

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O. No. 902-3

DATED 19-10-34

INDEXED.

15/15

[Despatch Abstract.]

Additional Allotments

Directing that the Dy. Commr. be requested to sanction the necessary — to cover the expenditure already incurred, which may hereafter be incurred in excess of the proportionate grants under certain heads, & stating that as regard the excess under Salaries the application of the Dy. Commr. will be awaited.

(Letter Allot)

Noting that the expenditure looked up to the end of July last year that the proportional grants under certain heads have been expended & requesting that the — necessary to cover the excess incurred & that which may hereafter appear may be made at an early date.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
<i>Civ.</i>							
3268/98	122						
3290/98	122						

Government {1898} of Madras. FINANCIAL.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

1898.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 902, 19th October 1898.

OCTOBER.

Additional Allotments.

Directing that the Government of India be requested to sanction the necessary — to cover the expenditure already incurred, and which may hereafter be incurred in excess of the proportional grants under certain heads, and stating that as regards the excess under *Jails* the application of the Inspector-General of Prisons will be awaited.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following papers :—

G.O., Mis. Nos. 354, 355, Financial, dated 4th April 1898.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting the sanction of the Government of India for a further allotment of Rs. 3,708 for the demarcation of the boundary line between Hyderabad and Kistna, and calling upon the Board of Revenue to report early on the long delay in the completion of the work and the large sum of money debited to the accounts of 1897-98 without any budget provision being made or any sanction accorded.

G.O., Mis. No. 367, Financial, dated 13th April 1898.

ABSTRACT.—Recording telegram from the Government of India stating that the application for an additional grant in connection with the demarcation of the boundary line between Hyderabad and Kistna was received too late for action before the end of the year.

READ—also the following papers :—

Reference, No. 862, dated 4th August 1898.

Read—again Board's Reference, No. 488, dated 2nd May 1898, forwarding to the Collector of Kistna, for very early report, G.O., No. 1714, Revenue, dated 21st April 1898, communicating to the Board of Revenue, for early report, Government Order, Financial, on the long delay in the completion of the demarcation of the boundary line between Hyderabad and Kistna and the large sum of money debited to the accounts of 1897-98 without any budget provision being made or any sanction accorded.

Read—also the following letter from R. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 18th July 1898, Dis. No. 3837-G. :—

Adverting to the Board's Reference, No. 488, dated 2nd May 1898, calling for a report on paragraph 2 of G.O., Mis. No. 1714, Revenue, dated 21st April 1898, I have the honour to report as follows.

2. In the schedule attached to the joint report of Messrs. Bentley, Taylor and Fano, it was said that 326 stones were unsuitable and that 55 stones were supplied on the indent from Mr. Taylor and fixed before the submission of the above report. Mr. Atkinson had therefore applied for an allotment of Rs. 3,000 which was sanctioned by the Government in its Order, Mis. No. 1682, dated 29th April 1895, communicated with Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 2377 (Land Revenue), dated 10th May 1895. Messrs. Napier Brothers of Bezwada were asked in this office Ref. on C. on No. 2582-Ver., dated 14th June 1895, if they would take up the work, and they replied that they would be ready to take it up at any time. Thereupon the firm was again addressed in this office Ref. on C. No. 2582-Ver., dated 17th July 1895, to state at what cost they would fix all the stones required for the purpose in masonry and at what rate they would supply the stones alone without fixing them. They replied, in their letter, dated 24th July 1895, that they could not supply and fix

