavari was informed that the fee of Rs 5 to the Assistant Port Health Officer at Coa. - made for each vessel inspected by him should be charged to Provincial. In the recent plague estimates this charge has been included under Provincial.

The Collector of Virupapatnam submits a copy of the Resolution of the Municipal Council, recommending a local allowance to the Medical Officer for inspecting the vessels arriving at Virupapatnam; and the Presidency Port Officer considers that, in view of the fact that the trip to vessels in the Roadstead at Virupapatnam is a somewhat arduous task, the fee to be paid at Rs 5, the Medical Officer to find his own boat. The Collector forwards the correspondence for approval.

Opinion.

The Public Department may
it is submitted, be informed that
the fee of 5 Rs suggested by the President
Post Office appears to be reasonable,
that the charges should be debited
to Provincial and that ~~the~~ permission
should be made in the next place
intimates for the expenditure under
Provincial - of all such charges.

It appears to be inadvisable
to lay down any general
rule which might
be applied to cases where the
fee is not really called for.

Each case may be allowed

to come up for decision separately.

Certainly
1/14/07.

Cut

For the Board of the Board

of the Board of the Board

of the Board of the Board

of the Board of the Board

of the Board of the Board

of the Board of the Board

of the Board of the Board

of the Board of the Board

of the Board of the Board

of the Board of the Board

of the Board of the Board

PA
25/07/07

1/24/07.

Financial Dept

For the C.B.

The reply of the J. of
Vizagapatam is contained in
C. No. 3263 [page 7 of the
current]. It does not recommend
any fee at all to the Medical
Officer at Buntipatam for
what is an ordinary part of
his duty. It pays nothing for
boat hire as the Port boat
takes him on board. Please
see draft on attached sheet

Cut
1/14/07

The Chairman says (April 10)
I thought the 2nd report - (April 10)
The Chairman says (April 10)
The Chairman says (April 10)

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

} 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189 .

CURRANT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPER NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A ~~xxx~~ Copy in full.
B ~~xxx~~ Not to be copied.
P ~~xxx~~ Copy abstract only
Q = Copy heading only

3/16/91
 3/16/91
 3/16/91

[G. 40]

5,000-14-6-99.

J. J. DEPARTMENT.
 J. J.

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer.

Press
 Miscellaneous No. 1040, dated 25 9 1899.
 Routine

Date taken out.	FOR WHAT PURPOSE.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			
20 11 99	Staggs Branch	31099	2460	J. J.	11 11 99	J. J.
12 12 99	Staggs Branch	31099	2460	J. J.	17 12 99	J. J.