

Financial (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 354 - 5

Dated 4. 4. 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Requesting the sanction of the Govt of India for a further allotment of Rs 3708 for the demarcation of the boundary line between Hyderabad & Kistna and calling upon the Bd of Res. in the Rev Dept to report early on the long delay in the completion of the work and the large sum of money debited to the accounts of 1897-98 without any Budget provision being made or any sanction received.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
2400/98	9023						
3268/98	197576						
262/98	187158						

Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1340.

From

The Board of Revenue, Land

Revenue, Madras.

No.

Encl.
Order

Mos. No. 875 d/19.2.98.

Approved and trans.
ferred to the Financial
Department.

Dated 14th February 1898.

Received 15th

Registered 16th

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Govt. letter

from the Collector of Kistna request-
ing sanction for an additional allot-
ment of Rs. 815 for the demarcation of
the boundary line between Hyderabad
and Kistna.

For St. George's

19th Feb. 1898

To Rm: 22. 2. 98
Regd 25. 2. 98

The Financial Dept.

17. 2. 98

2 m.
16.2.98.

Rm. 22.
17.2.98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
965:	20-11	97 ^h	
847:	11-10	97 ^h	
187-8:	25-2	98 ^h	
109:	5-2	98 ^h	
53:	20-1	98 ^h	
954-5:	18-11	97 ^h	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. ——— of 189

OFFICE

OF THE

COLLECTOR & MAGISTRATE,

MASULIPATAM,

KISTNA DISTRICT,

17th December 1897.



FROM,

R Morris Esquire,

Acting Collector, Kistna District.

TO,

THE SECRETARY TO THE COMMISSIONERS OF

LAND REVENUE,

MADRAS.

SIR,

Referring to Board's Miscellaneous Proceedings No 2377 dated 10th May 1895 communicating G.O No 1682 Miscellaneous dated 29th April 1895 sanctioning an allotment of Rs 3000 from "3 Land Revenue - Survey and Settlement" for the demarcation of the boundary between Haiderabad and Kistna, I have the honor to report that the work of demarcation is nearing completion. 8 boundary stones and 12 offset stones have yet to be fixed.

2. The Survey Deputy Collector who has been supervising the work reports that a further allotment of Rs 815 is required and I request the Board to obtain the sanction of Government for the same.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

R Morris

Acting Collector.

Number of boundary stones to be fixed 317

offset stones 12

Cost at Rs 12 per boundary stone including 3804

cost of embedding them in concrete

Cost of planting 12 offset stones 11

Set out amount already sanctioned 3815

+ Remainder to be sanctioned 3000

815

517 898

28/12/97 comp'd 18/12/97

17 February 1898.

No. $\frac{25}{13}$ BOARD'S GEN. REG. NO 517 OF 1898
LAND REVENUE

From

H. O' C. Cardozo, Esq., C.I.E.,

Superintendent, Madras Survey,

To

The Secretary to the Commissioners of
Land Revenue, Madras

REFERENCE.

Board's Proceedings (Land Revenue)
No. 93 dated 28 January 1898 enquiring
whether Rupees 3815 cost of demarcating
the Koistna - Hyderabad boundary can
be met from the Survey Budget.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that
no provision was made in the Survey
budget for 1897-98 for the cost of
demarcation of the Koistna - Hyderabad
boundary, and there will be no savings in the
Survey budget to meet the expenditure
(Rupees 3815) on this work.

2. The enclosure to the Proceedings under reply is returned

I have the honour to be

Your most obedient Servant

H. O' C. Cardozo

Superintendent, Madras Survey

5/28

1898. 517

FORMER CASES.

BOARD'S GEN. REG. NO. 517 OF 1898.
LAND REVENUE

No. of 189

Regr. No. of 189

Above the line for the use of the Receiving Office.

From

H. O'C. Cardozo, Esq., C.I.E.,

SUPERINTENDENT, MADRAS SURVEY,

To

RECEIPT No.