the stone in position for less than Rs. 12 per stone. The Tahsildars of Nandigama, Bezwada and Nuzvid taluks and the Deputy Tahsildar of Tiruvur division were directed in this office memorandum, Ref. on C. No. 2582-Ver., dated 4th August 1895, to report at what rate per boundary stone they could get the work of fixing the boundary stones in masonry done by local workmen. As the Tahsildars reported that the local workmen were not willing to take up the work, Messrs. Napier Brothers were addressed, on 24th October 1895, to take up the work of supplying and fixing the stones in masonry along the boundary line, and an agreement was entered into on 29th April 1896. The Superintendent, Madras Survey, was addressed in this office Ref. No. 2582-Ver. of 1895, dated 24th May 1896, to depute a surveyor to supervise the work who was ready for the work in August 1896. According to the Special Deputy Collector's letter, No. 1073, dated 16th July 1897, it appears that the total length of the boundary line to be demarcated was 64 miles, of which 24 miles were demarcated during 1896-97, that the balance remaining to be demarcated on 1st April 1897 was 40 linear miles and that 25 miles were marked during the quarter ending 30th June 1897. He further reported in his letter, No. 1599, dated 7th November 1897, that 309 stones were planted up to that date and in his letter, No. 199, dated 19th February 1898, that a sum of Rs. 2,388 was drawn and paid to the contractors towards the cost of stones supplied and bills for Rs. 1,320 were ready. The Deputy Collector in his first letter quoted above states that the remaining stones are kept ready by the contractors and will be planted as soon as the dispute between Mallavalli and Mirzapuram is settled.

3. When Mr. Atkinson applied for the allotment of Rs. 3,000, he included in the estimate only the cost of stones at Rs. 6 each, but in the engagement it was entered at Rs. 12 a stone, including the cost of the stone, its carriage to the place and the charge for fixing it in masonry. The expenditure incurred on this account during the official year 1897-98 was Rs. 2,388 and was incurred under G.O., Mis. No. 1682, dated 29th April 1895. It was apparently forgotten that the allotment lapsed with the official year 1895-96. The balance of Rs. 1,320 will be spent during the current official year.

Reference—No. 862, dated 4th August 1898.

In reply to paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 354, Financial, dated 4th April 1898, communicated with G.O., No. 1714, Revenue, dated 21st April 1898, calling for an explanation of (i) the delay in completing the demarcation of the Hyderabad-Kistna boundary, and (ii) the debit on that account in 1897-98 of so large a sum as Rupees 3,708 without budget provision or sanction, the Board submits, in original, the Collector's report, dated 18th July 1898.

2. It will be seen that the delay referred to was due chiefly to the impossibility of inducing local workmen to undertake the demarcation and to the time taken up in consequence in negotiating with a European firm, Messrs. Napier Brothers, who did not execute the necessary agreements until the end of April 1896. It was then necessary to procure the services of a competent surveyor, and the work was actually commenced only in August 1896, 24 miles being demarcated in the official year 1896-97 and 25 miles more during the quarter ending 30th June 1897. The remaining 15 miles appear to have been demarcated by the 7th November 1897 with the exception of the boundary between Mallavalli and Mirzapuram which was still in dispute.

3. The operations referred to in the preceding paragraph appear to have consisted of the replacement of over 300 boundary marks described as unsuitable in the schedule attached to the joint report of Messrs. Bentley, Taylor and Fano read in G.O., No. 371, Revenue, dated 15th June 1895. The work was thus an addition to that already carried out by those officers.

4. The amount actually expended in the year 1897-98 was only Rs. 2,388, not Rs. 3,708, but bills for Rs. 1,320 were pending payment at the close of that year and will be met during the current year. The Collector's only explanation for

incurring the expenditure without regular budget provision and sanction is that his office overlooked the fact that the allotment sanctioned in G.O., No. 1682, Revenue, dated 29th April 1895, lapsed with the official year 1895-96.

(Signed) LIONEL DAVIDSON,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Endt. Mis. No. 3608, Revenue.

Transferred to the Financial Department with reference to paragraph 2 of its Order, No. 354, dated 4th April 1898.

2. It does not appear that any allotment has been provided in the current year to meet the bills amounting to Rs. 1,320 awaiting payment.

(Signed) N. E. MARJORIBANKS,
Ag. Under Secy. to Government.

3rd September 1898.

G.O., Mis. No. 3802, Revenue, dated 21st September 1898.

Read—the following paper:—

Reference from the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 962, dated 26th August 1898.

