Financial (1898) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 57

DATED 24. 1. 98.

INDEXED.

24/25

[Despatch Abstract.]

Casual leave.

Stating that the Christmas & Easter vacations should not be added to —— :

[G. / 179]

The Notes
in these Proceedings have been printed.

T/14.1.98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government (1898) of Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Recd. } 1898. *Enclosures*
Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 57, 24th January 1898.

JANUARY.

Casual Leave.

Stating that the Christmas and Easter vacations should not be added to—.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following papers :—

G.O., No. 853, Financial, dated 17th October 1894.
" " 714 " " 20th September 1895.
" " 817 " " 2nd October 1897.

Read—also the following paper :—

From the Registrar, High Court of Judicature, Madras, dated 3rd December 1897, Ref. on Cur. No. 2613.

Order—No. 57, Financial, dated 24th January 1898.

Under the orders read at the head of these proceedings casual leave should not be granted for a longer period than five days at a time or for more than ten days in a year, gazetted holidays being excluded from the calculation when they immediately precede or succeed the five days' limit, but being included when they occur in the middle of that limit. Sundays, however, may be excluded whether they occur at the beginning, in the middle, or at the end of the five days' limit.

X 2. In re-issuing these orders, His Excellency the Governor in Council considers that the Christmas and Easter vacations should be excluded from the foregoing concessions, and in future these holidays shall not be added to casual leave.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of the Secretariat.
" all Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Ead. C. M. Thumbuswamy.

Financial 38

N ~~Judicial~~ (1897) Department.

~~CURRENT~~ No. 3886

3886

Endorsement

Ack. 8/12 Ref 8/12/97

CURRENT No. ~~5153~~

Transferred to the Finl. Department.

714/95 Finl. 817/97

CHIEF SECRETARY
4-DEC. 1897
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

A. W. Knapp.

Ag Under Secretary.

8/12/97

To The Finl. Deptt.

Order

R.

~~The query in the letter from the Registrar of the High Court will be answered in the affirmative~~

CMS 12.12.97

The Hon. the C.J. (with C.L.).

~~Nth Please~~ G.O. No 714 Finl. d/ 20.9.95 (para 2

From

The Registrar,
High Court of Judicature,
Madras.

Ref. on Cur. No. 2613.

Dated 3rd December 1897.

Recd. — do —

Received } 4-12-97
Registered }

Enclosures —

Spare copies —

SUBJECT.

Enquiring whether gazetted holidays and Sundays immediately preceding or succeeding casual leave can be added to such leave.

Ref

Put up original of G.O. 714 Finl. d/ 20.9.95.

CMS 12.12.97

Orig. is already in file. Flag B removed from the S.C. & put in on the orig. of G.O. 714 Finl. of 95.

13/12/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	714	Finl	20.9.95
	817	"	2.10.97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G. O. No 57, Finl, dt 24-1-98

Ref. on Cur. No.2613/97.   High Court of Judicature,

Madras, 3rd December 1897.

CURRENT No 3886 ~~5153~~

Read also

2 headings

From

D. W. G. Cowie, Esquire,

Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, Madras.

To

The Honorable Mr. A. T. Arundel,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

~~B~~ A

Honorable Sir,

With reference to G.Os. Nos.714 and 817, Financial, dated 20th September 1895 and 2nd October 1897, respectively, I am directed to request that you will be good enough to state whether gazetted holidays and Sundays immediately preceding or succeeding casual leave may be added to such leave.

I have the honor to be,

Honorable Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

D W G Cowie

Registrar.

E.O.M.

C 4-1-98. C6-1-98
R 5-1-98
R-10/1/98
C-11/1/98
C-11/1/98

fo. No 57
24-1-98.

Financial Dept:

CASUAL LEAVE

C.No.3886 18

H.m. & circ.

FOR ORDERS

Z Paragraph 1 of G.O.No. No.853, Finl., d/ 17th Octr. 1894, ruled that casual leave should not be granted for a longer period than five days at a time or for more than ten days in a year.

