

9/1/99

[6. Finl.]
6.
100-24-8-97.

Financial (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 32
DATED 4-1-99

INDEXED.

10/14

5/1/99
2/1/99
5-1-99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning, with remarks, the
p.s. submitted by the S.D. of Police for the
appointment of 2 constables to the Railway
Police

T4-188-

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
R1216:	17-11-98		
1022:	8-11-98 sc		
1659	Jul: 26-10-98 mis		
883	Jul: 13-10-98 sc		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

revision of Establishment.

Statement of Proposition fo

From Inspector-General of Police.										NATURE OF CHARGE.									
Present Scale.										Proposed Scale.									
		Pay.				Average cost.						Pay.				Average cost.			
No.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.						No.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.					
No.	Date.	Office to which the proposition refers																	
R. No. 1355. 1st December 1896. Railway Police.																			
292 Railway Police Northern Range 2547. 29 Temporary Railway Post Guard. 2450. 1678 Railway Police Southern Range. 5910. 171 Total Madras Railway. 11490.																			
Railway Police Central Range. Madras Railway. 2 Office Establishment. 39. 22 Detective & Travelling Staff. 309. 147 Platform Police. 1142. 171 Total Madras Railway. 11490.																			
171 Total Railway Police Central Range. 11490.																			
292 Railway Police Northern Range. 29 Temporary Railway Post Guard. 1678 Railway Police Southern Range. Railway Police Central Range. Madras Railway. 2 Office Establishment. 22 Detective & Travelling Staff. 147 Platform Police. 171 Total Madras Railway. Nilgiri Railway Platform Police 2 Const. 1st Class Groat allowance for 1 Const. 44. 2 Total Nilgiri Railway. 173 Total Railway Police Central Range.																			

Chief office of the
Inspector Genl of Police,
Madras 27 October 1898.

To

Chief
The Secretary to Government, *en*
through the Accountant-General
dated the 23rd

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

lial Department,
l, with reference to G.O., No. 1695,
eptember 1878, Financial Department.

Signature

(Officer's Designation) Cdg. Asst. Insp. Gen. of Police

AD PC

Chief
Sub
Grand

Appropriation Statement to accompany all applications for sanction to expenditure not provided for in the budget
Police Department.

Major, Minor, Sub and detailed heads of budget.	Heads of estimate proposed to be increased.			Heads of estimate proposed to be reduced.			Remarks.
	Amounts as in the same. - true estimate	Actual expenditure to date of the year	Probable expenditure during the year	Amount of addition at all. - amount required	Amount as in the expenditure up to sanction. - date	Probable expenditure during the year	
20 F. Police - Railway Police Nilgiri Railway Platform Police Rs 84 3/4 the cost to be borne by the Government			25	25			for 4 months (November, 98 to March 1899)
			25	25			
			25	25			
20 C. Police - Dist: Executive Force District Police. Supplies & Services way charges of Escorts					2,000	2,000	This charge is included under Travel all under of Establishment. Please see para (c) against this head in the budget for 1899-1900.
					2,000	2,000	
				25	25		Exp. No 120 Jul 25/11/98

*Chief Officer of the
 Inspector of Police
 Madras 14 Nov^r 1898*

W. J. Robertson

R. J. Asst. Inspector, General of Police.

DEPARTMENT.

No. 4055

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
Madras, 27 October 1898.From *H. A. Stuart Esq. I.C.S.*
*M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,**ag* INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,
Madras,To
THE *Chief Secretary to Government*
through the Accountant-General
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

Enclosures.

1659
26-10-98

In continuation of my letter No. 3907, dated 18th October 1898, I have the honour to forward a proposition statement in duplicate for the addition, to the Railway Police, of 2 Constables therein asked for. —

2. A statement is attached showing how the extra expenditure for the Current year is to be met. —

I have the honour to be,

Sir

Your most Obedt Servant,

*W. P. Roberts*for *ag* Inspector-General of Police.

27.10.98

M.

1898	
Nov	Dept
Enclos. No. 1	
4845	

Chief Office of the Inspector-General
of Police,
No 4445
Madras, 25th November 1898.