Dated

The Secretary to the Commissioners
of Land Revenue, Madras

Dated

LATER CASES.

Despd.

Recd.

No. of 189

No.

25
B

"

"

"

"

ENCLOSURE. /

SUBJECT.

Cost of demarcation of the
Kistna-Hyderabad boundary —
states that no provision was
made in the Survey budget
for 1897-98 for the —

1898
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Account No. —

Current No. —

Reference on No. of 1898.

In replying the above should be quoted.

(LAND REVENUE.)



Read the following :-

1. Letter from R. Morris, Esquire, Acting Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras, Ref. No. 1954/genl. of 1897, dated 17th December 1897.

And the following Reference thereon ^{No 93} dated 28th January '98

Forwarded to the Superintendent Revenue Survey, who is requested to state whether the expenditure referred to can be met from the Survey Budget.

2. Also letter from the Superintendent Madras Survey to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras No. 25/B, dated 7th February 1898.

-----:0:-----

Reference No. 162, dated 14th February 1898.


Submitted to Government with the recommendation that sanction may be accorded for the additional allotment of Rs. 815 required for the demarcation of the boundary between Kistna and Hyderabad.

2. The Superintendent, Madras Survey, reports that no provision has been made for this work in the survey budget for 1897-98, and that there will be no savings to meet the expenditure. The cost (Rs. 815) can be met from the savings anticipated under 3-Land Revenue, Petty construction and repairs.

Reel

To The Secretary to Govt. R.D., with 2 letters in original to be returned.

Let Md. R. H.



1898

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Encl. No. 2

Current No. 1340

Revenue Board

[G
118]

2,000-16-97.

Financial Department.

C. No. 609

TELEPHONE MESSAGE.

From

The Chief Secretary

CHIEF SECRETARIAT,

To

The Secretary, Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue.

Dated March 1898.

Very Urgent.

Your reference No.
162, of 14th February 1898.
Re ~~the proposed alteration~~
Re Rs 815 ~~proposed~~
on account of
for the demarcation of the
boundary between Krishna
and Hyderabad to be
debited in this year's
accounts or is any portion
of the sum of Rs 2000
already sanctioned in
April 1895 ^{also} to be charged
to the current year?

W. D. Cunningham
Return to P.O.

MA
10.3.98
Cust.
mcs
10.3.98

10/3/98

Handwritten notes on the left page, including "To the Secretary Board of Revenue" and "To the Secretary Board of Revenue".

2,0

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number.

Code Class		To <i>Telephonic</i>		From <i>Message</i>	
(Person)		(Office)			
Words	Day	Hour	Minute	Official Instructions	
	10	15	20		
To <i>Chief Secretary</i>				From <i>Secretary Board of Revenue</i>	
(Person)				(Person)	

Your telephone message of today the Board presumes that Rs 815/- only has to be debited in this year's accounts. The Collector has been asked by wire to state whether any portion of the Rs 3000/- sanctioned in 1895 is also to be charged to the current year.

*Ca.
HA 10.3.98*

MDC

64 Word Form.

F.C.2

L.N.		Sent to		L.N.	
At		Hrs.		Mts. by	
Mts. by		At		Hrs.	
Telegraph Master.					

Financial Department.

C. No. 609

TELEPHONE MESSAGE.

From

The Chief Secretary

CHIEF SECRETARIAT,

T

To

The Secretary, Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue.

Dated 12th March 1898.

Your telephonic message of 10th instant regarding additional allotment required for completing the demarcation of the boundary between Krishna and Hyderabad. ^{Please inform me as to the} The Collector replied to your telegram enquiring whether any portion of the sum of Rs 3000 sanctioned in 1895 ^{also} to be charged to the current year?

CMB
MCL
14.3.98

Return to C. A.

14-3-98
RD-2

12/3/98

100

100

100

100

100

100

Code
Class.

INDIAN  TELEGRAPHS. Monthly
Number.

To
(Office)

Telephonic

From
(Office)

Message

Words.

Day.

Hour.

Minute.