Read—letter from R. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 13th August 1898, R.C. No. 3547-Gl.

Reference—No. 962, dated 26th August 1898.

With reference to Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 1702, dated 30th June 1898, approved in G.O., Mis. No. 3063, dated 20th July 1898, the Board begs to report that the Superintendent, Revenue Survey, Warangal and Elgandal Districts, Nizam's Dominions, has represented that the date (1st September 1898) already fixed for commencing the work of clearing the boundary line between the Hyderabad territory and the Kistna district is not suitable, and requests that it may, if possible, be altered to 1st November 1898. The Collector has no objection to the change and the Board recommends it.

2. The Collector further requests sanction for the entertainment of a deputy surveyor on Rs. 25 and a lascar on Rs. 6 per mensem for three months, this establishment being necessary for clearing the boundary line in question, as the Survey Deputy Collector is unable to spare any member of his permanent staff for the purpose. The Board begs leave to submit the Collector's proposal for the sanction of Government. It is reported that the Collector's estimate of Rs. 1,078 sanctioned in G.O., Mis. No. 4064, dated 5th August 1897, does not include the cost of this establishment now proposed. It is observed that, under G.O., No. 148, dated 10th March 1898, a moiety of the cost of it as well as half the sum of Rs. 1,078 already sanctioned, *i.e.*, about Rs. 586,* will have to be borne by His Highness the Nizam's Government. The other moiety, viz., Rs. 586, is debitable to the British Government and has to be provided under "25. Political—Miscellaneous—Imperial—Demarcation of boundaries between British Territories and Native States." The provision already made in the budget under this head is only Rs. 500. The Board requests that the necessary additional allotment may be made in the Financial Department.

* Sum already sanctioned 1,078
Cost of the proposed establishment .. 93

2 1,171

585½

3. On receipt of the Government Order, the Superintendent of the Madras Survey will be requested to depute a qualified deputy surveyor for the work in question.

(Signed) L. D. SWAMIKANNU,
for Ag. Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Order—Mis. No. 3802, Revenue, dated 21st September 1898.

The Board's recommendation to entertain a temporary establishment for three months at a total cost of Rs. 93 is approved, and its proceedings will be transferred to the Financial Department for the sanction of the necessary allotment with reference to paragraph 2 thereof.

2. The Board of Revenue is requested to report whether the postponement of the date of commencing line-clearing to 1st November 1898 will interfere with the arrangement sanctioned in G.O., No. 3489, dated 26th August 1898, which requires the presence of the Special Deputy Collector at Mirzapuram on the 11th October in connection with the checking of the boundary between that village and Mallavalli.

3. The Resident at Hyderabad will be addressed.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) N. E. MARJORIBANKS,
for Secretary to Government.

To the Financial Department.

„ Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

From T. HESKETH BIGGS, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 26th September 1898, No. 4426.

With reference to article 1545 of the Civil Account Code, I have the honour to state that the expenditure booked up to the end of July last shows that the proportional grants under the following heads have been exceeded by the amounts shown opposite each and that additional allotments will be necessary to cover the excess already incurred and that which may hereafter appear:—

	Proportional grants.	Expenditure up to end of July 1898.	Excess.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
19-B. Law and Justice—			
Jails	3,63,000	4,53,957	72,957
25. Political—			
Miscellaneous—			
Imperial	167	2,685	2,518
28. Civil Furlough and Absentee Allowance ..	333	6,787	6,454
29. Superannuations—			
Pensions of the Madras Civil Fund—			
Imperial	2,400	7,151	4,751
32. Miscellaneous—			
Charges for Remittance of Treasure—			
Imperial	7,600	25,576	17,976

2. Compared with the corresponding actuals of 1897-98, there is an excess of Rs. 34,000 under Jails proper and of Rs. 62,000 under Jail manufactures, whilst the excess over the budget is Rs. 32,000 under the former and Rs. 41,000 under the latter. That under the former is chiefly in dieting charges of central district and sub-jails. The total expenditure in 1897-98 amounted to Rs. 8,63,000 for Jails and Rs. 2,95,000

for Jail manufactures making a total of Rs. 11,58,000. I am not in a position to say whether the same ratio of increase will continue to the close of the year or whether the excess already incurred will be set off by disproportionate short expenditure in the remaining months. In the circumstances, the Inspector-General of Prisons is being asked to obtain an additional allotment for the current year, if he thinks his grant will be ultimately exceeded.

