

Financial (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 41

Dated

17-1-98

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Passing order on
the return of sanctions accorded
by the D. C. of Police under art 1093
of the C. S. Regulations during
the second half of 1897.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
604/98							
727/98							

 செப்பனிடபவர் பெயர்
 செப்பனிடவதற்காக எடுத்த
 கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள்
 செப்பனிட முடிந்த நாள்
 சம்பந்தம் இரா ய்ச்சி
 உதவினாள்
 இசையா ப்பம் இசையா ப்பம்

Financial (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3532

CHIEF SECRETARY
 10 NOV. 1897
 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From The Acctt General
 Madras

Order

No. 3466

Dated 8th November 1897

Received 10/11/97
 Registered 11/11/97

Enclosures 1.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwarding for the orders
 of Govt the return of
 Sanctions accorded by the
 Inspector General of Police
 under article 1093 G.O.
 Regulations and pointing
 out certain items which
 are not admissible.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
320	8.5	56.	
447	3.9	55	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No. 332

FORT ST. GEORGE,

Accountant-General's Office,

8th November 1897.

No. 3466

From

J. C. Harrison Esq.,

Offg. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

SIR,

In forwarding for the orders of Government the return of sanctions accorded by the Inspector General of Police under article 1093 Civil Service Regulations, I have the honour to point out that the charges in items 9, 25, 31, 35 and 40 marked in red ink in the statement are not admissible under the strict interpretation of the Article, as explained in the Government of India Resolution printed in Government Order No. 325 A Financial dated 8 May 1896, as these items relate to charges incurred on account of carriage of tents and horses by rail to Head Quarters, which, if sent by road could have reached the

Chief Secy
to Govt.

Head Quarters before they were actually required
for use.

The Inspector General has admitted the charge
in the case of item No. 6 on the ground that
the conveyance of Camp equipment in this
case was cheaper by rail than by road.
But as the charge incurred, if sent by road,
is not borne by Government, but by the
officer himself, it is apparent the charge for
conveyance by rail should be paid by the
officer who would have otherwise had to spend
a larger amount in sending it by road.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Whiskel

For Offg. Accountant General.

W. H. W.

[G
418]
500-11-6-97.

C. No. 3532

Financial Department.

TELEPHONE MESSAGE.

From

Chief Secy.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT,

To

Acct. Genl.

Dated 7. 11. 1897.

Reference second paragraph of
3466 November 8th. Would not
charges for upkeep camp equipage be
admissible under article 1156(a) of
the C.S.R.

C. H. W.
7. 11. 97

7-12-97
12/6/

Code
Class.

INDIAN



TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly
Number.

To

(Office)

Words.

Day.

Hour.

Minute.

Official

Instructions.

From

(Office)

To

(Person)

From

(Person)

Chief Secretary

Act. Secy

Work can sanction under Article
115b of the CSR charges for the upkeep of
camp equipage. Under article 1164 in the
case referred to in para 2 of my letter No. 3486
of 8 Nov. the Officer has no camp equipage
his tents having been conveyed by rail. Besides
there was not as far as this Officer's own
recollection of the statement any personal
intervening between the arrival of the Officer
and his camp.

CA.

Clo.
8/11/97

MS. 8.72 39

But could there not have been if they had come by
road or by rail? That is
the case

Recd from

DN.

Sent to

LN.

At

Hrs.

Mts by

At

Hrs.

Mts by

Telegraph Master.

No. 533 T-7 2 97-2400000

F. C. 2.

File II

11

12

H.M.

~~H.M.~~

C No: 3532

Final: Sept.

6/11

Note

With C.No: 3532, the
Actt. Genl submits a ~~request~~
~~request~~ return of sanctions
under art. 1093 of the C. I. Regs
received from the Insp. Genl.
of Police.

