

Financial (1897) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1624

DATED 22-5/87

R. INDEXED. 5/5

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording Progs, Rev Dept., approving and sanctioning the proposals of the Board of Rev. for the revision of the village establishments in the main, Jaghir, and permanent under tenure villages situated in certain proprietary estates in the Hanjam Dt.; and ordering the publication in the Int. St. Geo. Gaz. & the Hanjam Dt. Gazette of the draft notices extending certain provisions of the Proprietary Village Service Act II of '94 to these estates; and directing the levy of a money less of 10 p's in the rupee under S. B. of the Act.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
1472/00	475						
	452						

Financial CURRENT NO. 33/2 Government (1899) of Madras. REVENUE.

Min 702 Fud 16.6.89

Recd. 18

Regd. 20



Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 569, 25th August 1899.

Order W. 1024 of 22 April 88
Min

Recorded in continuation of
AUGUST 1899 No 702, Fud, 16.6.88.

Recd. 18
Regd. 20
18 SEP 1899
10269

Revision of the Village Establishments.

Approving and sanctioning the proposals of the Board of Revenue for the — in the inam, jaghir and permanent under-tenure villages situated in certain proprietary estates in the Ganjam district; and ordering the publication in the Fort St. George and Ganjam District Gazettes of the draft notifications extending certain provisions of the Proprietary Village Service Act II of 1894 to these estates; and directing the levy of a money-cess of 10 pies in the rupee under section 19 of the Act.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 2347, dated 21st July 1899 :—

Mr. F. A. NICHOLSON.

Read—again Board's Proceedings, No. 1844, dated 10th June 1899.

Read—also letters from H. D. TAYLOR, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector of Ganjam, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras, R.G.B. No. 179-Ver. of 1899, Dis. No. 253-R. Ver. of 1899, and R.C. No. 1648-Ver. of 1898, dated 30th April, 3rd May and 11th May 1899, respectively.

Resolution—Mis. No. 2347, dated 21st July 1899.

Government having in its Order No. 314, Revenue, dated the 19th May 1899, approved the Board's proposals for the organization of efficient village establishments, in anticipation of the enfranchisement of village service inams, in the proprietary estates commanded by the Rushikulya project and the Chicacole minor rivers system, the Board now submits the detailed statements received from the Collector for the revision of the village staff in the jerayati villages of the zamindari estates of (1) Urlam, (2) Devadi, (3) Putu, (4) Dhárakóta, (5) Chinnakimedi, (6) Serugada, (7) Aska, (8) Kurlam and (9) Devabhumi.

2. *Clubbing of villages.*—In all the estates, except Urlam, Devadi and Putu, villages have been clubbed for administrative convenience where necessary. The usual notices have been served under section 6 (1) and the resulting petitions heard and disposed of. The Board will, however, wait until the 16th August before sanctioning the new groups pending receipt of any further representations under section 6 (2). If, in the meantime, Government approves the present proposals, any alterations which may become necessary upon such representations will be intimated to Government immediately after the 16th August and delay will thus be minimized.

3. *Urlam, Devadi and Putu Estates.*—As regards these estates, the number of villages in each, the existing staff, proposed staff and financial results are shown in the subjoined statement :—

Estate.	Number of villages.	Existing staff.			Proposed staff.			Total annual cost.	Cess.	Surplus or deficit.
		Kar-nams.	Head-men.	Tali-yaris.	Kar-nams.	Head-men.	Tali-yaris.			
Urlam	6	8	17	17	8	6	8	Rs. 1,488	Rs. 2,578	+ 1,085
Devadi	1	2	2	3	1	1	2	228	275	+ 47
Putu *	1	1	1	1	* 1	..	1	96	42	- 54
Total	8	11	20	21	10	7	11	1,812	2,890	+ 1,078

* Presumably a triune officer.

In Mabagam, which has a beriz of Rs. 12,324, the salaries of the karnam and the headman are fixed at Rs. 9 and 7, though rates of Rs. 11 and 9, respectively, are admissible. The proposed rates are, however, accepted since an assistant karnam on

Rs. 6 has been provided for the village at the request of the proprietrix. Again in Devadi, which has a beriz of Rs. 5,281, a karnam and a headman are provided on salaries of Rs. 6 and 5 instead of Rs. 7 and 6, respectively, apparently because of the proposed entertainment of an additional taliyari at the instance of the Superintendent of Police. The Board, however, considers that this is not a sufficient reason for cutting down the pay of the karnam and munsif and has accordingly raised it to Rs. 7 and 6, respectively. As there has been no clubbing of villages in these estates, the Board is competent, under section 7 of the Act, to pass immediate orders on the Collector's proposals, and they are accordingly approved with the alteration now made as regards Devadi.