Official
Instructions.

14 10 10

To
(Person)

Chief Secy.

From
(Person)

Secy: B. D. Land Rev.

I have wired again to the Collector
of Tristia about allotment
Tristia, Hyderabad boundary

14-3-98

64 Word Form.

F. C. 2.

Recd. from

I.N.

Sent to

I.N.

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

Telegraph Master.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS

From

To

From

To

Code
Class.

INDIAN  TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number.

To
(Office)

Telephonic

From
(Office)

Message

Words.

Day.

Hour.

Minute.

Official
Instructions.

12

13

47

To
(Person)

Chief Secy to Govt

From
(Person)

Secy Bd Land Rev

Your message regarding additional allotments for completing demarcation of the boundary between Krishna and Hyderabad. Collector wires 2388 already spent 815 required in addition 3000. Board understands whole Rs: 3,000 also to be debited this years account.

64 Word Form.

F. C. 2.

17-3-98

AA

17-3-98

Recd. from

L.N.

Sent to

L.N.

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

At

Hrs.

Mts. by

Telegraph Master.

Financial (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 870

Read again p. 818
no. 563 no. 1-98 (p)
109 5-2-98 (p)
187 25-2-98 (p)
104
Ch. 609 + 870. (p)

From The L. of I.,
Finance & Commerce Dept.

Order

The following telegram will be
despatched to the Govt of India.
For & Com. dept.

No. 1231/A

Dated 12
Received 17 } March 1898
Registered 17
Enclosures -
Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Sanctioning the addition
grant in the current bill year
of Rs 5,500 under the head
"25. Political" - "Miscellaneous"
"Demarcation of boundaries
between British Territories &
Native States."

2. The Revenue Dept will be
requested to furnish full in-
formation regarding the necessity
for the expenditure, the delay in
completing the work, the absence
of provision in the estimates
of the current year etc.

Yours faithfully,
Revenue.

Ch. 609 + 870 in com. dept.

Class.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number.

To (Office) *Telephonic* From (Office) *Chief Secretary*
Words. Day. Hour. Minute. Official Instructions.

To (Person) *Chief Secy to Govt.* From (Person) *Secy Bd Land Rev.*

CHIEF SECRETARY
18 MAR 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Treasury Dy. Collector *Vishia* wires
"Survey Dy. Collector states 2388
paid 1320 awaiting payment total
amount debitable 970.98. *Vishia*
Hyderabad boundary 3708" 1/4

98-5-98

Pol
2/5/98
18

64 Word Form.

F. C. 2.

Recd. from L.N. Sent to L.N.
At Hrs. Mts. by At Hrs. Mts. by
Telegraph Master.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.


CURRENT No. 870

No.1231-A.

Government of India.

FINANCE and COMMERCE DEPARTMENT.

Calcutta, the 12th March 1898.



To

The CHIEF SECRETARY to the GOVERNMENT of MADRAS,

Financial Department.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No.188 dated the 25th February 1898, I am directed to convey the sanction of the Government of India to an additional grant in the current financial year of Rupees 5,500 under the head "25-Political"- "Miscellaneous", - "Demarcation of boundaries between British Territories and Native States".

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

W. B. B. B.

Under-Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Copy forwarded to the Comptroller and Auditor General and to the Accountant General, Madras.

Financial Department.

Read again G.O. Financial,
No. 53, d/ 20-1-98 (P)
No. 109, d/ 5-2-98 (Q)
No. 187, d/ 25-2-98 (P)
No. 188

and C.No. 609, d/ 27-2-98 (P)

Telephone message from the B's 7000 A.

ORDER:-

The following telegram will be despatched to the Govt. of India, Finance and Commerce Department on the 18th instant:
1135h Your 1231 A twelfth. Please wire sanction ~~get~~ further 3815, charged incurred current year demarcation

boundary line Hyderabad Kistna. Letter will follow.

2. The Revenue Department will be requested to furnish full information regarding the necessity for the expenditure, the delay in completing the work, ^{and} the absence of provision in the estimates of the current year.