2 As pointed out by the
Actt. Genl, cases Nos (Mr. P.
Spar), No: 25 (Mr. N.E.L. Brown
waring), No 31 (Mr. P.P. Sweeting)
No: 35 (Mr. W. J. A. Sullivan)
40 (Mr. C.B. Leggett) are
clearly inadmissible, as the
camp equipment or horses
~~of the officers~~ had
they been sent by road instead
of by rail would have
reached the head quarters
before the officers started on

I think these amounts
should be disallowed &
recovered. This is the
only way of enforcing
a strict interpretation
of the rule.

Yes - also No
13, a plain case
where morning's march
with a baggage train
with a baggage train

There were besides all cases of
return to headquarters

Ag. Supt. of Police, T. Arest

their next tour of inspection
3 As regards case No: 6
which Mr. C. H. Hunt was
to draw the cost of
by rail of his camp
from Trimbayilur to

ground that the charge for conveyance by rail was less than that by road, the Acctt. Genl. observes that, as the charge for conveyance by road, if incurred, is borne by the officer himself & not by Govt, Mr. ~~Hunt~~ Hunt should not have recovered from Govt the cost of conveyance by rail of his camp equipment.

4 Under art. 1164(a) of the C. S. Regs, the Local Govt may permit officers to draw, during a halt at head-quarters not exceeding ten days in duration, the actual expense (not exceeding the daily allowance) of keeping up camp equipage (when it is necessary to do so) during such halt, subject, among other things, to such restrictions [art. 1156(a)] as may seem requisite, to the period, if any, intervening between the departure from, or arrival at, head-quarters of an officer or of his camp equipage. The concession referred to in art. 1164(a) has been extended

13
B

C

E

extended to Gazetted officers of the Police Dept. by G.O. No. 1970 Fint. dt 6 Sep. 1883.

5 It will be seen further from the concluding portion of the Acctt. Genl. letter No. 1802 dt 13 June

1896 recorded in the original of G.O. No. 420 Fint dt 13 July, 1896

that he construed the Govt. of India Finance & Commerce Dept. letter No. Ex-1399 dt 30 March

1896 (printed on page 2 of G.O. No. 302 Fint dt 29 April 1896) as permitting an officer to draw halting allowance ^{so long as} ~~in respect of~~ the

~~fact whether camp equipage is maintained at head-quarters or not.~~
^{and irrespective of the fact whether it is maintained at head-quarters or not.}

6 Mr. G.H. Hunt could therefore have sent his tent by road and have recovered the expenses of maintaining his camp equipage (which means the apparatus for moving a camp - art. 21 of the C. S. Regs). Mr. Hunt did

so on the ground that Govt.
would be saved expense by
railing his camp equipment.
The Acctt. Genl's view does
not therefore appear to be
correct.

Ans.
12/12/97

14
The A.G.'s objection
may be communicated
but without any order
of recovery.

15/16.12.97
Vezwell - But this is very
lenient

Ans

4/1/98

[G]
24
1,600-22-11-87.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:-

Again G.O., No. , dated 189 .
Again G.O., No. , dated 189 .
Current No. 3532 of 1897, dated 8th Nov., from the Acctt. Genl.
" " " 189 , " " "
" " " 189 , " " "

ORIER.

Mis

The Govt. agrees with the Acctt.
Genl. that items No. 9 (Mr. A.P. Agar),
No. 25 (Mr. N.E.Q. Mainwaring), No. 31
(Mr. P.P. Sweeting), No. 35 (Mr. W.J.A.
Sullivan) and 40 (Mr. C.B. Leggatt)
are clearly inadmissible. Item No. 13
in which Mr. G.E. Baudry, Supt. of
Police Madura, was reimbursed the cost of
carriage of camp equipment by rail
for a distance of 15 miles will also
be disallowed. All the amounts
involved in the above cases will be
recovered from the officers concern-
ed.

2. The Acctt. Genl's objection as
regards item No. 6 (Mr. G.H. Hunt)
will be communicated to the I.G. of
Police.

To

The Acctt. Genl. (Q & O) with
encl. }
,, I.G. of Police (A & O)

Ans
11.1.98

(From Memo)
ASA 75.1.98