4. *Dhárakóta Estate.*—The villages in this estate have been clubbed under 36 groups, of which six have not been provided with separate headmen for want of funds. Seven of the villages have been allowed additional taliyaris over and above the number admissible under the sanctioned scale, and the necessity for this deviation has not been explained by the Collector. Presumably the additional taliyaris are intended to reside and do duty in the minor villages of importance comprised in the groups, *vide* rule 3 (iii) of the rules printed in Board's Proceedings, No. 350, dated 19th October 1897. The Collector will now be requested to report whether this presumption is correct. As regards the villages of Numiyapalli and Bakhorakota, which are included, respectively, in groups Nos. 15 (Ponibondho) and 17 (Baharapuram) and the villages of Tembadandipalli and Sondibadapalli which are grouped with Kullagada No. 27, it is observed that the distance limit of a mile and-a-half laid down in rule 3 (i) of the rules framed under section 32 of Madras Act II of 1894 is exceeded; but presumably the clubbings have been made with reference to rule 3 (ii) of the above rules on the ground that the villages are uninhabited or that the lands therein are so intermingled that the boundaries cannot well be separated. The financial effect of the proposals is shown in the subjoined statement from which it will be seen that the charges exceed the estimated amount of cess by Rs. 1,152 :—

Estate.	Number of groups.	Existing staff.			Proposed staff.			Total annual cost.	Village cess.	Surplus or deficit.
		Kar-nams.	Head-men.	Tali-yaris.	Kar-nams.	Head-men.	Tali-yais.			
Dhárakóta ..	36	52	59	124	36	30	48	Rs. 6,048	Rs. 4,896	Rs. - 1,152

The deficiency in assets might be somewhat reduced by the appointment of triune officers in a few more villages, and the Collector will be requested to consider the feasibility of adopting this course if the enfranchisement of village service inams does not produce financial equilibrium.

5. *Chinnakimedi.*—There are to be 34 groups in this estate, of which two have not been allowed the full establishment for want of funds. For the village of Konsamari (group No. 9), which has a population of 1,108, the Collector has allowed two taliyaris while only one is admissible; the necessity for the additional taliyari should have been explained. The Board presumes that the extra hand is required for Dharmapuram comprised in the group. In the case of groups Nos. 18 (Narasingada) and 32 (Vishmagiri), additional taliyaris have been provided at the instance of the Police department. The total number of taliyaris has been wrongly entered in the statement as 40 instead of 42; the error has been rectified. The financial results of the scheme are shown below :—

Estate.	Number of villages.	Existing staff.			Proposed staff.			Total annual cost.	Cess.	Surplus or deficit.
		Kar-nams.	Head-men.	Tali-yaris.	Kar-nams.	Head-men.	Tali-yaris.			
Chinnakimedi ..	34	81	61	161	34	32	42	Rs. 6,144	Rs. 6,323	Rs. + 179

6. *Serugada, Aska, Kurla and Devabhumi*.—The subjoined abstract shows for each of these estates the number of groups, the existing and proposed establishments and the financial results :—

Estate.	Number of groups.	Existing staff.			Proposed staff.			Total cost.	Cess.	Surplus or deficit.
		Kar-nams.	Head-men.	Tali-yaris.	Kar-nams.	Head-men.	Tali-yaris.			
Serugada ..	11	25	18	41	11	8	11	Rs. 1,656	Rs. 1,637	Rs. — 19
Aska ..	5	7	9	16	5	4	7	840	719	— 121
Kurla ..	4	7	7	17	4	4	7	828	728	— 100
Devabhumi ..	5	10	7	15	5	5	5	792	624	— 168

The villages of Subalyapalli and Kondhunuvagodo in the Serugada estate and Chodiyapalli, Bayisipolla and Boddalundi in the Devabhumi estate are separated by two miles from the parent villages with which they are grouped, but presumably the clubbings have been made with reference to rule 3 (ii) of the rules framed under section 32 of Act II of 1894. Three groups in the Serugada estate and one in the Aska estate have not been allowed separate headmen. An additional taliyari is proposed for the Magura group in the Kurla estate, but the necessity has not been explained. It is presumed that the extra taliyari is intended for the village of Kumari comprised in the group. As regards Devabhumi group in the Devabhumi estate which includes three hamlets and has a population of 1,967, only one taliyari is proposed while two are admissible. The Collector should have stated his reasons for limiting the number in this case. It is observed, however, that there is only one taliyari at present.