3. The excess expenditure under 25. *Political—Miscellaneous—Imperial* relates to the charges incurred in the demarcation of boundaries between Jeypore estate (Vizagapatam) and the Central Provinces and between British territory (Kistna) and the Nizam's Dominions. An additional allotment of Rs. 5,000 may be obtained under this head.

4. The increase under 28. *Civil Furlough and Absentee Allowance* represents the privilege leave allowances paid to Mr. W. A. Willock, I.C.S., as Collector of the Vizianagram Zamindari. The addition required here is Rs. 7,000.

5. Under 29. *Superannuations—Pensions of the Madras Civil Fund—Imperial*, the excess is due to the payment of pension and passage money to Mrs. Grose and her children. A marriage donation of £1,000 has still to be paid to one of her daughters. I think that an additional allotment of Rs. 22,000 will be necessary.

6. The increase under 32. *Miscellaneous Charges for Remittance of Treasure—Imperial* is consequent on the large remittances made to Calcutta, Cuttack, Lahore and Delhi. As at present known an additional allotment of Rs. 30,000 is necessary for the current year.

7. As the heads referred to in paragraphs 3 to 6 are Imperial heads, the sanction of the Government of India is necessary for the additional allotments required under those heads. None of these excesses was anticipated at the time of the preparation of the budget estimates.

ORDER—No. 902, Financial, dated 19th October 1898.

The Government of India will be addressed.

2. As regards the excess under *Jails*, the Inspector-General's application will be awaited.

[Here enter letter, No. 903, Financial, dated 19th October 1898.]

(True Extract.)

G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.

" Accountant-General.

" Inspector-General of Prisons.

Government {1898} of Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Recd.

Regd.

1898.

Enclosures

Spare copies

Letter, No. 903, 19th October 1898.

OCTOBER.

Additional Allotments.

Stating that the expenditure booked up to the end of July last shows that the proportional grants under certain heads have been exceeded, and requesting that the — necessary to cover the excess already incurred and that which may hereafter appear may be sanctioned at an early date.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

LETTER—from

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
FORT ST. GEORGE,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
FINANCE AND COMMERCE DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

The Accountant-General has reported with reference to article 1545 of the Civil Account Code that the expenditure booked up to the end of July last shows that the proportional grants under the following heads have been exceeded by the amounts shown opposite each and that additional allotments will be necessary to cover the excess already incurred and that which may hereafter appear:—

	Proportional grants.	Expenditure up to end of July 1898.	Excess.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
25. Political—			
Miscellaneous ..	167	2,685	2,518
28. Civil Furlough and Absentee Allowance ..	333	6,787	6,454
29. Superannuations—			
Pensions of the Madras Civil Fund ..	2,400	7,151	4,751
32. Miscellaneous—			
Charges for Remittance of Treasure ..	7,600	25,576	17,976

2. The excess under 25. *Political—Miscellaneous* relates to charges incurred in the demarcation of boundaries between Jeypore estate (Vizagapatam) and the Central Provinces and between the Kistna district and the Nizam's Dominions. I am to request that an additional allotment of Rs. 5,000 may be made under this head.

3. The increase under 28. *Civil Furlough and Absentee Allowance* represents the privilege leave allowances paid to Mr. W. A. Willock, I.C.S., as Collector of the Vizianagram Zamindari. The additional allotment required is Rs. 7,000.

4. Under 29. *Superannuations—Pensions of the Madras Civil Fund* the excess is due to the payment of pension and passage money to Mrs. Grose and her children. A marriage donation of £1,000 has still to be paid to one of her daughters. An additional allotment of Rs. 22,000 will be necessary.