B 2. Paragraph 2 of G.O.No.714, Finl., d/ 20th Septr. 1895, stated that gazetted holidays and Sundays should not be included in the five

A days limit. G.O.No.817, Finl., d/ 2nd Octr. 1897, directed that gazetted holidays should be included in the calculation of casual leave when they occur in the middle of the five days limit but that Sundays might be excluded.

3. In C.No.3886 (the present letter) the High Court enquires, with reference to the above rulings, whether gazetted holidays and Sundays imeediately preceding or succeeding casual leave may be added to such leave.

4 Attention is solicited to the

S note by the Hon'ble Sir Henry Bliss, K.C.I.E., d/ 11th Septr. 1895, in the original of G.O. 714 Finl. d/ 20-9-95, from which

which it is clear that the intention was that gazetted holidays and Sundays should be excluded in the calculation when they occur at the beginning or end of casual leave. This is also clear from the note on the margin of the original of G.O.No.817 Finl., d/ 2nd Octr. 1897. It is not apparent what doubts the High Court can have as regards Sundays, for G.O.No.714 Finl., d/20th Septr. 1895, distinctly stated that Sundays should not be included in the five days limit and G.O.No.817 Finl., d/ 2nd Octr. 1897, excluded Sundays when they occur in the middle of the limit. It is prposed to say

A

B

A

see revised draft 21/1/98

ORDER
Mis

The query A in the letter from the Registrar of the High Court will be answered in the affirmative.

BS 4/1/98

10/1/98

Cmd. 14.12.97

24.12.97.

To

The Hon: the Chief Justice
of the High Court (2+0)
with C.L.

This order ~~ptd~~ is in accord with previous notes & orders. The object in view is to add casual leave to the Christmas or other gazetted holidays

If this is the idea, I should say it was going too far - I should except the Christmas & Easter holidays from those which can be added to casual leave - These holidays are long enough and they are to lengthen them will interfere with the public business

BS

Ingun. 11.1.98

Financial Dept:

CASUAL LEAVE

1
Q

Read again G.O.No.853 Finl., d/17-10-94
" " " " 714 " " 20-9-95
" " " " 817 " " 2-10-97
" also C.No.3886 ----------- Q

X

ORDER No. 57 d 24.1.98.
P.S

Under ~~the order~~ the orders read at the head of these proceedings casual leave should not be granted for a longer period than five days at a time or for more than ten days in a year, gazetted holidays being excluded from the calculation when they immediately precede or succeed the five days limit, but being included ~~in the calculation~~ when they occur in the middle of that limit. Sundays however may be excluded whether they occur at the beginning, in the middle, or at the end of the five days limit.

2. In reissuing these orders, H.E. the Governor in Council considers that the Christmas and Easter vacations should be excluded from the foregoing concessions ~~granted above~~ and in future these holidays shall not be added to casual leave.

Cmd 19/1/98

(From Orders)

20.1.98

To

The Depts. of the Sectt. 83.
All Heads of Depts. under the
Chief Secretary.

Despd 31.1.98

3.2.98

Special Issue

Done 15/2/98

NOTE

The G.O. has been redrafted as although

although the Hon'ble Members have passed the draft already submitted, their later notes really render that G.O. incorrect.

Cwb.
16/1/98

Public **(1898) Department.**

D. O No. 87

LODGED.
DATE 29-3-98

__Note__

Reference was made to other Govts when the question of casual leave was first considered. Please see places flagged T in G.O. 853 Fin'l of 17th October '94 & the replies from those Govts are embodied in the note flagged N

JJ
9/2/98

__Ref__

I think the Bombay and Bengal Qy. Civil lists give a list of Govt holidays in those Presidencies both ~~[illegible]~~

D. O.

__Note for the CA.__

The Bombay Quarterly Civil list gives a list of the holidays granted in that Presy. but the Bengal one does not give any information re this

Please see place marked with tabs.

Civil Topics

JJ 11/2/98

From W. B. De Winton Esq

No.

Dated 7th
Received 7th Feb 1898
Registered 9th
Enclosures one
Spare copies

__Office__

Lodge & stitch these papers with the original of G.O. No. 57 Financial of 24th January 1898

Cwb.
HCB
29. 3. 98

SUBJECT.