7. The annual costs of the establishments recommended for the jerayati villages of the nine * estates above referred to is Rs. 18,120, while the amount of cess leviable under the Act is estimated at Rs. 17,817. As the new establishments are to be introduced from the 1st September next and the village officers are to be paid the full salaries up to 1st January 1900, there will be a deficit of Rs. 303 = 101, which will have to be met by a Government contribution to be adjusted subsequently. From the 1st January 1900 until the date on which the inams are enfranchised the salaries of the village officers will be reduced by the full assessment of the sevice inams held by them.

	Cost of establishment.	Cess.
Ulam *	Rs. 1,488	Rs. 2,573
Devadi ..	228	275
Putu ..	96	42
Dhárakóta ..	6,048	4,896
Chinnakimedi ..	6,144	6,323
Serugada ..	1,656	1,637
Aska ..	840	719
Kula ..	828	728
Devabhumi ..	792	624
Total ..	18,120	17,817
Deficit ..	303	

8. The Board submits, for the approval of Government and for publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, the following draft notification extending chapters III and IV of Act II of 1894 to the estates now in question and providing for the levy of a cess with effect from 1st September next.

NOTIFICATION.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) L. D. SWAMIKANNU,
for Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with eight statements, in original (to be returned).
,, Collector of Ganjam.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 2348, dated 21st July 1899 :—

Mr. F. A. NICHOLSON.

Read—letter from H. D. TAYLOR, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector of Ganjam, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras, dated 20th June 1899, Dis. No. 337-Ver.

Resolution—Mis. No. 2348, dated 21st July 1899.

With reference to the proposals approved in G.O., No. 314, Revenue, dated the 19th May 1899, the Collector of Ganjam submits statements showing the reorganisation of village establishments in such of the inam, jaghir and permanent under-tenure villages of the Pedda Kimedi, Chinnakimedi, Serugada and Dhárakóta estates as have a beriz of more than Rs. 500. The Collector has deviated in some cases from the scale laid down in the rules printed in Board's Proceedings, No. 350, dated 19th October 1897, on the ground that funds are insufficient for allowing higher rates of pay.

2. The Board approves the proposed establishments and submits them for the sanction of Government in continuation of its Proceedings, Mis. No. 2347, dated 21st July 1899. It is observed that the marginally-noted villages, which are shown as inam villages in the list which accompanied the Collector's letter, dated the 11th April 1899, C. No. 1152-Ver., and in the notification appended to G.O., No. 314, Revenue, dated 19th May 1899, are now described by the Collector in the statement relating to Chinnakimedi as "permanent under-tenure villages." The discrepancy should be explained by the Collector.

3. The financial result of the reorganization of the village establishment in the villages now in question is shown below :—

Estate in which inam villages, &c., are situated.	Existing staff.			Proposed staff.			Total annual cost.	Cess.	Surplus or deficit.
	Kar-nams.	Head-men.	Tali-yaris.	Kar-nams.	Head-men.	Tali-yaris.			
Pedda Kimedi ..	1	..	..	(Triune officer). 1	..	1	Rs. 96	Rs. 50	Rs. — 46
Chinnakimedi ..	13	1	15	(Triune officer). 12	..	12	1,152	497	— 655
Serugada ..	5	4	8	5	1	5	528	382	— 146
Dhárakóta ..	12	8	21	† 12	3	12	1,320	970	— 350
Total ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	— 1,197

* Four of these are triune officers.

† Nine of these are triune officers.

The contribution which will have to be paid by Government from 1st September 1899 to 1st January 1900 will amount to Rs. 399 (1,197 × 1/3).

4. The Board also submits, for the approval of Government and for publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, the following draft notification, extending chapters III and IV of Madras Act II of 1894 to the villages in question and providing for the levy of a cess therein under section 19 of the Act.

NOTIFICATION.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) LIONEL DAVIDSON,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with four statements, in original (to be returned).
,, Collector of Ganjam.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 2596, dated 15th August 1899:—

Resolution—Mis. No. 2596, dated 15th August 1899.

In continuation of paragraph 2 of its Proceedings, No. 2348, dated the 21st July 1899, the Board submits that the Collector of Ganjam explains the discrepancy therein noticed by stating that the four villages of Budiki, Purushottapuram, Nissantapuram and Kusadharayapuram are inam villages and were erroneously shown as "permanent under-tenure villages" in the statement submitted by him with his letter, dated the 20th June 1899, Dis. No. 337-Ver.

2. It appears that in the fair copy of the Board's Proceedings of the 21st ultimo forwarded to the Collector, the name of the estate (Pedda Kimedi) has not been inserted against the village of Pendralundi in the schedule at the end. Should the same omission occur in the fair copy submitted to Government, the Board requests that it may be supplied before the draft notification is published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

LIONEL DAVIDSON,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

READ—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 2613, dated 17th August 1899:—

Resolution—Mis. No. 2613, dated 17th August 1899.

