	FOR WHAT PURPOSE.	Initials of		Initials of

[Finl. 16]

500—12-6-99.

Financial (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1014

DATED 20-9-98

INDEXED. 7/8

[Despatch Abstract.]

Cancelling G.O. no. 441 Finl. of 23rd March 1899 as the question of limiting second appeals does not arise in the office of the Supdt. of Stationery, Madras.

T. 22-9-98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
C.No. 597 Mil of 03 Lodged 17.3.03							

Financial CURRENT No. 2772

Government {1899} of Madras.

PUBLIC.

STATIONERY OFFICE No. 8093 21 AUG. 1899 MADRAS.

ps. 441 : 23.3.99
mis 313 : 1.3.99

Recd. 5 } 8 } 1899. Enclosures
Regd. 7 } Spare copies

G.O., No. 833, 26th July 1899.

JULY

Encl. No 2097 d/ 18th August 1899 further
Referred to the Supdt of Stationery for report
of necessary
with reference to his letter read in G.O. No. 441
I Financial, d/ 23d March 1899.

Chief Secretary

C.3305

Cmd
16/8/99

TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.

Second Appeal.

Passing orders on reports from the heads of departments under the Public Department in regard to the classes of subordinates under them drawing salaries of not more than Rs. 25 per mensem in whose case a — should be altogether prohibited.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

From the British Consular Agent, Pondicherry and Karaikal, dated 7th March 1899, Ref. on G. No. 19.

From the Protector of Emigrants, Madras, dated 8th March 1899, No. 50.

From the Acting Malayalam Translator to Government, dated 10th March 1899, No. 41.

From the Telugu Translator to Government, dated 8th March 1899, No. 58.

From the Superintendent, Archæological Survey, Madras, dated 11th March 1899, No. 92.

From the Tamil Translator to Government, dated 13th March 1899, No. 58.

From the Canarese Translator to Government, dated 13th March 1899, No. 25.

From the President, Board of Examiners, Madras, dated 13th March 1899, No. 1217.

From the Government Astronomer, Madras, dated 13th March 1899, No. 57.

From the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras, dated 17th March 1899, No. 1092.

From the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, dated 18th March 1899, No. T. 8—18.

From the Persian and Hindustani Translator to Government, Madras, dated 20th March 1899, No. 38.

From the Acting Chemical Examiner, Madras, dated 14th March 1899, No. 323.

From the Government Epigraphist, dated 21st March 1899, No. 93.

From the Registrar-General of Births, Deaths and Marriages, Madras, dated 27th March 1899, No. G.-209.

From the Private Secretary to His Excellency the Governor, dated 24th June 1899, No. 6.

ORDER—No. 833, Public, dated 26th July 1899.

The foregoing papers contain the replies of heads of departments under the Public Department to paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 257, Public, dated 1st March 1899, calling for report with reference to paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 199, Public, dated 17th February 1899.

2. From the replies received it appears that considerable misconception exists as to the nature of the information which heads of departments were desired to submit. The proposal of this Government in regard to the limitation of appeals which was approved by the Government of India in the letter read in G.O., No. 199, Public, dated 17th February 1899, was that, while all public servants should continue to enjoy the right of appealing once to an officer superior to the officer inflicting a punishment upon them, the power which they at present possess of taking the matter

up on a second or even third appeal to still higher authorities should in some cases be limited. It has already been decided that in cases where a second appeal formerly lay to Government, the right of presenting such an appeal in a case which has already been decided by one appellate authority should in future be confined to officers in receipt of a salary of Rs. 50 a month or more. The question now under consideration is whether in cases where a second appeal now lies to the head of a department, or to a subordinate officer, the presentation of such second appeals should not be restricted to Government servants drawing Rs. 25 a month or more. It will be observed that such cases can only arise where the power of punishing Government officials is vested in officers between whom and the head of the department an intermediate authority exists. If the officer passing the order of punishment is immediately subordinate to the head of his department, the first appeal will lie to the head of the department and a second appeal will be barred by the rule laid down in paragraph 1 of G.O., No. 199, Public, dated 17th February 1899.

3. From the above explanation it will be seen that in the case of most of the offices under the Public Department the question of limiting second appeals does not arise. As every public servant will continue to be allowed the right of appealing once against an order prejudicially affecting him, an appeal may be presented to Government by any person employed in such offices as those of Translators to Government and of the Board of Examiners, where the officer imposing a punishment is himself the head of a department, and no intermediate authority exists between him and the Government. In the case of the Medical Department, it is necessary to ascertain in what officers the power of punishing subordinates is at present vested, and to whom the first, second and further appeals, if any, are now presented. The Surgeon-General will accordingly be requested to submit to Government a statement in the form appended supplying the necessary information on these points. In regard to the Government Press, the Superintendent will be requested to report whether the Assistant Superintendent now exercises any independent powers of punishing subordinates and, if so, to submit a statement showing how far such powers extend.

(True Extract.)

for *Chief Secretary*.

To all Heads of Departments under the Public Department.
Copy to Departments of the Secretariat. (Financial)

Exd. A. F. Eccleston.

APPENDIX.

Class of public servants.	By whom fine may be imposed.	To whom appeal lies.	By whom suspension may be ordered.	To whom appeal lies.	To whom further appeal lies.	By whom dismissal may be ordered.	To whom appeal lies.	To whom further appeal lies.	By whom reduction may be ordered.	To whom appeal lies.	To whom further appeal lies.

CURRENT NO. 3012

500—21-4-99.

Stationery Office,

Madras, 25rd August 1899.

