

4/1/99.

Financial ^{Soon} (1898/9) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 7

DATED 3-1-99

INDEXED.

7/8

[Despatch Abstract.]

Plague Expenditure

Considering that it is unnecessary to maintain Rs 60000 m of 13th April 1897 as regards the distribution of local plague charges in each district between the Dist Board & the Municipalities in that district, & directing that each municipality shall bear its own charges

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government {1899} of Madras. FINANCIAL.

Recd. } *Enclosures*
Regd. } 1899. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 7, 3rd January 1899.

JANUARY.

Plague Expenditure.

Considering that it is unnecessary to maintain G.O., No. 900 M., dated 13th April 1897, as regards the distribution of local plague charges in each district between the district board and the municipalities in that district, and directing that each municipality shall bear its own charges.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following papers:—

G.O., No. 900 M., dated 13th April 1897.

ABSTRACT.—Passing orders on the proposal of the District Board, Coimbatore, to make Shoranur an inspection station under No. 14 of the Plague Regulations and on the suggestion of the Sanitary Commissioner to post an inspecting officer at Madukarai, and stating the sources from which the cost of the inspection stations should be met.

G.O., No. 883, Financial, dated 13th October 1898.

ABSTRACT.—Passing orders on the estimates of plague expenditure in this Presidency during the current year 1898-99.

READ—also the following paper:—

From A. BUTTERWORTH, Esq., Acting Collector of the Nilgiris, to the Plague Commissioner, Madras, dated Ootacamund, 4th November 1898, Ref. on G.B. No. 770.

I have the honour to invite your attention to G.O., No. 883, Financial, dated 13th October 1898, which deals with the ratable contributions to be borne by district boards in the lines laid down in G.O., No. 547, Financial, dated 18th June 1898.

No mention has been made in the order of October of proportionate adjustments to be effected in the case of mufassal municipalities in frontier districts such as the Nilgiris though such adjustments seem to be contemplated in paragraph 4 of the Government Order of June, and I therefore solicit your instructions on the subject. It would appear that the arguments for distributing charges among the various district boards apply equally to municipalities.

Ref. on C. No. 1067.

Submitted for the orders of Government. It is stated in paragraph 19 of G.O., No. 883, Financial, dated 13th October 1898, that it is not necessary for municipalities to contribute to local expenditure of other districts, but under paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 900 M., dated 13th April 1897, they may be called upon to pay a portion of the expenditure incurred by the district boards of their own districts.

7th November 1898.

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Plague Commissioner.

To the Chief Secretary to Government, Financial Department,
through the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department.

Forwarded.

(Signed) H. TREMENHEERE,
Secy. to Govt., Local and Muni. Dept.

ORDER—No. 7, Financial, dated 3rd January 1899.

In paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 900 M., dated 13th April 1897, it was ruled that municipalities should share with local boards the expenditure on account of subordinate staff, hutting, medical appliances, &c., in connection with plague inspection stations where such stations are adjacent to them, the proportions to be paid being settled by mutual arrangement or by the Collector subject to the orders of Government. In paragraph 19 of G.O., No. 883, Financial, dated 13th October 1898, it was laid down that no contribution towards plague expenditure in other districts is necessary in the case of municipalities.

2. The Government considers it unnecessary to maintain the order of April 1897 as regards the distribution of local charges in each district between the district board and the municipalities in that district, and directs that each municipality shall bear its own charges.

(True Extract.)

G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of the Nilgiris.
" all other Collectors except Madras.
" the Local and Municipal Department.
" the Plague Commissioner.
" the Accountant-General.

E.d. C.M. Thumbuswamy.

Financial (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3310

From The Plague Commr

Order

Ref No: 1067

Dated 7/11/98

Received 15/11/98

Registered 15/11/98

Enclosures

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding letter
from the Collector of the
Nilgiris re the rateable
contributions to be borne by
District Boards.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C. 9. 12. 95 C. 12. 10. 98.
R 9. 12. 98. R 14. 12. 98

[G. 25]
500-27-1-35.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 3310.

SUBJECT.

- (1) ~~THE EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.~~
THE HON'BLE MR. ARUNDEL.
(2) ~~THE HON'BLE MR. WINTERBOTHAM.~~

Proportion of plague charges to be borne by Municipalities

FOR ORDERS.