5. The increase under 32. *Miscellaneous Charges for Remittance of Treasure* has been caused by large remittances made to Calcutta, Cuttack, Lahore and Delhi. As far as can be seen at present an additional allotment of Rs. 30,000 will be necessary.

6. The foregoing excesses could not be anticipated at the time of the preparation of the budget estimates and I am to request that the necessary allotments may be sanctioned at an early date.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

FORT ST. GEORGE,
19th October 1898.

G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

Financial
Revenue (1898) Department.

3400
CURRENT No. 5523

Is there any provision this year?
RS
24-8-98

Put up an explanatory
note
RS

From

CURRENT No. 3400

The Board of Revenue, Land
Revenue, Madras

Order Endt. no. 3608 d/3rd Sept 98.

No. 862 REF.

Transferred to
the Financial Depart-
ment with reference to
para 2 of its order
no. 354 of 4-4-98. J

Dated 4th August 1898

Received 4 n

Registered 8 n

Enclosures /

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Govt. the explana-
tion of the Collector of Kistna
for the delay in completing the
demarcation of the Hyderabad-Kist-
na boundary and for the debit on
that account in 1897-98 of so
large a sum as Rs. 5708 without
budget provision or sanction.

To the Financial Dept.
(with Notes)

Cl
19-8-98.
28-8-98
RS
3-9-98

Recd 31-9-98
Regd 5-10-98
Index 1/10/98

B.C.
5/9/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.
1714	21-4	98 Mi
3524	52-4	98 "
18718	25-2	98 "
109	5-2	98 "
53	70-1	98 "
965	20-11	97 "
954/5	18-11	97 "
847	11-10	97 "
371	15-6	95 se

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Financial (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2701

From The Revenue Dept.

Order

Mis No. 3802

Dated 21st September 98.

Received 29

Registered 30

Enclosures 1

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Sanctioning with remarks the entertainment of a temporary establishment for three months in connection with the work of clearing the boundary line between the Hyderabad territory and Kistna D.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Financial (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2675

Def
This is a full paper account
in Boundary charges
Revenue Dept
80-Ask Rev & the 80.
Put all up together. Refd
Shuf
cul
20/9/98

CA The boundary charges file obtained from H.C. 26 put up. The Rev Dept say that their G.O. will be dispatched to the Mil. Dept tomorrow.
 Order From The Accountant General Madras

22/9/98

Be

Draft order stated
to India shares
When
cul
30/9/98

Please see
 No. 4426
 Dated 26 September 1898
 Received } 28 "
 Registered }
 Enclosures -
 Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Stating that the expenditure up to the end of July last shows that the proportional grants under certain heads have been exceeded and that additional allotments will be necessary.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Board's Ref. $\frac{162}{14-2-98}$ at page 1 of pp under G.O. $\frac{1714}{21-4-98}$

vide. telephonic message of 18-3-98 at page 3 ibid

Para 2 of Int. G.O. $\frac{354}{4-4-98}$
under Ref G.O. $\frac{1714}{21-4-98}$

B.P. Ref no. 862 3 4-2 98
(Cus 5523)

~~For~~ U.S.

In G.O. no. 1682 dated 29-4-95 the Govt sanctioned an allotment of Rs 3000 for durably demarcating the boundary line between the District and His Highness the Wigan's territory. In February 1898 the Board of Rev forwarded to Govt. an application from the Collector of District for an allotment of Rs 815 required for the demarcation of the boundary in addition to the above sum of Rs 3000. It was found on enquiry that there was no provision at all in the budget of 1897-98 for the purpose and the total sum to be debited in the accounts of ^{that year} ~~1897-98~~ was Rs 3708. Thereupon the Financial Dept called for an explanation "of the long delay in the completion of the work" and how so large a sum as

Rs

" Rs 3708 came to be debited in
" the accounts of 1897-98 without
" any budget provision being
" made or any sanction accord
" ed."