No.

Copy to the Govt. of India, Fin. & Com. Dept.

18/3/98 CMB 18/3/98

BC To mark back for carefully for common. to India. The pm. must issue share CMB 26/3/98

How Des the telegram share from ray share CMB

Reappropriation not applied in earlier as ~~unauthorized~~ [it was] understood charges would be debited to 3. Land Revenue - Survey and Settlement proceedings follow.

CMB 26.3.98

To The Accountant-General, Revenue Department.

18.3.98 CMB 18.3.98

NOTE FOR THE UNDER SECRETARY.

sur

F to 371
15-6-95

G to 1682
24-4-95

F page 6

The draft G.O. now sent by the F.D. for counter initials relates to the demarcation of the boundary between Hyderabad and Kistna settled by Messrs Bentley Taylor (Madras Survey Dept) and Fairs (Hyderabad service) in 1895.

In April 1895, the Govt at the instance of the Collector and the Board sanctioned an amount of Rs 3000 (not based on any detailed estimate) for the demarcation in question and, in consultation with the then Acct. General, decided that it should be debited "3 Land Revenue - Survey and Settlement". From para 2 of the Collector's letter and the G.O. 371 of 15-6-95 B.P., it will be seen that most of the work was completed by May 1895 though it was reported at the time that the missing boundary stones had

had still to be replaced in some places. In Dec^r last the Collector submitted the final accounts connected with the demarcation of the boundary and the total cost was therein shown as Rs 3,815— which was in excess of the original amount sanctioned by Rs 815. To this amount the Collector asked for sanction in the meantime however the Act. General in modification of his predecessor's opinion had held that all such charges should be debited to

25. Political miscellaneous and not to Land Revenue. The R.D. has no control over Political charges and the Collector's application was therefore transferred in Feb^r 9 last to the ^{Financial} Political Dept.

G.O. 847 Fint A
11-10-97

Financial
Political current work
sent to R.D.

2. The F.D. was in passing orders on the Collector's application desires. (in para 2 of the draft G.O. proposed to be issued) the R.D. to explain "the necessity for the expenditure the

"the expenditure, the delay in
"completing the work and the
"absence of provision in the
"estimates of the current year"

3. The necessity for the expenditure seems to be obvious ^{the amount of} first because Rs 3000 originally sanctioned was only a rough estimate and secondly because as pointed out by the Collector in para 2 of his letter on page 6 of G.O. n^o 371 Rev: dy 15-6-95 the stones had to be planted "to avoid future disputes." The delay in completing the work was probably due to the forcible removal on two ~~on two~~ occasions by the Boravancha ^{Jaffur} ~~Lamudans~~ in the Vizam's dominions of the stones planted. The matter had to be brought to the notice of the Durbar in ^{June} 1895 and again in April 1897. As regards want of provision in the budget, the amount of Rs 815 involved is small and the Collector apparently

Para 2 of
G.O. 371
15-6-95

+ 2031
15-6-97

Rs 3708 is
involved cost

26/4/98

note overleaf

apparently thought that as
on the previous occasion i.e.
in 1895 the amount could be
met from savings in the budget

Grant under S. Land Rev. The work was
done by the Envy Dept under Collis supervision
4. If the F.D.

would be satisfied with the
above explanation, para 2
of the proposed draft might
be omitted. In any case there
does not seem to be any objec-
tion to counterinitialling the
draft G.O. It is presumed
~~that there is no objection~~
to the F.D. calling on the
R.D. in a G.O. to furnish
information.

B.M.
28.3.98

R.D.
28.3.98

If Fin. Dpt. requires any the above
more formal explanation
than the above given in a more
formal manner it can
be done. But under the system of
unofficial reference bet. depts
the more correct course w.
be, I think, to ^{substitute} insert
planation in the draft in substitution
of para. 2, if necessary. S.B. 24/3/98

B.L.
24.3.98

To Fin Dpt. no.