__Re.__ the restrictions placed in casual leave

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
D.O. 180 :	26·4·94		
853 :	17·10·94		
817 :	2·10·97		
301 :	6·4·95		
359 :	3·5·95		
714 :	20·9·95		
904 :	19·11·95		
74 :	3·2·96		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

150—19-3-97.

(E. No. 111.)

Office of the Examiner, P. W. Accounts,
Madras, 6 . 2 . 1897.

Dear Delorinton

The practice and the local orders regarding casual leave in Madras are peculiar to this Presidency, and nothing of the kind exists in any other part of India that I have been to. Elsewhere, so long as the ordinary conditions laid down in

Note 1 to art: 366 are observed, casual leave, in my experience, is given at any time & without any other restrictions — except that there is a sort of unwritten law that not more than 10 days casual leave can be taken at any one time - Times out of number have I known this description of leave given in continuation of recognized sanctioned holidays - On the other hand there is no doubt that Madras is more liberally treated in the matter of Xmas. & Easter holidays &c than other parts of India.

Yr. Sincerely

E. A. Denny

Ask Bengal & Bombay D.O. what their rules are & what their longer general holidays are i.e. Christmas, Durga &c.

D.O. 87.

7.2.98.

Ack

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Public Works Department,

Chepâuk,

7. 2 1898.

My Dear Arundel,

I was surprised to see G.O. Financial No 57 of 24 Jan 98, placing still further restrictions on casual leave, & do hope there is some chance of the matter being reconsidered. Are you aware that it is only in Madras that restrictions are placed on casual leave? The C.A.R. (Art 366 Note 1.)

Encl 1 to D.O. No. 87 of 98

does not recognise casual
leave or make any rules
about it except that it is
not to be given in continuation
of other leave - with regard
to the practice in the rest
of India please see two letters
Commissioners, Dennys' & Innes'
enclosed - I cannot say
what the ~~season~~ length of the Xmas
& Easter holidays is in Bengal -
but they have the Durga
Pooja holidays in Oct.
lasting a fortnight which must
more than make up the diff-
-erence. My objections to
Madras alone being made
the victim of these restrictions
are
(1) that the Absent - Absence
rule is frustrated.
(2) that the rules being as
they are, limiting the
period to 5 days at a time
already bears very hardly
on men up country, who want
to come to Madras to see
a dentist or other necessary
persons, & cannot now do
so except at Xmas or
Easter by adding on casual
leave to the latter, or by
taking privilege leave.

& now that Carter is cut
out too, they can only come
at Xmas.

(3) Even if the period was
limited to 10 days per annum
& we were allowed to take
it whenever we liked we
shd be in far worse case
than Military officers who can
get 10 days' station leave
at any time, if the GOC
chooses to give it to them.

My own view of the matter is
that it may be necessary
to limit the amt. of
casual leave given here

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Public Works Department,
Chepâuk,
189 .

annum; tho' I doubt even that; but whatever limit is fixed, heads of offices should have complete discretion as to the grant of it – either all or any of it at a time, & at any time of year, provided that it is not given in extension of any other kind of leave.

I do hope you agree with me in this, & will endeavour

to let Council lease placed
on a more sound &
generous basis - what
has Madras done that
she should be the victim
of restrictions from which
other provinces are
free?

Yours sincerely

L.B. Hamilton

[G./25]
1,000 -22-11-97.

Public DEPARTMENT

D.o.
G. No. 87

SUBJECT.

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.
THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.
THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

The restrictions placed on Casual Leave.

FOR ORDERS.

Mr de Winton writes re- the restrictions placed on casual leave by G. O. No. 57, Finl., dated 24th January 1898. The G.O. in question, [flagged A], reissues the following rulings.

(1.) The amount of Casual leave is limited to 10 days per annum, to be availed of in periods not exceeding 5 days at a time.

(2). Gazetted holidays are excluded from the calculation when they immediately precede or succeed the 5 days' limit, ~~(3)~~ but are included when they occur ~~at the beginning or in~~ in the middle ~~or~~ ~~at the end~~ of the 5 days' limit."