2. The current record
above furnishes the explanation
~~comprehension~~ which is that
the delay was chiefly due to
" the impossibility of inducing
" local workmen to undertake
" the demarcation and to the
" time taken up in consequence
" in negotiating with a
" European Firm Messrs
" Napier Brothers who did
" not execute the necessary
" agreements until the end
" of April '96." It is stated
that some time was spent
in getting a competent
surveyor and that the work
was actually commenced
only in August '96; 24
miles were demarcated
in 1896-97, 25 more during
the quarter ending 30 June 97
and the remaining 15 miles
by

M
para 2 of the R.P. in the current.

para 2 (and) of Allenby's letter N
of 18-7-98 in the current

para 3 ibid

Rs 3489
29-8-98
(Sent to Madras on
the 1-9-98).

G
This was what
was overlooked
5

by the 7 November '97 with
the exception of the boundary
between Mallavalli and Muzga
puram to which Mr
D. Seshachari has recently
been deputed by this Govt.

3. The total number
of stones that had to be ~~placed~~
planted in the above de-
marcation was 309, ~~and~~ at
Rs 12 a stone including the
cost of the stone, its carriage
to the place and the charge for
fixing in masonry, the
total cost amounts to Rs 3708.
It does not appear that the
bills pertaining to 1896-97
were paid in that year, but
in 1897-98 bills amounting
to Rs ~~2388~~ ²³⁸⁸ were paid on
the authority of the allotment
sanctioned in G.O. 1682
²⁹⁻⁴⁻⁹⁵
although this allotment lapsed
with the official year 1895-96.
Bills amounting to Rs 1320
are still outstanding to be
paid this year, but the
provision made in the
budget

G. A. 51-0-8-98.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 2400

SUBJECT.

Demarcation of boundaries charges.

C.S.

FOR ORDERS.

Attention is drawn to the Revenue Dept. Note accompanying the current. The explanation called for in para 2 of G.O. No. 354, Finl., dated 4th April 1898, as to the delay in completing the demarcation of the Hyderabad-Kistna boundary and the debit on that account in 1897-98 of the sum of Rs. 3708 without budget provision or sanction is furnished, and it now appears that only Rs. 2388 were actually spent in 1897-98 and that Rs. 1320 has to be provided in the current year to meet bills outstanding to that extent. In the telegram referred to in para. 1 of the order quoted above, application was made for an allotment of Rs. 3708 to cover this charge, but the telegram which was despatched on the 28th March reached the Govt. of India too late for any action before the end of the year. As matters stand there is only a provision of Rs 500 in the budget on account of demarcation on boundaries charges & Rs. 820 has now

to

I. G. O. No. 367 Finl. 13/4/98.

Page 169 of 170

Budget under 25 Political-Miscellaneous - Imperial. is only Rs 500 and there may be other demands under this head besides the one now in question. Please see draft sent on the current

Rs 3-9-98

Levy
3/4/98

S.D. 3/5/98

To Mr. Dykes

Dated 3/4/98.

1/4C
The current has been transferred to April 11 with the note
3. 126

to be found.

2. In January last the Accountant General desired that the Govt. of India ^{might} ~~may~~ be applied to for an additional allotment of Rs.5500 to cover similar charges in excess of the budget grant sanctioned by this Govt. in 1897-98. The Govt. considered the amount trifling and held that ^{it} ~~it~~ might be treated in the same manner as a somewhat larger excess under 23 ECCLESIASTICAL had been treated by the Accountant-General and included in his consolidated statement at the end of the year under Art. 296 of the C.A. Code. On the Accountant-General protesting that comparatively speaking the amount in question was large with reference to the grants ^{under the major heads} in each case the Govt. of India was addressed and the necessary sanction obtained. As however in the present case the amount is only Rs.820 as against Rs.5500 the sum disputed in January last it is submitted that the excess expenditure may be sanctioned and the Accountant-General requested to include it in his consolidated statement under Art.296 of the C.A. Code.

Draft order is submitted.

