

[F]
26

1,000-25-7-00

Financial (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 475

DATED 21.5.98

RECEIVED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording statement submitted by the
Sd/-, showing the allotment, sanction &
expenditure under "33. Drought Relief" from
April 1897 to Feb 1898.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
<i>cuo</i>							
1524/98							

Financial (1898) Current No. 1274

*363: 12-4-98
262: 12-3-98
160: 18-2-98*

*No. 5
F.A.S.2
From*

The Act Genl

*Dated 27.
Received 28 } April 98
Registered 29*

*Statement showing the
allocation, sanction & expenditure
under "33 Finance Relief" from
April 1897 to July 1898.*

*Order No 475, 21st May 1898
Mis*

Recorded in contn

of G.O. ul. 363 Enl dated 12th April 98 A

*AB
14/5/98*

*Cur.
mls 21.5 98*

20

*The Act Genl — 2 to
a Row of 10 — } A to.
a Bod of Gen (R.S.) }*

Desp 26/5/98

Vare with attached

Statement showing the allotment, sanction, and expenditure under "33 Lamine Relief" from April 97 to February 1898

Districts	Salaries and Establishments			Relief Works in charge of Joint Officers			Weavers' relief			Gratuitous Relief			Miscellaneous			Total	
	Allotment	Sanction	Expenditure	Allotment	Sanction	Expenditure	Allotment	Sanction	Expenditure	Allotment	Sanction	Expenditure	Allotment	Sanction	Expenditure	Allotment	Expenditure
Ganjam	34706	38637	35445 109	21174	15000	21147 17	235025	305000	218353 81	465126	375234	463753 74	2119			2410 46	758150 4600
Vizagapatnam	15382	18283	14251 79	18104	1000	18097 104	73654	90000	65415 129	126069	130100	125060 101	587			579 11	233796
Godavari	1275	870	4933 157	7	27395	615				24178	16853	14886 74					25460
Kistna			138 62														
Nellore	1216		16315	15000	102787	23506 59			10 39								
Kuddapah	11323	13936	13147 82	10000			382915	190000	380826 19	113869	95000	114403 75	2127			539 29	520234
Anantapur	24604	27984	27809 124	18424	45000	18077 210	47500	20000	30220 91	114968	80000	94306 183	192			151 27	205688
Bellary	31809	35575	32422 118	12385	12000	11270 54	102336		72911 122	250758		246255 111				390 81	397288
Kurnool	41001	39145	43650 31	20411	1455	20411 15	216926	65000	209472 19	288854	60033	285696 116	1295			1097 116	568487 50000
Chingelpet			18 54														
Nath Arut			120 125														
Tuchiripoly			7 14 5														
Madura																	
Salem			20 7 3														
South Canara	1806		103 104						184							1990	
Malabar			672 85														
Bangalore			216 108														
Presidency Audit		2198	2780 153														
Military Boundary			67 11 10														
Total	163122	176728	175972 105	115505	204637	112516 103	1058156	670000	777191 9	1339006	757720	1345615 159	6320			5124 146	2732309 54000

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Fort St. George,
Accountant General's Office

to 5
F. A. S. E.

W. H. T. H.

C. 1274

H. R.

What is the difference
between

a allotment

Sanction

How can the former be
more than the latter?

AB
8/10/98

Sir,
The 'allotment' is the Budget
Provision and the 'sanction' is
the amount placed by Govt at the
disposal of famine relief this and
apart from within the limits of the
allotment. Much more therefore
than the expenditure. He writes
the allotment. But he will be found
that the 'sanction' has exceeded
the allotment and expenditure both
allotment & sanction in some cases.
The Audit Office says that the
work of famine expenditure in 1897
has been irregular and
that nothing can be done now
on this statement but to record it.

AB
4/3/98

CA.

This states purports to deal
with the Civil expenditure only,
and not the

23.5.98

NOTE FOR THE BC.

There notes in slip are inconvenient and have been interdicted.

2 What we are concerned with is the allotment I take it and it is our duty to see that this is not exceeded by the expenditure in any case and if exceeded to set it right. I can find only one case in which expenditure has exceeded allotment - Nellie. but even this may have been set right by the order passed in financial on the 20th March. This point should be looked into

3 Why should the Ag. report sanctions at all? These amounts include, I understand, what is the charge sanctioned by the Board. But we have the expenditure in the expenditure column and the allotment in the allotment column. As long as the former does not exceed the latter

~~to find~~ the total allotment for Nellie is Rs 35,138
the actuals are Rs 36,654.
in the final report, but in the 1st report the excess is 9,299 against 10,754 (part) E
Total 15,953 against 15,894 (over)

small excess of Rs 59,
which may be added in the final account.

§ 35
Financial

A.B.

~~Handwritten signature and crossed-out text~~

B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract on
Q = Copy heading of

It is no use listening to
the A.P. Office. They say
all this now and then when
India comes down pretend they
were all right once more all
wrong

CMS

7.5 9/11

Redy B.C. 16.5 9/11

Returned 16.5 9/11

As regards the query in para
3, the A.G. has to keep a record of
sanctions made by competent
authority (Board, R.D. etc) from
time to time, and he reports these
periodically to Govt. in separate
statements under Sec. 35 of the
Famine Code. C. No. 1359 of this
stamp, but only for establishments.
For convenience of reference and
for purposes of future audit (to watch
and see that sanctions are received
in due course) he inserts the same
by side with the allotments and
actual expenditure. The large
difference is evidently due to
the late receipt of the orders
from the Board, Govt.

When given for copying 24.5
When copied 25.5
When examined 26.5 1898
When signed

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only

A A A A A A A

23.5.9