[G.]
74
1,000-3-9-87

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

The Financial year
is fast closing and it is
very necessary ~~that~~ that the telegram
should go to India at once. It
is submitted that it may be
despatched as altered in red ink
with ref. to the Rev. Dept.
Below, it is submitted ~~that~~
that the Rev. Dept. may be asked
to draft para 2 of the order setting
out the facts. It will be seen
from para the telephonic message
from the Board to D. the 18th
instant that the amount
involved is Rs 3708. i.e. that
the entire expense will
apparently be booked in
1897. 1898. The papers put up
in that Dept & do not show
but show how this comes about.
India will ~~require~~ require some
explanation for the ^{necessity for the} reappropriation
beyond the ^{bare} facts given in the
telegram, but it is necessary that
the excess should be corrected
before the close of the financial

Office

Despatch the telegram
then send to Revenue

Curd
28/3/98

R

To Rev. Dept

A.H.
28.3.98

Curd
28/4/98

P. leave see
note overleaf

Note

with reference to the accompanying note, the following draft para to be inserted as para 2 of the Financial G.O. is submitted for approval.

"From para 2 of the Collector's letter and of the B.P. printed in G.O. n° 371 Rev: of 15th June 1895, the Govt observes that a considerable portion of the demarcation work, for which a sum of Rs 3000 was sanctioned in April 1895, of the same year was completed before the ^{end of the} year. Some of this work had no doubt to be done over again ^{owing to} the interference of the Borwancha jagirdars with the marks put up but this alone in the opinion of Govt does not explain the long delay in the completion of the work nor how the payment of

Such

such a large sum as Rs 3708
 come to be debited to the Account
 of the current year without
 making any budget provision
 therefore the Board of Rev:
 will be requested, in the Rev:
 Dept, to submit an early
 report on the above points
 for the information of Govt."

being made ^{any} sanction accorded.

P.L.
 31/3/8

W.L.
 30/3/8
 S.K.
 31/3/8

To Fm Dept
 S.K.
 31.3.8

Financial Department.

Read again G.O. Financial,
 No.53, d/ 20-1-98 (P)
 No.109, d/ 5-2-98 (Q)
 No.187
 No.188 d/ 25-2-98 (P)
 and C.Nos.609 & 870 (A) (Q) to India

Telephone Message to Govt. from 7/10/3/98

(A) { =
 From 20/3/98
 To 20/3/98
 From 20/3/98
 From 20/3/98
 ORDER
 No 3548442

The following telegram has been
 despatched to the Govt. of India,
 Finance and Commerce Department on the
 28th ultimo:

135. Your 1231 A twelfth. Please
 wire sanction further allotment, Rs 3,708
 charges incurred, demarcation boundary
 line Hyderabad Kistna. Reappropriation
 not applied for earlier as (it was)
 understood charges would be debited
 to 3. Land Revenue-Survey and Settle-
 ment follow.

Proceedings

2. From para 2 of the Collector's
 letter and of the B.P. printed in G.O.
 No.371 Rev. d/ 15th June 1895, the
 Govt. observes that a considerable
 portion of the demarcation work, for
 which a sum of Rs.3000 was sanctioned
 in April of the same year, was complet-
 ed before the end of the year. Some of
 this work had no doubt to be done over
 again owing to the interference of
 the Boravanha Jagirdars with the
 marks put up but this alone in the
 opinion of Govt. does not explain the
 long delay in the completion of the
 work nor how such so large a sum as
 Rs.3708 came to be debited to the
 accounts of the current year without
 any

ORDE

Reddish

any budget provision being made or any sanction accorded. The Board of Rev. will be requested, in the Rev. Department to submit an early report on the above points for the information of Govt.

Cms
2.4.98

No 355 of 4.4.98

To

Copy to the Govt. of India, Finl. & Com. Dept.

The Accountant-General.
,, Revenue Department.

Depd
4/4/98

Depd
4/4/98

For C. No. 1065

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DUTY

PAYMENT NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

F = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.