From H. A. Stuart Esq., J. C. S.,
Ag. Inspector-General of Police
Madras,

To The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George

Sir, With reference to your telephone
message, dated the 18th Instant,
I have the honour to forward two
statements, ^{one showing the distribution according to districts of the police on plague duty and} ~~the other~~ showing the probable
expenditure during the current year.
2. In the figures shown in the
statement appended to G.O. No 883,
Financial, dated 13th October 1898,
the Collectors in some districts appear
to have calculated the cost of
the ordinary police deputed from the
sanctioned strength on plague
duty instead of taking the cost
of additional police only. For
Ganjam and Madras the esti-
mates appear to be mere guesses
at the probable expenditure on

plague preventive operations if they should become necessary hereafter. My estimate was based on the returns of police actually employed at the time the budget was prepared. The requirements of districts have since been modified and the statement now submitted may be taken in lieu of the former estimate. I have allowed only Rs 800 for additional men who may be required hereafter - and I think this will do as we shall not be able to recruit many more temporary men. On the other hand, it is quite possible that many more police will be required and as these will have to be drawn from the existing force I have retained the provision of Rs 5000 for deputation allowance. The enclosed statement also includes a provision of Rs 3000 for travelling allowance of police officers deputed for plague duty, which in the Budget statements was included under 'Travelling allowance of Establishment'. The figure entered in the Revised Estimate

(Rs 1,75,000) under this head may therefore be retained, but the Rs 17,500 entered against "Additional Police entertained in consequence of Plague" may be reduced to Rs 14,000.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) H. Douglas Robertson
for Ag. Inspector General of Police.

(True copy)

28-11-98

Details of expenditure on account of additional police on
plague duty during 1898-99.

Enclame No 1
To letter No 4445 D, 25-11-98
From Inspector of Police

Number	Particulars	Revised Estimate		Remarks
		1898-99		
	For 3 months from October to December 1898			
18	Head constables 4 th grade Rs 14	756		Sanctioned in f.o.
32	Constables 1 st class - 8	768		No 904. Financial dated
68	do 2 nd class - 7	1428		17 th August 1898
	Local allow for 9 th constables at Rs 7 each	189		
			3141	
	For 3 months from January to March 1899			
1	Suspector 2 nd class Rs 150	450		Recommended in letter
	Horse allowance "	15	45	No 4411, dated 23 rd
1	Env: Head Constable "	35	105	November 1898
1	Head Constable 1 st grade - 25	75		
1	do 2 nd grade - 20	60		
1	do 3 rd grade - 16	48		
2	do 4 th grade - 14	84		
161	Constables 1 st class - 8	3864		
			4731	
50	Comp provision for the cost for two months of 50 more constables who may probably be required	800	800	
	Deputation allowance	5000	5000	Recommended in letter
	Travelling allowance for pensioners to be enlisted for the Police	328	328	No 3802 dated 8 th October 1898
			14000	
	Travelling allowance of men deputed on plague duty	3000		Provision included under travelling allowance of establishment under the head allowance - 20 c. District
			14000	Incentive Force.

(True copy)

(50) H Douglas Robertson
for as. Inspry Police

street war statement showing the distribution of Plaque
Establishment

Enclosure No 2
To letter No 44458, 25th
From Insp of Police

	Bellary	Anantapur	North Arcot.	Salem	Total
Inspector, 2 nd Class (Rs 100 + 15 th Horsealla)	"	"	"	1	1
Euro: Head Constable Rs 35	"	1	"	"	1
Head Constable 1 st fr. Rs 25	1	"	"	"	1
do 2 nd - Rs 20	"	"	1	"	1
" 3 rd - 16	"	"	1	"	1
" 4 th - 14	1	"	"	"	2
Constables 18 th (less Rs 8)	56	46	37	22	161

This force has been recommended in letter
No 44411 dated 23rd November 1898, to be
substituted for the one sanctioned in G.O. No.
904, Fin. Dept., dated 7th August 1898

(Signed) H. Douglas, R. Austin
For Ag Insp of Police

(True copy)

Financial Department

Judicial C. No. 4845.

Two platform con-
stables were sanctioned for
the Dilgiris Railway from
15th Nov 1898. ^(in the foregoing paper) The D. C. of
Police stated that their ~~can~~
~~be met from the~~
Grant of Rs 2000 in the cur-
rent year's budget under
20 C - District Executive In-
Supplies & services, "baggage
& escorts" in this amount
~~will~~ not be utilized. The
charge being included under "Travelling Allowance".
Accountant General will
not accept this re-allocat-
ion as under article 157, he
states, a grant must be made
from savings under same
other major head, ^{since} under
para 30 of G.O. No 883, ^{he expects that} ~~the~~ charge
dated 13th Oct 1898. The Police are likely
to exceed the grant.
2. The Budget and Revised
Estimates under 20. Police