The Collector of the Nilgiris draws attention to the fact that in G.O.No.883 Financial, dated 13th October 1898, which deals with the ratable contributions to be borne by the District Boards on account of plague expenditure, no mention has been made of the proportionate adjustments to be effected in case of in Municipalities in frontier districts such as the Nilgiris, though such adjustments seem to be contemplated in para 4 of G.O.No.547 Financial, dated 18th June 1898, which directed that all Local Boards and Municipalities should adjust their Budget estimates for the current year and if necessary for the ensuing year in order to meet the proportion of plague charges debitable to them. In para 2 of G.O.No.900 M, dated 13th April 1897, it was ruled that the Municipalities should also share the cost of plague expenditure where the inspection stations are adjacent to them, the proportions

to be paid being settled by mutual arrangement or by the Collector subject to the orders of Government, and in para 19 of G.O.No.883 Financial, dated 13th October 1898, it was laid down that no contribution towards the local charges of other districts was necessary in the case of Municipalities. It is submitted that the attention of the Collector of the Nilgiris may be drawn to these orders and that officer directed to apply to Government in case a mutual settlement cannot be arrived at between the District Board and the Municipality.

C.S.

At the time the October Plague estimates were dealt with it was decided not to distribute the municipal charges amongst other districts other than those in which they are incurred (please see X & above). It seems unnecessary to maintain the order of April 1897 as regards the distribution of local charges in each charge district between the Dist. Board and the Municipalities in that district. As matters stand, it would appear that very few municipalities will be able to bear ^{the} plague

I quite agree.
It is the only equitable principle I think & it is difficult to lay down any other that is workable.
Of ~~the~~ course a poor man's subject to an attack of plague may be reduced to asking help from Provincial.

Mt 9.12.98
I agree with
14-12-98

charges debitable to Municipal, and the further debit of a portion of the local charges will be a matter of theory only. The practical effect of which will in the end be felt by Provincial alone.

C.S. I certainly think
8/12/98 that the principle of distribution of plague charges applied to L. W. should be applied to Municipalities. Each Municipality should bear its own charges, great or small, but no more. I have never been quite clear what Govt. intended to lay down in the matter: but I think this opportunity should be taken to lay down plainly what the position is, and to lay it down as above. It has been found possible with much trouble to get an approximate idea of the position of Local Ws. after the order about distribution has been applied to them but Municipalities will be much more difficult and this will

J. H. H. H.

Financial DEPARTMENT

L.O. No. 900 m Dated 13 April 97 (K)

883 Final Dated 13 October 1898 1A

C. No. 3310

From the collector of the Kilgiris; Ref. No 83770, dated 4th October 1898. (A)

Plagne Commission, Ref 1067, 2077, 1898. (A)

Order M 773-1-29
P.S.

In para 2 of G.O. No 900 M,
dated 13th April 1897, it was ruled
that municipalities should
~~also~~ share ^{with local boards} the ~~cost~~ ^{plague} of plague
expenditure ~~where the inspection~~
^{where such stations} stations are adjacent to them,
the proportions to be paid being
settled by mutual arrangement
or by the collector subject to the
orders of government, ~~and~~ In para
19 of G.O. No. 883 Finl, 8/13th October
1898, it was laid down that no
contributions towards the ~~local~~
plague expenses in —

in account of
subordinate staff,
training, medical
expenditures &c in
connection with

... of other districts was ...
... in the case of Muni-
... ~~In view of the present~~
~~The circumstances~~ Government
... it unnecessary to
... the order of April 1897
... the distribution of
... charges in each district
... the District Board and
... the Municipalities in that
... district, and directs that the
... principle of distribution of plague
... charges applied to local boards
... shall be applied to Muni-
... cipalities, and that each Muni-
... cipality shall bear its own
... charges

(from order) 11/11/18

Curb
20

To the Collector of the Nilgiris.
All other Collectors except Madras
Land in Dept.
Plague Commission
S. C. P. to Plague etc.

First copy sent to Press.
Returned for signature.
Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
189

DATED

6

CURRENT No.

As marked.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Print in full.
- B = Not to be printed.
- P = Print abstract only.
- Q = Print heading only.

Star

Order complete. 225
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

20/1/99.
C-124 Slope
20/1

0-4-08

[Faint, illegible handwriting]

12595