In continuation of paragraph 2 of its Proceedings, No. 2347, dated 21st July 1899, the Board submits that it has not received any further representations under section 6 (1) of Madras Act II of 1894 on the subject of the grouping of villages proposed by the Collector of Ganjam in the marginally-noted estates and that the proposals already submitted to Government with the Proceedings above quoted therefore require no alteration. The Board requests the early orders of Government on these proposals.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

LIONEL DAVIDSON,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
" Collector of Ganjam.

ORDER—No. 569, Revenue, dated 25th August 1899.

Miscellaneous.

21st July 1899, are approved and sanctioned.

2. The draft notifications submitted with the above Proceedings will be published in the *Fort St. George and Ganjam District Gazettes*.

(True Extract.)

Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), with enclosures.
" the Collector of Ganjam.
" the Financial Department.
" the Accountant-General.
" the Superintendent, Government Press,
for publication of the notification.

APPENDIX.

Under section 2 of Act II of 1894 (the Madras Proprietary Estates Village Service Act), His Excellency the Governor in Council is hereby pleased to direct that chapters III and IV of the said Act shall come into force from and after the 1st September 1899 in the proprietary estates mentioned in the schedule annexed in respect of all the three classes of village officers specified in section 2 of the Act, viz., village accountants, heads of villages and village watchmen or police officers by whatever designations such village officers may be locally known.

Under section 19 of the said Act, His Excellency the Governor in Council is further pleased to direct that, from and after the 1st September 1899, a money-cess will be levied at the rate of ten pies in the rupee on the annual rent-value of all occupied lands comprised within the limits of such estates.

Schedule.

- | | | |
|-------------|-------------------|----------------|
| (1) Urlam. | (4) Dhárakóta. | (7) Aska. |
| (2) Devadi. | (5) Chinnakimedi. | (8) Kurla. |
| (3) Putu. | (6) Serugada. | (9) Devabhumi. |

NOTE.—(1) Inam villages situated within the said estates, of which the grant was made or confirmed by the British Government and subsequent alienations of portions of villages in the said estates, which have been separately assessed, are excluded from the scope of this notification.
(2) Villages held on permanent under-tenure, which are included within the limits of the said estates, are also excluded from the scope of this notification.

Under section 2 of Act II of 1894 (Madras Proprietary Estates Village Service Act), His Excellency the Governor in Council is hereby pleased to direct that chapters III and IV of the said Act shall come into force from and after the 1st September 1899 in the proprietary villages mentioned in the schedule annexed in respect of all the three classes of village officers specified in section 2 of the Act, viz., village accountants, heads of villages and village watchmen or police officers by whatever designations such officers may be locally known. Under section 19 of the said Act, His Excellency in Council is pleased to direct that, from and after the 1st September 1899, a money-cess will be levied at the rate of 10 pies in the rupee on the annual rent-value of all occupied lands comprised within the limits of such villages.

Schedule.

Name of village.	Where situated.
1. Pendralundi	Peddakimedi.
2. Devalapedi	
3. Rathadevipuram	
4. Jagannathapuram	
5. Kalasandapuram	
6. Visvanathapuram	
7. Vasudevapuram	
8. Dana Ambata	Chinnakimedi.
9. Budiki	
10. Purushottapuram	
11. Nissantapuram	
12. Kusadharayapuram	
13. Chandramanaipuram	
14. Sherugada	
15. Sagarapalli	
16. Chetradappa	Serugada.
17. Dhavalapur	
18. Takkarada	
19. Sappuvapalli	
20. Ballisira	
21. Guntapadu	
22. Sumantapallai	
23. Kusurabo	
24. Komaro	Dhárakóta.
25. Gavadugotto	
26. Nuvapallai	
27. Narendrapuram	
28. Gongapuram alias Purushottapuram.	
29. Kommasasanam alias Gopalakrishnapuram.	
30. Ghasipalli	

Ed. W. D'Moss.

50 Copies.

28/9/12

F

[G
40]

5,000-13-11-00.

DEPARTMENT.

Smith

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer.

Press
Miscellaneous No. *1024* dated *22* *7* 189 *9*
Routine

Date taken out.	FOR WHAT PURPOSE.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Depart-ment.	C. No.	Year.			
<i>27</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>136</i>	<i>1473</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>11</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>136</i>	<i>263</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>7</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>136</i>	<i>4058</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>14/11/00</i>	<i>wa</i>