10/1/98
C.S.

The sum required (Rs 820) in addition to the budget allotment is not comparatively small, and from the linked file it will

*40. Rs. 109 Feb,
25 Feb/98. D*

*G.O. No. 187-188 Feb,
25 Feb/98. E*

*Letter No. 1231-4
12/2/98 in G.O.
No. 352 Feb, 2
4 April/98. H*

*This is not the point: The
sum required is Rs 1320
against a budget provision
of Rs 500.*

Cust

be seen that another Rs 585 is required by Rwe India may, it is submitted, be addressed on both points at the same time - i.e. draft on this case await the receipt of the Revenue G.O. in draft in the linked file

Cust.
19.9.98

Ving will.

11/2/98

To be sent pending receipt of the Rev. G.O.

*12/1
26/1/98*

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., No. 534/5, dated 4. 4. 1898. (P)
Again G.O., No. 257, dated 14. 4. 1898 (P).
Current No. 2400 of 1898, dated 3rd Septr., from the Rev. Dept.
" " 2701 " 1898, " 21st Septr. " the Rev. Dept. } (A)
" " 2675 " 1898, " 26th Septr. " the Acctt. Genl.

ORDER.

No 902 of 19-18-98

The Government of India will be addressed.

2. As regards the excess under Jails the Inspector-General's application will be awaited.

The Rev. Dept. (2 of 2400 & 2701)
,, Acctt. Genl. (2 of 2675)
,, Inspector-General of Jails.
(2 of 2400 & 2701 & 2675)

LETTER.

No 903 of 19-18-98

TO

The Secy. to the Govt. of India,
Finance & Commerce Dept.

Sir, I am directed to state that with reference to Art. 1545 of the Civil Account Code the Acctt. Genl. has reported that the expenditure booked up to the end of July last shows that the proportional grants under the following heads have been exceeded

B.C. Note if please as a possible increase to be provided for.
To Cus

Noted
and
20/10/98.

Done in m. to India
please check
and

exceeded by the amounts shown opposite each and that additional allotments will be necessary to cover the excess already incurred and that which may hereafter appear:-

	Proportional grants	Expenditure up to end of July 1898.	Excess
~~18. D. Law and Justice Jails~~	~~Rs 5,68,000~~	~~1,58,957~~	~~78,957~~
25 Political-Miscellaneous ..	167	2,685	2,518
28 Civil furlough & absentee allowance ..	333	6,787	6,454
29 Superannuations - Pensions of the Madras Civil Fund ..	2,400	7,151	4,751
32. Miscellaneous - Charges for Remittance of Treasure ..	7,600	25,576	17,976

2. The excess under 25 Political Miscellaneous relates to charges incurred in the demarcation of boundaries between Jeypore estate (Vizagapatam) and the Central Provinces and between British Territory (Kistna) and the Nizam's Dominions. I am to request that an additional allotment of Rs.5,000 may be made under this head.

3. The increase under 28 Civil Furlough and Absentee Allowance represents the privilege leave allowances paid to Mr. W.A. Willock I.C.S. as Collector of the Vizianagarum Zamindari. The additional allotment required is Rs.7,000.

4. Under 29 Superannuations- Pensions of the Madras Civil Fund the excess is due to the payment of pension and passage money to Mrs. Grose and her children. A marriage donation of Rs.1,000 has still to be paid to one of her daughters. An additional allotment of Rs.22,000 will here be necessary.

5. The increase under 32 Miscellaneous - Charges for Remittance of Treasure -

has been caused by is due to large remittances made to Calcutta, Cuttack, Lahore and Delhi. As far as it is at present known an additional allotment of Rs.30,000 will be necessary. The foregoing 6. As none of these excesses was anticipated at the time of the preparation of the Budget estimates I am directed to request that the necessary allotments may be sanctioned at an early date.

15/10/98

CMS 15/10/98

18/10/98

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED.

Order complete.

Letter only.

Order complete with Letter.

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING WANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
F = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.