G.O. No 1659, ^{Final}
26.10.98

Vide page 14 of G.O.
No 1120, ^{Final}, 25th
Nov 98. in linked file
also p. 116 of G.O.

for the current year are
as shown below:-

	B. E.	R. E.
20. A.	274,500	280,000
20. B. to F.	41,06,900	40,76,700
	43,81,400	43,56,700

~~2. The Revised Estimate makes no provision for the Railway Police Scheme ^{wholly} against a grant of .26 ^{is included in the estimate} for the current year. ^{Of this amount .03 was appropriated for general purposes and .23 was allotted for plague charges against .14 actually provided.} ~~The Budget Estimate for 1910-11 in the revised estimate under 20 Police ^{itself, so that deducting the .03 allotted} has been ^{to other heads a saving of .21 may} anticipated under Police. The amount required may therefore be ^{be anticipated under Police. The} ~~estimated from this source.~~~~~~

B.E.	43.81
	-.03
R.E.	43.78
	43.57
	.21

3. Of the cost of the Police constables, $\frac{3}{10}$ this is to be borne by Govt, and the remaining $\frac{7}{10}$ by the Railway Company. The Inspector General calculates the cost due by Govt

at Rs 25 as follows:-

Pay of 2 constables for 4 months at Rs 8 each per month } Rs 64

Local allowance to one at Rs 5 per month } 20
84

$\frac{8}{10} \times 84 = 25$ Rs in round number.

But this calculation is not entirely accurate.

The proper one is that shown below.

Pay of 2 constables } 64
as shown above }
Local Allowance } 20
84
Supervision at 10% }
on pay & Local } 8
allowance }

Pensionary }
contribution at $7\frac{1}{2}\%$ } 5
p.c on pay & }
allowance }

Gratuity 7/10 charge } 68
able to the Company }

To be borne by Govt 29
or in round number Rs 30.

In which is a reabro-

Vide p. 119
of C.B.C.

provision has been made.

This can be done by
Savings under 20 Police as
shown above.

21/12/98
P. 12-98

Forgoing
To put the foregoing shortly
& save just some reading, the
A.C. bases his expectations as
regard expenditure under 20 Police
on the fact that the Plague
estimates for October provided for
an expenditure of 26 lakhs on Plague
police as anticipated by the Collector.
The D. G. has since placed the
estimate at 14 lakhs. There
will therefore be savings under
20 Police from which the present
expenditure can be met.

Ans
3.12.98

To
T. 12. 10.

[G.]
1-4
1,000-11-10-98.

C. No. 3654	From the D. G. of Police	No 6055, dated 27.10.1898.
	of the District	21.12.1898
		189
		189
		189
		189

Order No 32 of 4.1.99

The proposition statement
is sanctioned.

2. This sanction has been
registered as no 78 of 1898-99.

3. The Inspector General esti-
mates the cost to Govt. of the two
Police Constables at Rs 25. Including
Supervision at 10 p.c. on pay and
Local allowance and pensionary
contribution at 7 1/2 p.c. on pay only,
the three tenths of the total cost to be
borne by Govt comes to Rs 29.

4. It is observed that the
objection by the Accountant General
to the re-appropriation suggested
by the Inspector General is based
on the fact that the Plague
estimates

Ans
3.12.98

2 14/10 16
252. 192
25 7/8

(a) Rs 8
(b) 5
13

$$\frac{3}{10} \times 13 = \frac{39}{10} = 4 \text{ in round number}$$

$$25 + 4 = 29$$

reference regarding the plague

estimates for October 1898 provided
for an expenditure of - 26 lakh
on plague police as anticipated
by Collectors. The Inspector General
has, however, since placed the
revised estimate on account of
Plague police at - 14 lakh and
savings ~~may~~ therefore be expected
under 20. Police, ~~from which the~~
~~charge in question will be met.~~

20/12/98
29/12/98
Cml
21/1/99

20
The D. G. of Police
" Judicial Dept } G. of currents
" A. G. with
p. 5.
B.C. in original filed 7/1/99
Copy to add. account file. (G. of currents) filed 7/1/99
Resd
20.12.98

20.883 2nd 3
13/10/98

1120
25/1/98 S.

When given for copying
When copied 5/1/99
When examined 6/1/99
When at 1/1/99

READ AGAIN G.O., No
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

P.P. IS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only

A A A A A A A

T
9/1/99

[F.]
[27.]

Entered in the Register of
Additional Allotments.

2209
71.119.

MA PC 9-4 1899.

For BC 9/1/ 1899.