(3) Sundays are excluded altogether.

The new ruling contained in para 2 of the same G.O. excludes the Christmas and Easter vacations from the concessions in (2)

Mr de Winton contends that the C.S.R. (art 366 note 1) contain no rules about Casual leave except that it is not to be given in continuation of other leave, and with regard to the practice in other parts of India cites the Examiner of P.W. Accounts whose letter he encloses.

The purport of Mr Dennys' letter is that in other parts of India Casual leave is given at any time and without any restriction for periods not exceeding 10 days at any one time.

He states that to his knowledge it is quite a common thing for casual leave to be given in continuation of recognized sanctioned Holidays. He however admits that the number of holidays given for Christmas and Easter is is greater ~~and Easter are more~~ in Madras than elsewhere.

Mr de Winton however urges that even if the Christmas and Easter Holidays are more in Madras than in Bengal, ~~that~~ the Doorga Pooja holidays in the latter Presidency last a fortnight & more than make up for the difference. His objections to the G.O. in question are briefly as follows:—

(1). that the rules as they stand bear very hardly on ~~men~~ officers up country who can only come to Madras for short periods at Christmas or Easter by taking Casual leave in continuation of those Holidays, or by taking privilege leave.

(2) that by the leave being limited to 10 days per annum Military officers are put on a better footing, for the latter can get 10 days

~~and later~~ "station leave" at any time, at the discretion of the G.O.C.

While admitting that it may be necessary to limit the amount of Casual leave per annum, he proposes that heads of offices be allowed complete discretion as to the grant of it, subject to the proviso contained in Art. 366 note 1 of the C.S.R.

When the question of Casual leave was first considered, the Govts: of Bombay and Bengal were asked to inform this Govt. of the rules in force in those Presidencies regarding the grant of casual leave, and whether any limit was fixed as to the number of days an officer might avail himself of such leave. [No. 1465 Public dated 18th July 1894, ~~Paper~~ flagged T]

The Govt. of Bengal replied forwarding a copy of a Circular order dated

They also get per annum 2 months of what corresponds to priv. leave.
Military officer's work is so different & their case is not parallel with that of civil officers.
A.K.

15th October 1890. [Flagged C] Casual leave, according to this order, may be granted for not more than 7 days by Commissioners. Casual leave in excess of this period must be sanctioned by Govt. Casual leave in continuation of gazetted holidays, whenever the total exceeds 10 days requires the sanction of government.

No limit of the number of days (per annum) casual leave (to which an officer may be entitled,) is mentioned

The Govt. of Bombay in their reply [flagged D] stated that the amount of casual leave was in the aggregate 20 days per annum or 7 days at one time in that Presidency. In resolution No. 3461, Finl, dated 27th October 1875 of the same Govt. [flagged E] it is laid down that Casual leave is not to be taken in continuation of any other sort of leave or public holidays.

The longer general holidays observed in the Bombay Presidency are found on pages 242 + 243 of the Bombay Quarterly Civil List. ~~They amount to 11 days for each. The information for the Bengal Presidency is not available and a D.O. to that Govt. is submitted.~~

Public Holidays in Bombay

Christian	General – 4 – 11	
Hindu	— 11	
Muhammadan	– 11	for each Sect
Parsi	— 11	for each of two sects.
Jewish	— 11	

That is each sect gets 15 days.

Public Holidays in Madras:

Sanctioned in G.O. No. 126, Public, dated 19th Febry 1895, [flagged S]

	Days.
General – (to all sects)	29 28
Special to Christians	2
For Roman Catholics	1
General to Hindus	6
Veishnava Sect	1
Siva sect	1
Telugu and Tamil new year's day	1
For Muhammadans	9
Last Saturday or last but one Saturday in the month – to all sects	12

From the above it will be seen that Madras is far more liberally treated in the matter of Public Holidays than Bombay.