~~Ref.~~ Dis. No. 1980 of 99

Enclosures. /

From

HERBERT BRADLEY, Esq., I.C.S.,

ACTING SUPERINTENDENT OF STATIONERY,

Madras,

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,

Financial Department,

STONEHOUSE HILL.

SIR,

In returning herewith your Endorsement No. 2097 dated 18th instant, I have the honour to report that I have no further remarks to offer on the subject of second appeals, vide my letter disposed of in G.O. Financial No.441 dated March 23rd 1899.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Herbert Bradley

Ag. Superintendent of Stationery.

[G. / 74]
1.000—3-9-97

Financial Department.

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

No. 8932 / 16.9.99. II F

From the Superintendent's reply (No.1980, dated 25rd August 1899) in C. No.3012 it would appear that there is still some misapprehension as regards the prohibition of second appeals from subordinates drawing less than Rs 25 in the Stationery Office. Attention is solicited to paragraphs 2 and 3 of G.O.No.855, Public, dated 28th July 1899. From this it will be seen (1) that all public servants are to enjoy the right of appealing once to an officer superior to the officer inflicting a punishment upon them, (2) that second appeals to Govt. are confined to officers in receipt of a salary of Rs 50 a month and upwards and (3) that the question now under ~~and the~~ consideration is whether in cases where a second appeal now lies to the head of a Department, or to a subordinate officer, the presentation of such appeals should not be restricted to those drawing Rs 25 per mensem and upwards. It is clearly pointed out in the Public G.O. that the case in contemplation can only arise where the power of punishing ~~Govt. officials~~ subordinates is vested in officers between whom and ~~and the~~ the head of this dept ~~Govt.~~ an intermediate authority exists.

2. If the Assistant Superintendent of Stationery has the power of punishing

subordinates mentioned in the Superintendent's letter No. 571, dated 3rd March 1899 1899, then as pointed out in the last clause of paragraph 2 of G.O. No. 833, Public, dated 26th July 1899, the first appeal would lie to the Superintendent and the second appeal would be barred by the ~~fifty Rupee~~ 50 Rs Rule. If however the Asst. Supt. has not this power then [redacted] appeals must, under the rulings laid down, come on to Govt. in any case. It is submitted that the papers may be referred u.o. to the Supt. of Stationery for elucidation.

G.O. No. 441, dated, Finl., dated 23rd March 1899.

I

F

CHIEF SECRETARY'S
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

C.M.S.
12.9.99

Supt. of Stationery u.o. - Dt. 2303
14.9.99

[signature]

Dis. No. 2170

The Assistant Superintendent of Stationery has no power of punishing the subordinates of the Stationery Office. This power is exercised by the Superintendent of Stationery alone. Appeals must therefore go to Government.

[signature]
S.S.

Stationery Office
16th September '99.

Read with tappal forms
18.IX.99
[signature]

[G. 175]
200—19-9-

Financial Department.

Read again G.O. 441 of 23. 3. 99 (Q)

C. No. 2772

From the Public Dept., No 833, dated 26. 7. 1899.

C. No. 3012 Supdt of Stationery, " 1950, " 23. 8. 1899.

" " , " , " 189 .

" " , " , " 189 .

Order. No. 1014 dated 20. 9. 99
Mis

~~In modification of~~ G.O.

XXI

No. 441 Finl. of 23d. March 1899 is cancelled as the question of limiting second appeals does not arise in the office of the Supdt of Stationery

C.M.S.
12.9.99

[signature]

Supdt of State (q of both 20) with dc of G.O. 833 / 26.7.99 Pub.
Public Dept (q of both 20)

Despd
23.9.99.

441 Final dt 23.3.99
1014 Final dt 20.9.99.

Government {1903} of Madras.

PUBLIC.

LODGED.
Date 17/3 Read 1903
2772

CURRENT NO.
0597
FINANCIAL

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
6 MAR 1903
MADRAS

Recd. 9 } 1903. Enclosures nil
Regd. 10 — 3 — } Spare copies nil
in Ref 7 Pm

G.O., R. No. 110, 18th February 1903.

Lodged

Put up G.O. 441 23.3.99 Final
please
Curd 13/11/03

FEBRUARY.

Curd 12-3-03

Note. To be stitched with G.O. No. 833 Public dt 26-7-1899 in the original of G.O. No. 1014 Final dt 20-9-99.

Intd
Curd
14.3.03.

Curd

Reg V

Errata.

Issuing certain — to paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 833, Public, dated 26th July 1899, regarding the classes of officers who can present second appeals.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

ORDER—R. No. 110, Public, dated 18th February 1903.

Errata.

In paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 833, Public, dated the 26th July 1899 :—

For "Officers in receipt of a salary of Rs. 50 a month or more."

Read "Officers in receipt of a salary exceeding Rs. 50 a month."

For "Government servants drawing Rs. 25 a month or more."

Read "Government servants drawing more than Rs. 25 a month".

(True Extract.)

A. J. Campbell

for *Chief Secretary.*

9.2.03

To the Departments of the Secretariat. Financial Dept
„ all Heads of departments under the Public department.

(Circulated to H.E. + H.M.'s)

Ed. S. J. Peters.

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____ } 22-9 } 189 9
When signed ____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	..	..	..	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.										
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.										
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH (IF ORIGINAL.										

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only

I
23/9/99

Fr. D

DEPARTMENT

[G. / 40]
5,000—14-1-03.

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer.

~~Press~~ / Miscellaneous / ~~Routine~~ No. 1014, dated 20—9—1899.

Date taken out.	For what purpose.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			
12.3.03	Fwd	597	03	[illegible]		