The following is a list of the Public Holidays observed in Bengal as found on page 35 of Thacker's Indian Directory for 1897

General Holidays	Days
New year's day	2
Durga and Lucklee Pujas	6
Other Hindu and Mahomedan festivals	11
Good Friday & Easter Saturday	2
Empress' Birthday	1
Christmas	3~~2~~
Total	25

The following are not declared "Public Holidays" though they are observed in most offices.

Mahomedan festivals	5
Hindu "	6
Other: two days preceding Christmas Eve	2

Bengal and Madras therefore appear to be equally liberal in the grant of holidays. In Bombay there are fewer holidays and casual leave cannot be taken in addition to holidays. The rules in Madras as regards casual leave ~~the~~ strike a happy mean between the liberality ~~of~~ in Bengal and the restriction ~~of~~ in Bombay.

It is submitted that if any change is to be introduced it would be better to adopt the Bombay system of equalizing the number of close holidays granted to each sect and to extend the limit of casual leave to 15 days in a year and a week at a time

Cws 19.2.98

D. O.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

FORT ST. GEORGE,

22nd Feby. 1898.

My dear De Winton,

With reference to your letter of the 7th Inst. I enclose an office note on the subject of casual leave for perusal & return.

Await its return
24.2.98

I think the matter should now rest for the present where the Govt. Order has fixed it.

Yours Sincerely

A T Arundel

C.A/58 —960—23-2-97.

Public Works Department,
Consulting Architect's Office,
~~Chepauk,~~ Madras,
4th March 1898

My dear de Winton.

In Central India 10 days casual leave was a recognised institution, and men nearly always arranged to work in recognised holidays — so as to get a few extra days — & no exception in my experience was ever taken to this/

The granting of the leave was left entirely at the discretion of the H.^d of the Dep^mt :– There existed an understanding that it should not be asked for more than twice in a year – most men went once some twice :– The men who "took all they c.^d get" were well known and curbed accordingly –

It was during the very hot months (April to Oct^ber) in Upper India – that you were positively encouraged to get away a bit to the Hills – for the change is necessary to hard worked men :–

The Xmas Holidays in Central India extend from Boxing Day to the

2d Jan 4

Yrs sincerely

Geo Daniel

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Public Works Department,
Chepâk,
21. 3 1898.

AA
21. 3. 98
No further action for the present

My dear Arundel,

I return with thanks the file of papers sent with your D.O. of 22nd Feb. They have been lying by because I have been away from Madras a good deal since they came.

I hope most sincerely
you will not allow the
matter to rest where it
is, because the rules as
they stand make casual
leave practically useless
to a large number of
officers. It is no
argument in favour of
leaving things as they are
to say that Madras is
more liberally treated
in the matter of holidays

than Bombay, because
the liberality consists chiefly
in a greater number
of single holidays,
which are of no practical
use to anyone, & are
generally used to wipe
off arrears of work.
There should be at least
2 occasions annually
on which any officer in
the Presidency, however
remote from the Presidency
town, can visit it

to the professional advice
or other persons without
the necessity for taking
privilege leave. These 2
occasions have hitherto
existed by allowing casual
leave to be taken in
extension of Xmas &
Easter holidays. The
recent orders reduce these
occasions to one, viz
the Xmas holidays.
& I think it bears
very hard on in

2. GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Public Works Department,
Chepâk,
189 .

Govt. orders that it
stipulate so, particularly
as cas. leave is subject
to a max: of 10 days
at a time elsewhere
except in Bombay, &
7 days in Bombay.
I certainly think that
the old limit for
casual leave of 10
days at a time

should be reintroduced, which I think is the proper course, is that the privilege of taking Cas leave in extension of the Easter holidays should at any rate be restored - If neither of these courses is approved, cannot the whole of the 10 days permissible in a year be taken at once if necessary? I hope you will do what you can in the matter -

Yours sincerely

L Bellenton

[G./34]

250—8-1-98.

Judl DEPARTMENT.

List showing the distribution of the Proceedings of Government, No. 57, dated 24.1.98

	Revenue Department	30	Despd 31.1.98.
	Public Works Department	40	
	Marine Department	5	
	Local and Municipal Department	5	
	Pension Department	1	
	Educational Department	1	
	Legislative Department	1	
JUDICIAL.	Honorable the Chief Justice with C.L.	30	Despd 31.1.98
	Inspector-General of Police	6	
	Do. of Prisons	22	
	Do. of Registration	25	
	Chief Presidency Magistrate	6	
	Commissioner of Police	2	
	Judges of the Madras Court of Small Causes	2	
	Advocate-General	1 Each	
	Government Solicitor		
	Administrator-General		
	Sheriff of Madras		
	Government Pleader		
	Crown Prosecutor		
	Registrar of Joint Stock Companies		
PUBLIC.	Deputy Adjutant-General, Madras Command ✓	10	Destd 3.2.98
	Surgeon-General ✓	6	
	Sanitary Commissioner ✓	2	
	Sanitary Engineer to Government ✓	2	
	Meteorological Reporter ✓	1 Each	
	President, Board of Examiners		
	Private Secretary to His Excellency the Governor		
	Military Secretary to His Excellency the Governor		
	Government Astronomer ✓		
	Superintendent, Government Central Museum ✓		
	Chemical Examiner		
	Protector of Emigrants		
	Superintendent, Government Press		
	Tamil Translator to Government		
	Telugu do. to do.		
	Malayalam do. to do.		
	Canarese do. to do.		
	Hindustani do. to do.		
	British Consular Agent, Pondicherry		
	Superintendent, Archæological Survey		
	Government Epigraphist		
	Registrar-General of Births, Deaths, and Marriages	6	
POLITICAL.	Resident in Travancore and Cochin	4	
	Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends	1	
	Political Agent, Pudukkóttai (Trichinopoly)	1	
	Do. Sandur (Bellary)	1	
	Do. Banganapalli (Kurnool)	2	
	Government Agent, Tanjore	1	
	Special Agent, South Arcot	1	
ECCLESIASTICAL.	The Right Reverend the Bishop of Madras	2	
	Venerable the Archdeacon of Madras	1	
	Senior Chaplain, Church of Scotland	1	
	Roman Catholic Bishop	1	

FINANCIAL.
- Accountant-General 4
- Superintendent of Stationery 1
- Postmaster-General 1
- Commr of Paper currency ——— 1

EDUCATIONAL.
- Director of Public Instruction ✓ 86
- Commissioner for Government Examinations ✓ .. 1
- Superintendent, Government Museum 1

THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE OF
- Anantapur .. ..
- North Arcot (Chittoor) ..
- South Arcot (Cuddalore) ..
- Bellary .. ..
- South Canara (Mangalore) ..
- Chingleput (Saidapet) ..
- Coimbatore ..
- Cuddapah .. ..
- Ganjám (Chatrapur) ..
- Gódávari (Cocanada) ..
- Kistna (Masulipatam) ..
- Kurnool .. ..
- Madura .. ..
- Malabar (Calicut) ..
- Nellore .. ..
- Nilgiris (Ootacamund) ..
- Salem .. .. ..
- Tanjore .. ..
- Tinnevelly .. ..
- Trichinopoly .. ..
- Vizagapatam .. ..

THE DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE OF
- North Arcot (Chittoor) ..
- South Arcot (Cuddalore) ..
- Bellary .. ..
- South Canara (Mangalore) ..
- Chingleput .. ..
- Coimbatore .. ..
- Cuddapah .. ..
- Ganjám (Berhampore) ..
- Gódávari (Rajahmundry) ..
- Kistna (Masulipatam) ..
- Kurnool .. ..
- Madura .. ..
- North Malabar (Tellicherry).
- South Malabar (Calicut) ..
- Nellore .. ..
- Nilgiris (Ootacamund) ..
- Salem .. ..
- Tanjore .. ..
- Tinnevelly (Palamcottah) ..
- Trichinopoly .. ..
- Vizagapatam .. ..

5

opy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.
- Order complete. 400.
- Letter only.
- Order complete with Letter.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED 189 ,

Print as marked please.

ERJ
21/1/98

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only
Q = Print heading only.